1	Friday, 22 October 2021
2	(10.00 am)
3	LADY SMITH: Good morning, and welcome to the last day this
4	week of evidence in relation to Queen Victoria School in
5	our boarding school case study. Yesterday those of you
6	who were here will remember that Mr Brown indicated we
7	have one live witness first thing this morning and then
8	we move on to reading in a few further statements.
9	Mr Brown.
10	MR BROWN: My Lady, good morning. The first live witness,
11	the only live witness today, is 'Mark'.
12	LADY SMITH: Thank you.
13	'Mark' (affirmed)
14	LADY SMITH: 'Mark', you'll find a copy of your statement in
15	the red folder in front of you, and it will come up on
15 16	the red folder in front of you, and it will come up on screen at whatever parts you're referred to. Please use
16	screen at whatever parts you're referred to. Please use
16 17	screen at whatever parts you're referred to. Please use either or neither, for your convenience, whatever you're
16 17 18	screen at whatever parts you're referred to. Please use either or neither, for your convenience, whatever you're comfortable with.
16 17 18 19	screen at whatever parts you're referred to. Please use either or neither, for your convenience, whatever you're comfortable with.  Would you also, please, let me know if you have any
16 17 18 19 20	screen at whatever parts you're referred to. Please use either or neither, for your convenience, whatever you're comfortable with.  Would you also, please, let me know if you have any queries or concerns during the course of your evidence
16 17 18 19 20 21	screen at whatever parts you're referred to. Please use either or neither, for your convenience, whatever you're comfortable with.  Would you also, please, let me know if you have any queries or concerns during the course of your evidence or if you need a break. If it works for you, it works

hand over to Mr Brown and he'll take it from there.

- 1 A. Okay.
- 2 LADY SMITH: Thank you. Mr Brown.
- 3 Questions from Mr Brown
- 4 MR BROWN: 'Mark', good morning.
- 5 A. Good morning.
- 6 Q. If we could start with the statement, which obviously
- 7 you've just had a look at. We know that this is
- 8 a document that runs to 33 pages and for form's sake
- 9 I'll just read in the reference number so it's on the
- record, which is WIT-1-000000534. Could you go, please,
- 11 to the last page, it will appear on the screen as well,
- and you'll see beneath the last numbered paragraph, 130,
- you signed, obviously, and dated it on 25 November 2020.
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. And the last paragraph reads:
- "I have no objection to my witness statement being
- 17 published as part of the evidence to the Inquiry.
- 18 I believe the facts stated in the witness statement are
- 19 true."
- 20 And I take it you read the statement before you
- 21 signed it?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. And were content with it?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. It was quite a long process, I would imagine,

- 1 particularly with Covid, to produce it?
- 2 A. It was, yes.
- 3 Q. Done remotely and with a great deal of sending documents
- 4 to and fro?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. As I think you understand, given the terms of that last
- 7 paragraph and your confirmation, the statement is in
- 8 evidence, so we don't need to go through it, but I do
- 9 wish to ask you, obviously, about your background, how
- 10 you came to QVS, your time there and your impressions of
- 11 the school and some of the standards and ethos that you
- 12 found there.
- 13 A. (Witness nods).
- 14 Q. But obviously of particular interest are the reasons why
- you left the school and the process that you had to
- undergo thereafter. So we'll approach those
- 17 progressively.
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. In terms of background, you're 64 -- sorry, I do beg
- your pardon, 54.
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Sorry, I was looking at the date of birth, you're 1967,
- so you're actually younger than me. For a moment I was
- horrified. You came originally from because
- your parents had gone there?

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. But you came back to the UK in 1980 with your parents;
- 3 is that right?
- 4 A. That's right, yes.
- 5 Q. Okay. In terms of your own background academically, you
- 6 left school young?
- 7 A. (Witness nods).
- 8 Q. Became a mechanic?
- 9 A. Yeah.
- 10 Q. But you did highers at night school and then progressed
- 11 to university in your 20s and got a degree in ?
- 12 A. Yes, that's right, an education, yeah.
- 13 Q. An education. And thereafter, you became a
- 14 teacher?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. Was that an aspiration that you'd had for a long time?
- 17 A. No, not really. I think initially I thought I might go
- into the health service because my mother, she was
- a health visitors, midwife, nurse, and we have a few
- doctors and such like in the family, but then going to
- 21 university, just the access course of six months, people
- out of education for long periods of time, and just
- 23 clicked with and the tutor there and I just
- thought it was somewhere to go.
- 25 Equally my wife teaches -- or taught , and it

- 1 was just something that we just found a common bond
- 2 over, I suppose, and that's the path I selected and
- I think just judging from my background where I was
- 4 really disrupted, I think, you know, I could have given
- 5 something back to young people. That was the reason for
- 6 my -- yeah, path, I suppose.
- 7 Q. Okay. But after graduating, you start teaching as
- 8 a supply teacher in Perthshire?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. You're living in the same small town in Perthshire; is
- 11 that correct?
- 12 A. Yeah, we were at that time in Callander, yeah, over
- 13 there, and I managed to get a six-month supply job in
- 14 the same school as my wife and thereafter in a different
- 15 council.
- 16 LADY SMITH: Just to be technical for a moment, Callander
- 17 might be in Stirlingshire.
- 18 A. Yes, it is.
- 19 LADY SMITH: It's not far from the border.
- 20 A. It's strange, it has a Stirling address but it's still
- 21 Stirling Perthshire, so it's an FK, but it's still --
- there's a lot of -- but yeah.
- 23 LADY SMITH: I know exactly where you mean. Thank you.
- MR BROWN: Yes, I'm obliged.
- 25 A. Dunblane's the same, yes.

- 1 MR BROWN: You then moved to
- 2 A. That's right, yes. In 1995.
- 3 Q. And then you progressed to Queen Victoria School in
- 4 1998?
- 5 A. Yes.

Α.

7

- 6 Q. Why did QVS appeal to you?
- a librarian there and who's known my wife for many, many years. She worked with her in a previous school for

Well, we had a friend or have a friend who was

- several years, and we just thought it was a nice
- 11 connection to have. It was somebody who I knew and she
- 12 thought I'd be a good person to be in that environment,
- and people with disrupted, difficult backgrounds and
- I could perhaps have something to offer there.
- Also, salary-wise it appealed as well. had
  been teaching for several years, we had our youngest son
  at that point and another son coming along, which we
  didn't know at that point, so I think in terms of
- financially it was a positive move that way, I suppose.
- Q. And I think, from what your statement sets out, you
- 21 would have preferred to stay put in your own house, but
- it was required, essentially, that you had to move to
- the school?
- A. Yeah, that's right. We were really happy in -- where we
- 25 were, and for my wife travelling back and forward that

- 1 was a bit more of a challenge. I didn't mind doing the
- 2 travelling at all, but if we were stable in one
- 3 community, childcare arrangements would have been easier
- 4 as well, but there seemed to be -- we were required to
- 5 live on site.
- 6 Q. I think from what you say the desire of the school was
- 7 that you would actually live in one of the boarding
- 8 houses?
- 9 A. Exactly, yes.
- 10 Q. But a compromise, if I can describe it that way, was
- 11 that you lived in a house on the school campus?
- 12 A. Yeah, that was a challenge initially, and it was very
- 13 difficult, I think, at the time because it was
- 14 a probationary year as well, you know, you're kind of --
- which we weren't really clear about at the time and
- 16 suddenly you have to make sure you're performing and
- jumping through the hoops and, you know, impressing, and
- that was one thing. So we didn't want to rock the boat
- 19 too much, but certainly that was a bit of a challenge,
- 20 trying to extricate ourselves, I suppose, from being in
- 21 the boarding house and being remote, if you like, on
- site, so we're in our own little family environment.
- Q. Why did the prospect of being in the boarding house with
- your wife and family seem too much?
- 25 A. Yeah, I think one was just privacy, I suppose, and also

- 1 you have a young son who had taken a long time to come 2 so we didn't want to disrupt that and, you know, having been in a boarding house after that period, knowing how 3 much disruption, knocks on the door, rings on the bell 4 to the housemaster and things, so there's constant 5 disruption throughout evenings, days, so you can never 6 7 switch off, I think that's one thing. And also just to 8 enjoy our time away from work and have a clear 9 demarcation, I think. That was the key for that, 10 I would say.
- 11 Q. Thank you. You talked about one of the reasons you
  12 liked -- forgetting also, by the sounds of it, the rise
  13 in salary -- the fact that you were dealing with
  14 troubled children.
- 15 A. Mm.
- Q. What do you mean by that?
- 17 Α. Well, I know they've -- for a lot of them it was a stable environment. For a long period of time 18 19 throughout the academic year they were safe, they knew 20 what their -- well, 7 till 11 times were. They knew they had same accommodation. They had people to go to 21 22 they could trust and they had friendship groups, and 23 outwith that, when they were taken away, they're in 24 families where perhaps parents were gone, separated divorced, had died, and lots of disruption in families 25

- like mine where my families were separated and divorced and had real difficulties with, you know, drink or whatever else, and I suppose for them it was a safe environment to come. And so to be part of that was a real positive and to feel they felt safe and you were giving them something that perhaps I didn't have as a youngster, that was something I was really -- I liked that challenge.
  - Q. The military side apart, though, are those dynamics any different from what you'd experienced in your three years in a state school?

