

Nelson G (Gillian)

From: Nelson G (Gillian)
Sent: 25 November 2004 15:24
To: Donnelly LM (Louise)
Cc: Anderson L (Lindsay)
Subject: RE: HISTORICAL ABUSE

Louise

Juts to let you know we are thinking along the same lines as you, i.e. children being 'sent' should come out, as should the reference to the rapporteur inquiring into 'individual' cases.

The only other thing I note is that we still seem to have 'entitled to' - as well as deserved!

Lindsay Anderson currently talking to Richard H. I will come back to you if there's anything else.

G

-----Original Message-----

From: Donnelly LM (Louise)
Sent: 25 November 2004 15:02
To: Anderson L (Lindsay); Nelson G (Gillian); Edgar R (Rachel)
Cc: Layden P (Patrick); Henderson RM (Richard) (Solicitor); McNicoll G (Gordon); Nicoll L (Lindsey)
Subject: FW: HISTORICAL ABUSE
Importance: High

This went to wrong Louyse. It will be an FM statement to the Parliament.

It has some of the earlier refs which we had suggested should come out incl reference to children being "sent". We will wish to recommend again that that come out. I will suggest:

"in the very places where they hoped to find care and protection".

Or

"Those children deserved care and protection not the physical, emotional and sexual abuse they found there. In place of refuge they faced trauma."

Also further down reference to PP setting out in the debate proposals for ... "to inquire into the background of what happened to them individually and collectively." I thought it was not to be an inquiry into individual cases. I will suggest it be dropped but PP's brief for debate is going to have to be ready to deal with that!

Anything else or new points?

I am expecting Rachel to phone back at next break in conference say 10- 15 mins from now i.e. 3.15.

L

-----Original Message-----