A. A wee bit different, I suppose, in terms of -- well, maybe a lot different because I saw pupils perhaps maybe one, two hours in a day maybe three days a week perhaps, whereas here you saw them an awful lot of the time. So I know the difficulties in the state system, which I came through, and you could do as much as you could do within that environment, but as a classroom teacher, my reach wasn't as wide, I suppose. You could do as much as you could. But certainly in the boarding environment, you know, you had contact with the pupils outwith the classroom as well and in sort of PE and such like and school activities and extracurricular activities as well. So you could really get a -- it's a kind of holistic approach, I suppose, and so

- 1 you'd have, I suppose, a more rounded young person.
- Q. Okay. You talk about being interviewed and you
- 3 recollect it was the board of governors who interviewed
- 4 you.
- 5 A. Yes. I know Alice Hainey was on it, I'm sure
- 6 Brian Raine was.
- 7 O. That's the headmaster?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 O. And the assistant head --
- 10 A. Was Alice Hainey, yes.
- 11 Q. -- pastoral.
- 12 A. I always remember a question -- when she asked me
- 13 a question, I said that a lot of people feel that the
- 14 guidance or the pastoral side or PSE is something that
- they're compelled to do or is forced upon them, and she
- immediately asked me why I thought that and I remember,
- 17 I probably gave a reasonable response, and obviously
- 18 that was something that she felt that I could bring to
- 19 the school in terms of the pastoral side because I got
- the job and obviously I answered that question really
- 21 quite well.
- Q. PSE? The acronym?
- 23 A. Pastoral social care, but I'm not sure what the acronym
- means now.
- 25 LADY SMITH: Personal and Social Education?

- 1 A. Yes, thank you.
- 2 MR BROWN: Part of the deal obviously was that as well as
- 3 being a teacher, and you've alluded to this
- 4 already, you were going to be an assistant housemaster.
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. You've talked about the pastoral side and Alice Hainey,
- 7 who was the assistant head pastoral, we would
- 8 understand, at the time. You'd had no experience of
- 9 that?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. Did you understand before you arrived that there would
- 12 be some form of training?
- 13 A. No -- well, there was nothing sort of formal and I can't
- 14 remember how the job was advertised originally, I don't
- know if it was just teacher, and I think because
- 16 we had done -- there was another girl who started the
- 17 same time, they only had one post but they thought we
- both did exceptionally in the interview and so they
- offered two posts. I can't remember why I'd applied as
- teacher to the school, but I think perhaps in
- 21 interview they said, "Well, here's the job but also we'd
- like to offer you assistant housemaster as well".
- I can't remember how it worked but ...
- 24 LADY SMITH: Sorry, assistant ...?
- 25 A. Assistant housemaster. So I had no training, no notion

- of what it involved, but certainly I thought at the time
- I would have been taken through the processes and what
- 3 the job and the remit entailed.
- 4 MR BROWN: You say at page 5, paragraph 17 of your
- 5 statement -- and this is obviously talking about you
- 6 arriving at QVS:
- 7 "I did one training course, but that was off my own
- 8 back to try to get some understanding of bereavement
- 9 counselling."
- Just stopping there, why did that seem important to
- 11 you?
- 12 A. There were one or two pupils who had encountered that,
- and I really didn't know how to deal with it, frankly.
- 14 You know, what do you say to a child who's lost
- a parent, a parent as well who's lost a partner? And
- 16 they're coming to our environment and they're worried
- 17 about their son or daughter at school coming in to meet
- and then, you know, I just felt it was like a bit kind
- of a ham-fisted kind of process and I wasn't really sure
- I had the acumen to deal with that effectively, so
- 21 that's why I thought -- and Alice Hainey had -- she'd
- seen this course as well, she thought it had been a good
- course, a positive thing to have gone on.
- Q. Obviously QVS is a school funded by the MoD?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. And it was provided or set up for the sons of Scottish
- 2 soldiers at the very outset killed on active service.
- 3 A. (Witness nods).
- 4 Q. Was that aspect discussed at the interview?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. The fact that --
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. Were you aware of the background of the school?
- 9 A. Yeah, because I did a bit of research into the school in
- 10 terms of what it stood for, why it's funded and why it
- 11 had been set up and that's the other thing that appealed
- 12 because there's young people who had encountered very
- 13 disrupted, sometimes very difficult lives but also
- 14 varied -- Forces move around so often and this was the
- only stable environment where they knew they had
- 16 a centre, I suppose, instead of making friendship groups
- in one place, suddenly moving 18 months later,
- a different country or a different part of the country.
- 19 So it was something I was certainly aware of, you know,
- the background, but certainly it wasn't something that
- 21 was brought up in interview.
- Q. But you mentioned Alice Hainey, who's the pastoral
- 23 assistant head, I think --
- A. Yeah.
- 25 O. -- was keen on the course too. Was there an awareness

- 1 that was shared with you that you may have pupils whose
- 2 parents may be killed because they were on active duty?
- 3 Was that something that was focused upon?
- 4 A. No.
- Q. And she was supportive, but, as you say, at some stage
- in your time at QVS, she left?
- 7 A. Yeah.
- 8 Q. And it fell by the wayside?
- 9 A. Mm.
- 10 Q. Had other people gone on the course beyond you?
- 11 A. As far as I know, I was the only one who was on that.
- 12 We were trying to get -- and part of it was looking at
- different year groups as well, so you'd have almost like
- 14 a buddy system, so you'd have senior pupils who
- encountered issues, you know, Primary 7s coming in and
- 16 maybe four or five in a group and that was a very safe
- 17 zone, so nothing would be shared outside of that and
- 18 they could speak freely, openly, and just emote and --
- but we didn't ever get to that stage. It just fell
- away.
- Q. Okay. Sticking with child protection, Alice Hainey is
- there as the pastoral supervisor. Was she proactive?
- 23 A. Yes, yeah, I would say so, yeah. I would say she was --
- 24 she was always visible, always interacting with pupils,
- 25 staff, and I found her to be a very positive person.

- 1 I felt she was the one in the SMT or SLT --
- Q. Is that Senior Management Team, Senior Leadership Team?
- 3 A. I know, I think it changes again, but within that
- 4 leadership team that I felt I could go to and speak
- 5 with, you know, about why I'm in that establishment,
- 6 because you're looking out for the welfare of the
- 7 pupils.
- 8 Q. Again since we're talking about her, she stepped down in
- 9 due course and was replaced by another assistant head
- 10 pastoral.
- 11 A. Yes, that was -- I think it was Lyn Smith.
- 12 Q. Uh-huh. Was she as proactive?
- 13 A. I'd say different role, but no, she was certainly, you
- 14 know, visible and she got her sleeves rolled up and was
- in amongst everything, so I'd say -- just a different
- 16 approach. I think with Alice it was more -- I don't
- 17 know, empathetic. She had a real connection with
- 18 people, she could read them really well. People just
- 19 have that ability to communicate and she was almost like
- 20 a giant ear, I suppose, walking round and people just
- 21 speak with her and that's why I felt she often was --
- replacement, Lynne, she was not in that mould, but
- certainly she was very visible and had the pupils at the
- 24 centre of her -- I suppose her role.
- 25 LADY SMITH: Am I right in thinking Alice Hainey was a good

- 1 bit older than you?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 LADY SMITH: And more experienced in the world of teaching?
- 4 A. Yes, absolutely. I would say she's -- I don't want to
- do her a disservice, but I'd say she could be 15, 20
- 6 years older than me.
- 7 LADY SMITH: I think she must be late '70s, nearing 80 years
- 8 of age now; is that right?
- 9 A. Yes, that's quite a thought, yeah.
- 10 LADY SMITH: Thank you.
- 11 MR BROWN: I think in your statement -- and I'm sorry, we'll
- 12 come back to the house in a moment, but just since we're
- on the set-up in terms of pastoral, when you arrived,
- 14 could we look at a document MOD 350 and just start at
- page 1, this is the child protection guidelines of 1996,
- 16 which we would understand was produced by Alice Hainey.
- 17 If we go to page 3, we see this is an introduction where
- she's saying:
- 19 "The last decade has seen very considerable research
- 20 by members of a variety of professions into the area of
- 21 child abuse. Out of it has come a significantly greater
- 22 awareness not only of the existence but also of the
- 23 prevalence and consequences of child abuse. There has
- 24 also been developed a realisation of the many forms
- 25 which abuse may take, not all necessarily open to neat

1 classification."

It goes on, next paragraph:

"The staff of a school have an important part to play in the sensitive and delicate work of dealing with known or suspected cases of abuse. But in addition to that they have a role of very particular importance in the identification of the signs of abuse. There are opportunities within the context of school life for observing symptoms which could otherwise well pass unnoticed. But for advantages to be taken of these opportunities there is a need for some knowledge of those systems and an awareness of the action which requires to be taken when they are identified.

These guidelines are the first draft of QVS's guidelines designed to inform and support staff who have an important part to play in child protection. They are issued to coincide with the first in-service training on child protection the school has offered."

And we see, just going down to the bottom, that it's A Hainey, assistant headteacher (pastoral) and July 1996.

We don't need to go through the document. This is a document that clearly was in existence when you joined in 1998.

A. Mm.

- Q. Seeing it, do you now remember it? Were you given a copy of it?
- A. I probably was, yeah. I'd imagine I would have been as

  part of -- I'm sure there would have been some sort of

  induction pack or something, many forms to fill in or to

  have knowledge of, and then getting to grips with

  everything else at the time.
- Q. And I think, again, we don't perhaps need to wade into
  them because we can read them for ourselves, but we
  know, for example, that there was a staff handbook from
  1998/9, there was boarding arrangements, guidance for
  parents, and in 1999 there was a pastoral handbook
  produced.
- 14 A. (Witness nods).

21

22

23

24

- Q. So the period that you begin, that first year, not only would you get your child protection guidelines in an induction pack, one assumes, but there were other documents focusing on similar but slightly different approaches to the same thing?
  - A. Yeah, and much the same as you would have in state

    education where -- part of your GTC and such like when

    you go onto the register. But you're aware of all those

    systems and the requirements. And also you have your

    point of contact within a school as well, who's the

    person if you have any questions or concerns, then you

- can go and speak with that individual.
- 2 Q. Was that Alice Hainey --
- 3 A. That would have been Alice Hainey, yeah.
- Q. -- for the purposes of QVS? Coming from three years in the state sector, did you see any difference when you got to QVS on the pastoral side?
- 7 A. Yes, I think one thing that I was aware of in the state system -- because we worked -- one of my -- well, the 8 assistant headteacher in High School, he was 9 teacher so I was aware of his guidance 10 also a role as it was then, I'm not sure it's still called that 11 now, but you were aware of pupil profiles and just the 12 13 work going -- involved and social workers, social care coming in, different agencies are all working within the 14 15 state system, were coming into it. But in QV there was 16 nothing like that. I was unaware of Social Services or social workers, you know, some outside support agencies 17 coming in and supporting families or children, whereas 18 in the state that was certainly something that was --19 20 and in the latter school I was in, they had a base where a social worker or workers were based within the school 21 22 to support families within the community, troubled families and also a place of safety within the school to 23 go to. So that certainly wasn't there, so it was a real 24 25 difference in terms of what I was able to witness in the

- 1 state system compared to QV.
- 2 Q. The short version of that is there was a connection with
- 3 Local Authority safeguarding?
- 4 A. Yeah, in state systems, yeah.
- 5 Q. Yeah. Because in the state it's the Local Authority who
- 6 are responsible for the schools?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. But QVS has its own independent body?
- 9 A. Yeah.
- 10 Q. With MoD oversight?
- 11 A. That's right.
- 12 Q. We'll come back to how things worked but if we can now
- 13 return to you going into the house, which house did you
- 14 go into?
- 15 A. The boarding house?
- 16 Q. As assistant housemaster?
- 17 A. Trenchard House.
- 18 Q. Just so we understand, because we know that obviously it
- had been a boys' school but QV became co-ed.
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. When you started it was still a boys' school?
- 22 A. It was still a boys' school then.
- Q. You said you didn't get any formal training. There was
- obviously child protection material available to you,
- 25 you have Alice Hainey, but I think, going back to

- paragraph 17 on page 5, is it summed up you shadowed the housemaster for the first term to learn the ropes?
- Yeah, that's right. And really that was it. He would 3 Α. be on duty with me so I'd watch him to see how it 4 worked, just interacting, how the day worked. I had no 5 idea what independent schools -- because you hear of 6 7 prep, you hear of, you know, wake up and getting ready 8 for school and chapel and all these sort of things were 9 completely foreign to me, and games and various times of the week that they were on. Saturdays and duties and 10 things. So there was a lot to take in, you know, from 11 somebody who wasn't really too enamoured with school in 12 13 the younger years to come into that environment. It was all-pervading, really, I suppose, in terms of how much 14 15 I had to take in. So the housemaster, I shadowed him 16 and then he would shadow me, obviously until he felt I was at a point where he felt comfortable in my routine 17 and my interaction with the young people. 18
  - Q. You were there till, I think, the 2006 in real terms 2005 was the last year you taught there.
- 21 A. (Witness nods).

20

- Q. Did that ever change in terms of, as far as you were
  aware, the induction of new housemasters or mistresses?
  Was there more formal training at any stage?
  - A. No, not that I was really aware of. And certainly we

had a depute come into the house when we moved to the co-ed house and she lived within the building and there was just sort of questions that, you know, routine questions I could ask her, but again because she was just in the flat below the housemaster, they had their interactions. So I didn't really have a great deal to do then. I wasn't privy to any sort of training that she may have been undertaking or required to undertake or any sort of formal training that may have been offered. I was unaware of that.

## Q. At paragraph 19 you say:

"The housemaster had a flat within the boarding house, so he was always available. He would pop out when I was on duty just to see how I was doing so I got support through him that way and we would to a handover the following day. We had a diary and you would write it up, just saying what had happened, what child was upset, if you reassured them or if one wasn't behaving as he should. So he knew what he was coming into that morning."

21 A. Yeah.

- Q. So there's essentially a notebook that you can pass on so you become current of what's happened on the previous shift, if you like?
- 25 A. Yeah, that's right, yes.

- Q. That was obviously a way, and I think you come onto this later, of seeing which boys were either misbehaving,
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Or were upset?
- 6 A. Yeah.

- Q. Was there any way in which you could have an overview of
  the boys in the house so you could say: I can look back
  and see that this boy was upset, for example, three
  weeks out of the last seven?
  - A. Yeah, I can't recall, but I think we will have had a weekly or fortnightly meeting as a house with other duty members and sit down so we were aware of any names that had been sort of there's a bit of a concern about why, because in the diary it's been noted two or three times that they're upset or they'd been bullying someone or somebody stealing something or just not looking right, not feeling right, you know, about them, so they would have been probably not formalised but certainly I think there would have been some sort of meeting where we'd have come together to have discussed any names that may have cropped up repeatedly.
  - Q. Again, same question: over the time you were at QV, did that ever become more formalised in the sense there were written rules about how you went about such things,

- recording was more thorough, or was it just left to the approach taken by the house staff?
- 3 Α. Yeah, as I recall it was just left, the approach was taken by the house staff and how we managed it and the 4 housemaster had been there for years and we were just 5 working in with how it worked there and I was guided by 6 7 that, I suppose, having not been in -- and, you know, at 8 the early stage you couldn't really challenge anything, 9 I suppose, because you were just coming in as very 10 inexperienced in that environment. But I think we communicated really quite well as a team, and certainly 11 we had the deputy headteacher was part of the team as 12 13 well so he was able to bring in things from outwith and everybody was a member of staff so anything going on in 14 15 the school, on the academic side or such like, they could then say -- filter this in and say, "We've noticed 16 17 an issue in the class between these two, we're not sure what's going on", so there was good communication that 18 19 way, I suppose, but probably not formalised.
- Q. So there was communication from the school side back to the house?
- 22 A. Yeah.
- Q. So you would have a picture, you hoped?
- A. Yeah, and from the school side that would have been those within the boarding house, I suppose, who had

their weekly duty. So a member of staff would be, for instance, part of their role within the school, wider role, would be on a Tuesday and a Thursday night they might come in for -- or one night a week perhaps for three or four hours to just look after the house type thing during the post school up till bedtime, and then at that point they would just have a little handover and say, "Someone's having a bit of an issue here but I've noticed in class that they've had a bit of an issue as well, perhaps we should let the housemaster know."

- 11 Q. That sounds somewhat ad hoc.
- 12 A. Yeah.

- 13 Q. It really depended on who you were getting from the teaching staff to cover a particular day.
- 15 A. Yeah. It's relying on people remembering and writing
  16 things down as well, so a lot could be lost and it could
  17 be -- "oh, I meant to say" -- and, you know, so, yeah,
  18 it was ad hoc.
  - Q. In terms of the house, you talked about one of the reasons you didn't want to move your family in was because there was no peace in the evenings. Returning to that, when you were covering for the housemaster and he could shut his door to his living accommodation and have his own time, was it common to have a constant or a regular contact from the boys in the house?

A. As I understood, yeah. In the earlier period I was aware of that. Or they'd go and see the matron as well who was within the boarding house. They'd knock on her door as well or ring the bell. But when I was on duty, once I'd established myself, that rarely happened because they knew they could come to me and discuss something or if there was an issue. So they knew they didn't have to go and see the housemaster. But I think when I wasn't in the house, that was certainly something that occurred.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

- And equally, when I was off duty at 11 or 12 or whatever time it was, the pupils knew that and so if they have an issue at 1 o'clock in the morning or 4 o'clock in the morning, they would go and knock on his door and, you know, upset or whatever it was, and they'd need that reassurance that an adult was there to -- all the time.
- Again talking about formality, we have guidelines by the Q. time you were there. In terms of the house, were there inductions for new pupils by the house staff saying, "You can come see us"?
- Yeah, I think it -- there would have been induction to 22 Α. the young pupils coming in, you know, the P7s and any 24 new pupil, and, "Here's how the boarding house works, here's who the members of staff are", so I'd imagine we

would have sat down at the beginning when they first arrived to explain what they were coming into, and a lot of them, of course, it was just an alarming thing to be left essentially on your own away from your family, and so we wanted to provide a welcoming environment for them and at that point we would have said, "If you have any issue, never be reticent about coming to speak with any of us at any point", so that's just a human thing anyway, because you don't want any child to be holding onto anything if they need to speak with someone, to share something.

So they would have been aware in exceptional circumstances if you need to speak with someone, absolutely come and knock on our door. That would have been -- yeah, they would have been told that they could do that.

Q. And did they?

- 18 A. Yeah, as far as I'm aware. I mean, I wasn't because

  19 I didn't live in the house, so not to my house because

  20 I was out --
- Q. No, but if you were on duty in the evening, were you getting pupils coming to talk to you about things?
- 23 A. Yeah, they would come. You know, somebody upset and it
  24 could be just -- and you'd have to look into things in
  25 terms of, you know, it could be a bullying incident,

they're not happy with a roommate. It could be a trivial thing because suddenly they're thrust in a room with three or four other people and they have to live as a family and there are little tensions there that could spill over and it could be from the games field or anything. And so you may get people upset or just simply when the lights go out, that's when things -- you know, people get upset because they suddenly start thinking, they're in the dark, they're thinking about family, where they could be. They're worried about their parents, siblings, and so they would -- you know, sometimes you would get a wee knock on the office door. But we would walk around and just, you know, make sure that they were aware we were there, but sometimes you get the knock saying you're upset, so.

16 Q. Okay.

A. I think one of the issues there, I suppose, is that as a member of staff, while we had seniors around as well, but sometimes they would be involved in other activities, off to bed, whatever else as well, so you may be on your own and that was the difficulty there, that you were in an office with a 12-year-old or somebody who's upset and you just have to keep them over there and chat through what they're doing. The human side is very difficult, you know. As a parent you know

- 1 what you want to do, but as a member of staff, you can 2 only just talk them through things and let them know 3 it's okay, support's here if they needed to speak with anybody and you know.
- MR BROWN: Okay. 5
- LADY SMITH: Mark, you said that you could have a boy 6 7 knocking on your door because of a bullying incident.
- 8 Α. Mm.

21

22

23

24

25

- 9 LADY SMITH: Can you give me an example?
- I think, yeah, sometimes it could be -- I mean, I can't 10 Α. give a specific example. I think it just all kind of 11 12 just -- you know, it kind of becomes very mixed up. But 13 I think certainly where somebody's concerned that somebody's stolen something of theirs and it could be as 14 15 basic as a bit of their tuck, as they called it, a few sweets or something. But also -- or just somebody just 16 17 being a bit physical with them where they maybe just feel like somebody's just always trying to impose their 18 19 physicality on them and then they're being -- they're 20 just finding it very upsetting.

But nothing where -- not that I can recall -- anyone was beaten severely or beaten up. But certainly there was a sense of some pupils, they were just in fear of others. And I'm not sure -- certainly I didn't detect that from the seniors when we first moved into the

1 initial boarding house with the older boys, the senior 2 boys. That seemed to work, really, as far as I could see, quite well, and you know, looking at history now in 3 terms of what I didn't know had gone on in the school 4 5 before, you know, which I'm aware of now, but certainly no specific -- but I can recall where they'd been upset 6 7 because things had been stolen or they'd been bullied. It's that sort of very low-level stuff but it's huge to 8 9 an individual. It may not seem significant in terms of, 10 oh, they're just trying to be, you know -- but for them it's -- they live with them 24 hours a day and so that 11 12 is a significant thing so you want to be able to resolve 13 that. And a lot of the time, you know, the way it works 14 is just to get the two and to speak and see what the 15 problem is and hopefully it's resolved from there.

LADY SMITH: Thank you.

- A. But because we're in the boarding house, you could monitor that interaction and so that was the helpful side, I suppose.
- MR BROWN: Okay. You don't know what went on in the past,
  but I think, and I just want to touch on this very
  briefly, you knew from the housemaster who had been
  there a long time the culture had once been rather
  different.
- 25 A. Yes.

16

17

18

- Q. Do you remember it being commented on by him whether or not pupils were now more willing to come forward?
- A. I don't know if there's been a specific comment on his part, no.
- Q. But I think one thing you did, and we may touch on this briefly in a moment, that in terms of discipline it was obviously a world away from what had been, because corporal punishment had been part and parcel of house life?
- 10 A. That's right, yeah. I think it was the slipper and
  11 I don't know what else, you know, you know, so it was
  12 just -- yeah, a bit barbaric.
- Q. When you were talking about boys coming to see you,

  obviously you would have to see a child one-on-one.

  Now, from your time in the state school, was that

  something that you had had training about or --

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. Yes, absolutely. We always -- you didn't have a pupil in a room on your own. If that was the case, you would sit near the door, you would have the door open so the corridor would be there and people could see, but you would try and get somebody in. Or if it was a serious matter, then you'd say, "What you're telling me, I need to share this", and you'd go to the child protection person in the school.
- Q. All right. I think, going back briefly to the document

- 1 we looked at before, MOD 350, and if we could go to
- 2 page 14, this is the same document produced in 1996 and
- 3 this is the guidance for staff on interaction with
- 4 pupils. It starts with:
- 5 "Protecting ourselves."
- 6 From a point of view of being a teacher in the
- 7 1990s, was there a sense amongst the teaching staff that
- 8 you were exposed?
- 9 A. Sorry, I was just reading through that little -- can you
- 10 repeat that, sorry?
- 11 Q. One thing at a time. Going back to your teaching career
- when it started, 95, then 98 at QVS, was there a feeling
- amongst staff that the culture was that you were exposed
- 14 to the potential of allegations?
- 15 A. There was -- I think when I arrived, I don't think there
- 16 was that feeling. But certainly when the -- you know,
- it was mooted that there would be a co-ed boarding house
- and then senior pupils, girls, would be coming in, that
- was when alarm bells started ringing there because
- I just felt that I would be vulnerable, staff would be
- 21 vulnerable.
- Q. Okay. It's just the first paragraph which I think you'd
- 23 begun to read was:
- 24 "The current climate of suspicion with regard to
- 25 child abuse poses a real dilemma for caring adults."

1 A. Yes.

Q. "This is true in all schools but especially so in boarding situations where schools take a pride in fostering a family atmosphere."

And it goes on in terms, going down the page, I think, to make the point that there obviously may have to be, reading short, occasions where you do, but going to the paragraph beginning "Common sense":

"Common sense is a good guide, but it must be informed common sense. Child abusers often seek to gain the trust and confidence of children by seeming to care and then exploiting that trust. It is important for caring adults to understand that too generous limits which can be operated satisfactorily by some can be exploited by others with less worthy motives."

And then it goes on in terms of guidance under the broad heading, "Opportunity", second paragraph:

"The simplest advice would be to try, so far as possible, to avoid being alone with a child or young person."

- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. "This may prove difficult, especially in a boarding
  23 situation where it might be seen as beneficial for
  24 a child to have some opportunity for one-to-one contact
  25 with an adult. Where one-to-one contact does happen, it

- should be arranged sensibly, with others, where
- possible, within earshot or vision."
- 3 So that was something that was formally being given
- 4 to you?
- 5 A. Mm-hmm.
- Q. But something you knew, from what you're saying,
- 7 already?
- 8 A. It was -- that was formally given to us but it was very
- 9 difficult to do that, I suppose, when we're in
- 10 a boarding school, lights out, pupils -- and you're on
- duty on your own in the boarding school -- in the
- 12 boarding house. We did have the housemaster who would
- have been available if there was a serious situation.
- 14 A matron would have been around as well, who was around
- quite often anyway, so that was always a comfort,
- 16 knowing that there was another presence there. But
- 17 a lot of the time we were just on our own and that was
- the real, yeah, difficulty in terms of the role. Yeah.
- 19 Q. But it's flagged up, if you're in that situation, there
- are obvious steps you might try and take?
- 21 A. Yes, yeah.
- Q. Just for completeness since we're on this document and
- I think so we can leave it alone, if we go to the next
- 24 page there's guidance about physical contact,
- inappropriate remarks, and then attachments:

1 "You are strongly advised to share your concerns 2 with a senior colleague if you suspect that a child or 3 young person is becoming inappropriately attached to you or to another member of staff; your relationship with or 4 feelings towards a child or young person are placing you 5 at risk of unprofessional behaviour."

> Obviously that's -- because I'm going to ask you about that very thing in due course.

Α. Yes.

6

7

8

9

23

24

25

- But let's be clear, it was understood, it was in the 10 Q. guidelines and it must have been as a matter of common 11 12 sense understood: you don't have relationships with 13 pupils?
- Absolutely, yeah. 14 Α.
- Thank you. Just returning to the house briefly, we have 15 Ο. another document, which is MOD 0051. It may be that 16 17 document is not on the -- that's fine. It's a document we have, just so you understand, and I'll just read it 18 19 to you. It's, "Boarding arrangements", and it's a guide 20 for parents. And the introduction is perhaps 21 sufficient, so if you just listen to what I'm reading 22 out:

"At QVS, pastoral care is given a high priority and is the responsibility of the assistant headteacher." And it goes on to talk about the breadth of what

pastoral care encompasses. Then it goes on:

"A boarding house will have regard for the whole person in order to fulfil its responsibilities to those put in its care and for whom it is inevitably acting in loco parentis on many occasions."

Reading further on from that paragraph:

"The boarding houses with their pastoral tutorial structure are the crucial element in this provision."

It reads on:

"The house structure provides the detailed supervision of the individual and the closest pastoral care. The child's welfare is the day-to-day responsibility of the housemaster or housemistress and his or her staff. Nominated members of staff also act as house tutors with responsibility for a group of some 10 to 12 pupils. They use their professional and personal skills to listen and advise or arrange referrals if more specialised attention is required. All information is channelled through to the housemaster or housemistress who acts as the liaison between the house, parents, the assistant headteacher and the headmaster. Parents are actively encouraged to participate in the life of the school."

And then it goes on to talk about parent/teacher meetings and a parents' liaison committees. Does that

- 1 ring bells?
- 2 A. Yeah. I would have read it, I'm sure, but I --
- Q. But does it reflect what you had on the ground?
- 4 A. Yeah -- well, in terms of communication with parents,
- 5 that was a strong thing. And that would have been
- 6 carried out by the headmaster and through the management
- 7 team. I didn't have really direct contact with parents
- 8 unless they came in to pick up or drop off their
- 9 children.
- 10 Q. What I was thinking more of is the tutor system where
- 11 a tutor has responsibility for 10 to 12 students and
- 12 there is a channelling of information through to the
- 13 housemaster. From what you were saying a moment ago, it
- 14 was rather more ad hoc.
- 15 A. Yeah, that's my recollection. I didn't really feel
- 16 there was any sort of group link to a particular tutor.
- Q. Did that change, perhaps? That document's from 1998, to
- be fair, and it's referring to housemistresses so we
- 19 have gone into --
- 20 A. Yes, and it may be something that had just been evolving
- and perhaps it was just something that was coming
- through, but certainly I can't recall for me having
- a cohort of pupils who would be my tutees sort of thing.
- Q. Okay. I think you say elsewhere in the statement that
- 25 you relied on the senior pupils to be the eyes and ears.

- 1 A. Yeah.
- Q. Was that a successful ...?
- 3 Α. I'd say so, because the senior pupil is like an older brother or sister for many of them, and there may have 4 been access from other areas because as families some 5 people don't get on and they won't confide in others. 6 7 But they were certainly able to come because they could 8 see, they lived with them all the time and they were on 9 duty and they were aware, and of course they've got the 10 ear of other pupils in the school and their peers as well, and so they would be more aware perhaps of 11 12 information just filtering around and then, you know, 13 come back and say whoever's having a bit of an issue out there, you know, a bit of concern, perhaps you could, 14 15 you know, just be aware, you know, so there was that nice trust, but again there wasn't -- it was very 16 17 informal. It wasn't official. But certainly, you know,
- 20 Q. Did it work, though?

19

A. I would say yeah, on a few occasions, where things had
been alerted. It just needs somebody, say a senior

pupil, to say, "I've just noticed that Thomas or whoever
was crying and they're in the stairwell" or whatever
else, and someone may not have gone to go and see but

you know, they were looking out for each other.

because I suppose you saw yourself as a bit of a family,

- I've been alerted to that and then we've found out what
  the problem could have been. So that trust, you know,
  of the younger pupil in the senior pupil, who then
  trusted me to deal with it, that was a positive. But it
  was unofficial, but I'd say it worked because you were
  just like a family, really. That's how I saw it
  - Q. The evidence we've heard up till yesterday, which predates your time at the school but perhaps goes up to early '90s, paints a picture of a school where it was understood by teachers as well as boys that you didn't snitch. You didn't talk. You didn't trust.
- 13 A. Yeah.

8

9

10

11

12

24

25

Q. Did you see that?

functioning.

- I saw that, yeah, yeah, absolutely. I think it was just 15 Α. a -- probably remnants when I first came into the 16 17 school. You got a sense of that, that people didn't want to speak, you know, and I found that really unusual 18 19 because in the state system, I don't know, just in terms 20 of the environments I was in there, a rough little area 21 particularly, you just want to speak. If there's somebody who wants to listen, they'll speak or they'll 22 23 make them listen.
  - But certainly to try and get that trust, to make sure there's -- I suppose it's just maybe they thought

repercussions for them, looking back retrospectively you think, well, if they say, then is it going to come back on them. So I suppose that trust did develop, so they were able to come and speak with me and know that whatever was said to me would be treated in confidence unless it needed to be pursued elsewhere, but also that, you know, it would be dealt with appropriately but there would be no repercussions for them, you know, for saying, "A senior pupil has done this and I'm afraid that they're going to" -- you know, because you did experience -- you asked earlier about any bullying. Then there may have been instances where a more senior pupil had bullied a younger pupil and so they were worried about saying, so they didn't want to say because they'd be worried about the repercussions because suddenly that senior pupil, who has all the connections in the larger community of older boys, may come back.

So that eventually -- I think that disappeared,
I suppose, quite quickly in my view in terms of contact
with me, but it may have been something that may have
been unchanged elsewhere in the school.

- Q. Well, the housemaster you were working for, I think he'd been there for some time?
- A. Yeah, I'm not sure how long, but yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25 O. No, but, I mean, did you understand this culture of

- silence, was it something that was discussed by the staff as something that should change?
- 3 A. No. I don't remember discussing it at all.
- Q. Just out of interest, when you'd gone through teacher training in the mid 1990s, was this something that you were taught about?
- 7 A. It was -- in terms of sort of pastoral side?
- 8 Q. Yeah.

- A. It wasn't a huge aspect. They seemed to focus an awful lot of microteaching with a nice little class of six people where you film each other and look at your idiosyncrasies in the classroom. But until you actually got out into the school, that's when you really, sort of -- you know, you cut your teeth and it's just speaking with people, and certainly my wife, who's been a guidance -- when they had assistant principal teachers of guidance, she always did that in tandem with her teaching side, so I knew from her what she did
  - and so I was able to bring that information to the school. And also what I didn't have, I thought this is what I would have benefitted from, and so I tried to bring those experiences into that world as well.
- Q. So from what you're saying, when you're talking about how you approached pupils in QVS, that's not so much because you had any training as part of your teacher

- training, it's because you've learnt from your own
  experiences as a child?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And your wife was a guidance teacher as well as being
- 5 a teacher?
- 6 A. (Witness nods).
- 7 Q. So you were getting it from the side, effectively?
- 8 A. Yeah.
- 9 Q. And how it was done in the state schools?
- 10 A. Yes. And within the state system and of course you got
- interaction daily with the pastoral and guidance staff
- 12 because there would always be a knock on the door and
- you'd see, "I'm sorry, whoever's not coming", and then
- 14 you'd have a meeting, informal meetings and formal
- meetings as well, with the guidance staff to let you
- 16 know where this pupil is at and what we need to do in
- 17 the classroom management side of things to be able to
- sort of, you know, make sure that pupil's getting the
- 19 correct experience within the school environment. And
- so that acumen, I suppose, I was able to bring to QV
- 21 although it wasn't formalised, but it was part of my
- 22 experience, I suppose.
- 23 Q. Did you think amongst teaching staff you were somehow
- 24 different in that regard?
- 25 A. Yeah, I would say, yeah, I was quite different. I was

- 1 very pupil-centred that way and obviously academic
- 2 rigour as well, but certainly you were always looking to
- 3 read the signs because -- well, the poverty I grew up
- 4 in, I knew what the signs were with difficulties with
- 5 pupils and how people can conceal things and
- 6 vulnerability, I suppose.
- 7 I suppose I had that understanding of perhaps where
- 8 a lot of the pupils were at emotionally and also, yeah,
- 9 just a -- just where they were at, I think. And so you
- 10 had that -- I don't know, understanding.
- 11 Q. In your statement I think you make the point that many
- of the staff had been there for some time, had some sort
- of military connection perhaps?
- 14 A. Yeah.
- 15 Q. In that way, you were different again?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Did you find the approach by staff at times, other
- staff, troubling?
- 19 A. I think I found it very -- I think it was the formal
- side of things in terms of, you know, you've got the
- 21 Grand Day, you've got the parade -- you know, I respect
- 22 everything to do with, you know, sort of the Remembrance
- 23 Sundays and such like, and in terms of what the parents
- are doing in the Forces, but in terms of trying to
- 25 impose that regime on young people, marching in time and

all this sort of stuff and, you know, it just seemed to be -- and you've got the Combined Cadet Force thing, so it was almost like just a prep school for getting the Forces again, I don't know, but you had a Sergeant Major in the school, you had the drum major and the pipe major and various staff, I think, who had military backgrounds and some staff who had been there as a pupil themselves, gone out to get their degree and come back as staff.

And so they were almost institutionalised within that school environment.

But yeah, that was -- yeah, coming to think of it,

I suppose it is quite troubling in terms of the regime

and, you know, up at 7, bells, everything was scheduled

and timed and it was almost like a military, you know,

regime for people. But you're trying to fit little

lives and emotions and education within that and it just

didn't -- and it jarred, yeah.

- Q. I think on page 16 to 17, paragraph 62, you talk about raising the issue of teachers raising their voices with pupils and being upset by it?
- 21 A. Yeah.

- Q. And you give the example on page 17:
- Then you have this child crying in the corridor,
- 'Mr Whoever yelled at me and all I did was this'."
- 25 A. Yeah.

- Q. Did you find the regime was difficult in that sense?
- 2 A. In that sense, yes. I found that -- it seemed -- for
- 3 some staff it just seemed that that was the go-to. If
- 4 there was no management control: you're challenging me.
- I mean, they're 13, 14, 15, 16, you know, older pupils
- 6 who are suddenly developing their own personalities, or
- 7 even wee ones -- I'm saying wee ones, they could be 10
- 8 or 11, P7s and things, and if there was a bit of
- 9 a challenge or questioning and they perhaps felt a bit
- undermined, so their go-to was to yell. And you've got
- some child, you know, with wolf slippers on, I remember,
- 12 who is nine or ten years of age, four foot tall, and
- some six-foot-plus individual yelling, berating this,
- 14 you know, waif, and it was very upsetting. That was on
- a few occasions where -- that people's voices were
- 16 raised.
- 17 O. Did that change over time?
- 18 A. No. I think it was certainly individuals, that's the
- 19 way their chemical make-up was, I don't know, and they
- just -- they couldn't distance themselves. You know,
- 21 I'm the adult, you're the pupil, the child. They
- just -- off they went and it was -- you know, so I don't
- 23 know if it was challenged elsewhere, you know, about
- 24 their approach, but certainly -- and as a junior member
- of staff, I didn't feel I had the authority or something

- 1 to challenge them.
- Q. But one thing that clearly did change was the school
- 3 introducing girls?
- 4 A. Yeah.
- 5 Q. And presumably that had a fairly profound effect?
- 6 A. Mm-hmm.
- 7 Q. On what had been a male military institution?
- 8 A. Yeah.
- 9 Q. Was that something you welcomed?
- 10 A. No. No, not at all. I think -- in terms of, I suppose,
- 11 the educational experience, you know, the state system,
- 12 it was a great environment for boys and girls to
- integrate, but in there, it just -- it just didn't seem
- 14 to sit well because -- you know, it's 24 hours a day and
- 15 it -- in terms of managing that, it was really
- 16 challenging, I think. But perhaps it would have made
- for more rounded male pupils, perhaps, by having girls
- in and understanding each other, so I think that was
- a real positive, but in terms of the make-up of the
- 20 boarding houses and how that was subdivided, that was
- 21 where there were concerns.
- Q. I think you talk about tensions because, again keeping
- 23 matters simple, the boys were put out because they
- 24 perceived the girls were betting better accommodation --
- 25 A. Yeah.

- 1 Q. -- than they were.
- 2 A. That's right.
- Q. And what they might have expected to get, they didn't
- 4 get because the girls had taken over particular areas of
- 5 the school.
- 6 A. Yeah.
- 7 Q. Is that a fair summary?
- 8 A. It is a fair summary. There was the old part of the
- 9 building and so the boys' houses were in those three
- 10 different floors, and then Wavell, girls' house --
- I don't know how new a building it was when I arrived,
- 12 but certainly it was a new building for them, and so
- 13 I think the boys would have thought, the seniors in
- 14 particular, that they may have been moved into a new
- building since they'd had the rights, I suppose, you
- 16 know, but of course managing that, you couldn't have had
- 17 the girls in the same building as all the other boys, so
- 18 that would have been -- because they had interconnecting
- 19 corridors between the houses and things, so it needed to
- be separate, but of course, you know, for young people,
- 21 they probably didn't see the bigger picture. But
- 22 certainly there was a real tension, dissatisfaction, and
- a real -- I don't know, there was an undercurrent of --
- 24 real jealousy, I would say, from the boys towards the
- 25 girls in that they seemed to just arrive and suddenly

- just everything's put on a silver platter.
- Q. Did that jealousy persist?
- 3 A. I'd say so, yes. I don't think it -- it may have
- 4 dissipated slightly, but I think it was still -- it
- 5 still rankled. And I don't know if that filtered down
- from the seniors because they interact with the younger
- 7 ones and then that just continues. So it was always --
- 8 I'd say it was always there.
- 9 Q. Were there particular problems because you, as
- 10 an assistant housemaster, found yourself having to
- 11 supervise girls too?
- 12 A. Mm-hmm, yeah, yeah. That was a -- that was a real
- moment, you know, understanding that we were going to --
- 14 because we -- like the boys, I would have thought that
- the new Trenchard House was going to be just all boys
- 16 and then we were going to introduce the younger girls,
- 17 P7s and S1s, into the boarding house and along with that
- senior girls would be in the corridors where the girls
- 19 were, and so that was -- yeah, that's where alarm bells
- started ringing for me, which I raised.
- Q. Who did you raise it with?
- 22 A. With the housemaster and then -- it would have been more
- informally with the leadership. No real formal, you
- 24 know, meeting to discuss, on my part. It may have been
- 25 discussed at the higher sort of echelons, but that

- 1 was -- yeah, it was really uncomfortable.
- Q. And did that discomfort alleviate?
- 3 A. No, no, not at all. I think we were just really
- 4 vulnerable. Going back to what we said earlier in terms
- of if we've got a pupil, you know, and you're looking at
- 6 the policy, you know, door open, you've got to make sure
- 7 that somebody's around, and suddenly we're in a boarding
- 8 house with girls in, I'm on my own on duty, a male
- 9 member of staff, you know, and I have a girl knocking on
- 10 the office door, "Can I come and see you?" and that was
- 11 really, you know, really worrying.
- 12 Q. What did you do?
- 13 A. Well, that's when I would go and try and get a senior
- pupil or a -- or I'd knock on the matron's door. So
- that's where I felt I had to -- or then we the deputy
- head housemaster as well living in the building as well.
- 17 She came in later and was able to sort of raise her.
- But it was rare, though, very rare that -- because
- I think I made it clear, you know, that if you've got
- something to say as a female student, it might be more
- 21 appropriate to go and speak with the deputy
- 22 housemistress or matron who can then bring you to me or
- 23 something. But nothing real formal there for that
- 24 process.
- 25 Q. Although a deputy housemistress was added to the house,

- 1 presumably to reflect the fact girls were there?
- 2 A. Yeah, I don't know when she arrived. I can't recall.
- 3 Because when they built the new building as well, that
- 4 was asked of me -- presumed that I would then move into
- 5 the new Trenchard building with a purpose-built flat,
- 6 which I declined, again, and remained in our house
- 7 separate. And I don't know if they then felt they
- 8 needed a second person in the building, so the
- 9 housemaster could have his time off but also have
- a presence within the building, and I think that's
- 11 perhaps when they decided we'll create a deputy role who
- is more senior to me, but bring in a woman for that
- 13 role. I can't recall when that happened.
- 14 Q. Okay. You talked about being invited to move into the
- new house when it was built and declining.
- 16 A. Mm.
- 17 Q. We would understand that you and your wife and by now
- two children are living in a house on the campus?
- 19 A. Yeah.
- Q. And you talk about after the first year when I think you
- 21 mentioned the social events and presumably socialising
- 22 with other staff, that you began to withdraw?
- 23 A. Yeah.
- Q. And you became socially in a sense isolated?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Out of choice?
- 2 A. Yeah, out of choice.
- Q. Why was that?

I think, you know, we're a very close family. Well, you Α. know, it was just my wife and I and our younger son who had taken a long time to come some we were quite -- I'd been used to being -- surviving, I suppose, in terms of my background and I wanted to protect what we had, and I valued the time that we had. And I just didn't -- as I said earlier, wanted a clear demarcation between work and home life and I just didn't want it to be all work and you just couldn't -- you couldn't just switch off. And we had our own time.

So there were staff who were living up on the Green, people next door, but they all interacted and seemed to just always be out in each other's houses and just never time away, and we valued that time. And I suppose initially we went along to a few events and -- because we're nice socially, you know, but we're quite private people and so we just -- we just liked to keep that separate. And I think the more and more every other weekend you'd be invited to some other thing and it would all involve alcohol and whatever else, people up until all times, you'd think I have a young family, I'm on duty until whatever time, I have to get up at

1 6 o'clock on Monday morning to go and wake up a house of 2 goodness knows how many pupils to work until

11 o'clock/midnight on the Monday and I can't do that.

So we just kept ourselves there. So it might have been seen as us being aloof and not integrating completely with boarding life, but I suppose having not been brought up in it, it just wasn't part of my DNA, in a way. Perhaps if we'd come through that system it would have been something that we would have been maybe comfortable with and used to, but because it was separate -- and my wife, she was state-educated as well, we just weren't a part of that system and it just didn't ever sit with me and perhaps it's just again not fitting in and I'm not sure how that was viewed by others, but certainly, yeah, that was -- and even sometimes just the staffroom, I would just go home sometimes just to have 15 minutes of peace and a bit of a break rather than just being in that environment 24 hours a day.

- Q. I think you used the phrase it was like living in a bubble.
- 21 A. Yeah.

- Q. We've heard, obviously, within small communities rumours abound amongst pupils. Was it the same with the staff?
- A. Yeah, absolutely. And that's what's I was saying there.

  That staffroom, just having a break from that, because

it was just be people discussing everything, everybody's lives, interactions, you know, personal lives, and it would just merge with -- you'd be speaking about whoever in a classroom, this person in a boarding environment, or the pastoral side, and then suddenly you'd be talking about somebody being drunk, you know, a member of staff or whatever else, you know, at a party they'd had on the Saturday night. And it just -- and it just seemed to be their world, and I'm thinking, well, there's stuff outside of here, you know. Everything just doesn't exist within the grounds of this school. There's other things which we like to do and so I think a lot of people was -- you know, they're just inwards looking, I don't -- it just seemed to be -- whereas I think we were always looking out. So, yeah, I'd describe it as an absolute bubble.

And for someone who'd come through being pupils at the school, off to university, back in and teaching and promoted member of the staff, so they had experienced, obviously, the previous regime of the school and come back into it and, you know, they were still instilling things that they'd encountered, I don't know, but certainly it seems like they've just come back to what they thought was their safe place and just continued to live within that bubble and that's something that we

1 wanted to stay out of.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 2 Q. Were there tensions between staff?
- A. Yeah, I would say so. And I had tensions, certainly, 3 with the head of PE at one point, refereeing -- because 4 part of the role as well was you would do PE, so I did 5 a lot of and various other sports, 6 but 7 also -- with the then we were asked to then go onto refereeing courses, which I wasn't comfortable with 8 9 because, as you know now in terms of injuries that can be sustained, I didn't feel confident in my ability as 10 a referee to supervise that. One game in particular was 11 horrific in terms of just the abuse from the 12 13 touchline from parents and I just thought I haven't signed up for this, so I said to the head of PE I don't 14 15 want to do this and he got really quite upset about it. His jaw was out as if to say -- and someone who'd come 16 17 through the whole system, this should be expected of you. And I said I'm not comfortable with this. 18

So there was certain -- yeah, areas where people perhaps because I -- I suppose it was my time was there, I was perhaps feeling stronger about maybe raising things.

- Q. What about tensions as between the leadership, as in the Senior Management Team, and the rest of the staff?
- A. I'd say because I didn't have privy to a lot, but I was

certainly aware there was a lot of talk within the staff where people weren't happy with certain -- well, usually the entire SMT, I think usually in terms of most schools there's always an unhappiness there that they feel they're not getting their voice heard. But certainly I think with Wavell, there were difficulties there, and I don't know if that stemmed from -- because there was a new regime, girls' boarding school. There was the headmistress there, who I thought was super --

- 10 Q. Sorry, headmistress or housemistress?
- 11 A. Housemistress, sorry, in Wavell.
- 12 Q. Yes, which was a girls' house?

A. Yes, yes. I thought she was super and she was trying to put in -- obviously I don't know what background she had, but she seemed to be really pupil-centred, but she seemed to be butting up against an awful lot of difficulty. And the new assistant or deputy head or assistant head, Smith, she was -- I thought she was super, but I think she found objections to what she was perhaps trying to do as well and I don't know if it was because they were women and also people saw them as perhaps allies, you know, two women, new house, boarding school with girls or boarding house with girls and suddenly it's a them and us type thing, so I don't know if that was the tensions there.

- Q. Did you think there was a them and us as between these two women and the rest of the Senior Management Team?
- A. It would just be my observation, but yeah, I would say there may well have been, yeah.
- 5 Q. Were they friends, the two women?
- A. Yeah, I think -- you know, professionally I think they
  got on really well, and I think they were friendly, you
  know, outwith sort of working hours.
- 9 Q. Was that tension much discussed? Was it the talk of the staffroom?
- Yeah, you -- you know, and I -- I ignore an awful lot of 11 Α. that and my wife says now I seem to be oblivious to 12 13 an awful lot of things that way, I just don't tune into that gossip and things, so -- but certainly there would 14 15 have been discussion in the staffroom and other -- when we're up at a table, you've got a dining room full of 16 17 pupils and you could be on with different houses and things and there would be mutterings about this, that 18 19 and the next thing. Nothing specific, but you could 20 sense there was an unease or just a bit of a pushing back against the -- well, two women in the school. 21
- Q. So even as someone who doesn't notice things, as your wife says, you noticed?
- A. I noticed that, yeah.
- 25 O. Right. But I think we know that following an HMI

- 1 inspection, there was criticism of Wavell House. Do you
- 2 remember that?
- 3 A. I didn't -- I'm trying to think, I don't know when that
- 4 inspection was. I can't recall.
- 5 Q. All right.
- 6 A. But I don't know if I've read the report on that. It
- 7 may have been -- yeah, so I don't know what the
- 8 criticism would have been, so I'm sorry.
- 9 LADY SMITH: Do you remember there being an HMI inspection?
- 10 A. I do, yes. I think my inspection was to do on the --
- just in the academic side with classroom and
- it's funny, I've had two inspections in my life, by the
- same person, who is formidable, and I -- you know, I got
- 14 positive reviews in both, both inspections, and -- but
- I was only inspected on the academic side of
- 16 things so I didn't -- I don't know if Trenchard came
- into -- I can't imagine it did then.
- 18 MR BROWN: But you would be aware that as well as education
- inspections HMI at that point would be looking at the
- 20 pastoral side as well.
- 21 A. Mm-hmm.
- 22 Q. Okay.
- 23 LADY SMITH: Can you give me a date for the inspection,
- 24 Mr Brown?
- MR BROWN: I think it was 2004.

- 1 LADY SMITH: Prior to the Care Commission -- Care
- 2 Inspectorate? I've lost track of what they've called
- 3 themselves over the years.
- 4 MR BROWN: I'm sorry, I may be fudging both, but there was
- 5 certainly an inspection. Sorry, just to cut to the
- 6 chase, I think both ladies were suspended.
- 7 A. Right.
- 8 Q. Do you remember that?
- 9 A. Well, I was going through a very tricky time at that
- 10 point --
- 11 Q. I appreciate you were.
- 12 A. -- so that's where recollection will have been. But now
- 13 you say it, yeah, I'm aware, but I wasn't sure why.
- Q. All right. If you don't know, you don't know.
- 15 A. No.
- 16 MR BROWN: My Lady, it's 11.20. We'll now move on to the
- final chapter which relates to different considerations.
- 18 It might be that a break would be had now.
- 19 LADY SMITH: I'm happy to do that, provided it will work for
- 20 'Mark'.
- 21 I usually take a break at some point around the
- 22 middle of the morning.
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 LADY SMITH: If it would work for you, 'Mark', we could do
- 25 that now and then come back to finish your evidence

- 1 afterwards.
- 2 A. Okay.
- 3 (11.22 am)
- 4 (A short break)
- 5 (11.40 am)
- 6 LADY SMITH: 'Mark', are you ready for us to carry on?
- 7 A. Yes, thank you.
- 8 LADY SMITH: Mr Brown, when you're ready.
- 9 MR BROWN: My Lady, thank you.
- 10 We were talking about the fact that there was
- a great deal of rumour and gossiping, both by the pupils
- but also in the staffroom and degrees of ill will
- towards one faction or another?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And you've talked also about the difficulty from the
- boys' perspective of girls coming in and that provoked
- tensions?
- 18 A. That's right, yes.
- 19 Q. So tensions were present at QVS?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. So far as you were concerned, obviously, matters took
- 22 a very significant turn in 2002; is that right?
- 23 A. That's right, yes.
- 24 Q. And that is because the headmaster was sent an anonymous
- letter.

1 A. Mm.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. If we could look, please, at MOD 000000404. This is the letter:
- 4 "After a number of comments ..."
- 5 It's to the headmaster, Mr Raine:

"After a number of comments and complaints by students I have been forced to write this letter to inform you of the problems and sexism in hand.

As an outsider, I feel the attitude towards the pupils by the staff in general is unacceptable. manner in which the girls, especially the Trenchard girls, are treated undermines the basis of the Queen Victoria School code of conduct and its whole ethos. I have been informed of several occasions on which the girls have been given permissions under the full knowledge of the housemaster/housemistress to go and consume alcohol in Stirling. In most of the cases the pupils being underage. This is also evident again in Trenchard House on a Friday night when I have been informed of their housemaster buying large amounts of alcohol for the senior girls to consume on the school premises, again being against the school rules. I find this hard to believe, as I know that Haig boys are only allowed to consume alcohol on people's 18th birthdays and even then it is a very small amount in comparison to

- 1 the alcohol consumed every Friday by the Trenchard
- 2 prefects. The school in general has a very sexist
- 3 attitude in favour of the girls, which should not be
- 4 allowed to continue any longer. The school's reputation
- is in jeopardy and I find this utterly irresponsible
- from the members of staff who continue to participate in
- 7 this scandalous act."
- 8 Were Trenchard girls going into Dunblane to drink
- 9 underage?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. Were Trenchard girls being allowed to bring in large
- 12 quantities of booze on a Friday night?
- 13 A. No, never.
- Q. I think it's fair to say that the description "as
- an outsider", from the material that the Inquiry has
- seen, may be erroneous and it might be understood that
- 17 this was a letter written by a former male pupil.
- 18 A. Interestingly, just noticing there where it says the
- reference to Haig House, which is the senior boys'
- house, there seems to be a sort of leaning towards that
- 21 side, so I don't know. But -- no, it's -- it's all
- 22 fabrication.
- Q. Looking at that in isolation, does that remind you of
- 24 the tensions that you were talking about earlier?
- 25 A. Yeah, that's remarkably -- that seems to be something

- I recall reading, and yeah, that just brings it back
  quite strongly in terms of the tensions.
- 3 Q. The boys felt done down?
- 4 A. Yeah.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

5 Q. But then if we move down just a little bit on the page:

6 "I wish to notify you of several occasion in which
7 this sexism has been taken to a more serious level."

If we can just show the next paragraph only, there is a name which for today's purposes we will give the name 'Lisa':

"... your choice of senior monitor is engaged in a less than appropriate form of pupil/staff relationship. To my knowledge [and then it refers to a teacher, you] is more than just friendly with her, and on more than one occasion when he has been on duty in Trenchard House has not left her room until at least 1 o'clock in the morning, all the while the curtains and door closed."

When did you first become aware of this letter?

- A. I can't recall when I saw this letter at all.
- I remember being taken into Brian Raine's office at some
- point, I was in the middle, I think, of a lesson
- or a lesson or something and he asked to see
- me. I don't know if this is the one he showed me.
- I can't recall. It was all very -- you know, it was

- like a tsunami of emotions and, you know, disbelief.
- 2 But certainly that, again fabrication in terms of --
- I think my wife would ask, you know, why I'm working
- 4 until 1 o'clock in the morning, you know, and it's
- 5 a very -- yeah, like a bubble, a goldfish bowl of
- 6 a place, and had I been in the house at that point, that
- 7 would have been noted by so many people and security
- 8 I would imagine as well who were monitoring the whole
- 9 place.
- 10 Q. I think it's fair to say, though, you knew the girl,
- 11 obviously?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. From the school?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. From teaching?
- 16 A. From teaching? I taught her I think higher and maybe
- 17 advanced higher as well, I think. And she and -- there
- 18 were a few others, I think a senior girl before her as
- 19 well, who used to babysit for us, used to come and
- 20 babysit, and this was all passed by -- we'd asked
- 21 permissions and things so they were given
- a responsibility, a bit of payment as well, so it was
- a bit of pocket money, and so there was that connection
- there, I suppose.
- 25 LADY SMITH: 'Mark', can I just ask you to do what you can

- 1 to use the microphone, because sometimes I'm losing some
- 2 of what you're saying. If you could try and get it
- 3 nearer to you, that would be helpful.
- 4 A. Is that better at all?
- 5 LADY SMITH: That's rather better, yes.
- 6 MR BROWN: I think you've moved to your right, away from the
- 7 microphone, so if you could perhaps centre yourself on
- 8 the microphone, that would be better.
- 9 Thank you, my Lady.
- 10 So she'd babysat for you and that was something, and
- 11 I think you say this in your statement, that it was
- 12 cleared through the Senior Management Team, it was
- a known quantity?
- 14 A. Yes, and also it was with another pupil, so there were
- always two pupils who came to babysit. Again, because
- 16 you're concerned about a wee one, so if there was
- 17 something up, then at least the other one could have
- gone to get assistance if there was something up with
- our son or sons, and so we always had them, yeah, two
- 20 babysitting. In fact we had -- I'm sure we had a couple
- of senior boys as well in the early days who babysat
- 22 a couple of times.
- Q. All right. We know and we'll come to this sequentially,
- 24 but we know there were then a variety of processes
- 25 arising out of the suggestion of a relationship with

- 1 that girl.
- 2 A. Yeah.
- Part of that process involved an MoD investigation in 3 Q. 2005 and 2006. I'd just like to look at one document in 4 those papers, which is MOD 170 at page 11. This is 5 a letter from the assistant head pastoral, Lyn Smith, 6 7 who you talked about. It's dated 4 January 2006, by which time I think she was suspended for other reasons, 8 9 which we may hear about, but she was being asked about what she understood. You follow? 10
- 11 A. Okay.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. She mentions at the top of the second page of the letter and it's against a background of assistant head pastoral being asked about whether she had any reason to question whether staff/pupil relationships had crossed acceptable bounds and whether anyone at QVS had raised their own concerns and she states the following and she makes reference to concerns raised by the then teacher of and assistant housemistress in Wavell about conduct in Trenchard House, which is where you were?
- A. That's where I was, yes.
- 22 Q. And then we start:
- 23 'QRS ... '
- 24 Looking at the top:
- 25 " ... assumed these were the same sort of concerns

- also raised by [someone else]."
- If we go to the top, please. We're missing the top
- 3 two lines. So there seems to have been other concerns
- 4 raised by others:
- 5 "These concerns had all been reported to
- 6 Alice Hainey. The concerns were about the culture in
- 7 Trenchard. The culture was one of a lax attitude
- 8 towards social evening drinking and the exclusive
- 9 treatment of the senior girls in Trenchard House."
- 10 Do you remember any such concerns being raised in?
- 11 A. No, none at all. No. There were none raised with
- myself and not that I recall from any other quarter, and
- again, I find that astounding that there was a belief
- 14 there was evening -- social evening drinking in the
- 15 house.
- 16 Q. All right.
- 17 A. If that was a -- that was a Friday night as well
- sometime, that was an evening I was never on duty
- 19 either.
- Q. But then it goes on, next bullet point:
- 21 "QRS was therefore surprised to hear
- 22 that the latest concerns were over [and I think this is
- you] having a relationship with ['Lisa']."
- A. Mm. So -- well, I suppose that's encouraging that
- 25 would be surprised to hear about that

- 1 because she obviously knew what type of person I am.
- Q. I think if we go over the page to page 12, she talks
  about:
- "With such allegations/rumour being openly discussed
  by staff, pupils and parents in the school over
  teacher/pupil relationships it was clear matters needed
  investigating."
- 8 Was that something as you recall openly being
  9 discussed?
  - A. Yes. Yeah. I think there was certainly -- and I think that's another time where you kind of -- you -- nothing said directly to me, but certainly you're aware of silences and, you know, made to feel uncomfortable and really I wasn't really aware why, you know, I was suddenly being not ostracised, but certainly people were uncomfortable in my presence, it seemed, but I don't know, I didn't really know why. I hadn't been made aware of this at this point, so.
  - Q. She then goes on:

"The SCIS guidelines on child protection adopted by
the school recommended that any allegations of
teacher/pupil relationships should be investigated (the
member of staff being suspended pending such
investigation) and where a breach of trust is suspected
that the police/child protection unit should be called

1 in."

Could we go back to MOD 350, please. This is the documents that we looked at before which is the child protection guidelines. If we go to I think it may be page 8, can we go over the page, please, to page 9, if we go down to the bottom, we see the process about child abuse allegations against staff:

"In general.

Any allegations against a member of staff must be taken seriously. There must at least be a preliminary consideration of it by the school. If the conclusion after such consideration is that the allegation is completely unfounded, the decision to take the matter no further should be recorded, together with the reasons for it, and the information placed in a confidential file.

Members of staff will wish to seek advice and support from their professional associations in these circumstances."

And then over the page:

"Allegations against members of staff should be intimated as soon as possible to the parents or guardians of the child concerned, even if they are felt to be unfounded. The initial communication to the parents should be to the effect only if an allegation

has been made. Further details identifying the member of staff concerned should not be given until specific legal advice has been obtained.

In general, the same procedures should be followed as for other allegations or suspicions of abuse. There is an added dimension in that the school will have to consider the need to ensure that children are protected while the allegation is under investigation.

The headmaster should consider whether there is a need to remove the member of staff from access to children; to suspend the member of staff."

Then it goes on to specific allegations, third paragraph down:

"Where the allegations are more serious, or relate to sexual impropriety, it should not be appropriate to deal with them solely within the school. A conclusion by the coordination meeting/discussion referred to above that there was any basis for concern should result in a referral to outside agencies, principally the social work department, and the police if appropriate."

So the process is plainly set out --

22 A. Yes.

- Q. -- in the document which was put in place two years before you arrived.
  - A. (Witness nods).

- Q. We have a copy of a more up-to-date one which in that
- 2 regard has not changed.
- 3 A couple of things from that. We would understand
- 4 that you were called to a meeting by the headmaster?
- 5 A. Mm-hmm.
- 6 Q. Brian Raine, and the head of pastoral?
- 7 A. It was just -- my recollection, it was just Brian Raine.
- 8 It was only he and I in the room. I had no
- 9 representation at all there. It was a -- just some sort
- 10 of -- yeah, I don't know, he read out whatever letter or
- an anonymous letter or something and then -- yeah, that
- 12 was --
- Q. And you said what to him?
- 14 A. Yeah, denied all. Couldn't believe why these
- 15 accusations had been raised. Who would want to do that?
- 16 You know, it just went against everything I stood for in
- 17 terms of why I came into teaching and how I see young
- 18 people and -- yeah. It -- it -- I -- it -- I just
- 19 couldn't fathom why that accusation had come my way.
- Q. All right. I think if we go back to MOD 170 and
- 21 page 12, going down we see a bullet point saying:
- "I was involved [and this is Lyn Smith] in
- interviews ..."
- 24 And we should understand that that includes you and
- 25 'Lisa' and then if we carry on it says:

"Both girls [but 'Lisa'] and you denied the

accusation. At interview all had the chance to admit or

deny the allegations. As I detailed in my letter

requested by [you] there was no pressure to lie or tell

the truth."

Although she then goes on to say:

the truth."

"Having said this both girls were aware pupils had been expelled for having a relationship with other pupils. This knowledge may have placed pressure on their answer. Apart from their education, as senior monitor there was a lot at stake."

First of all, do you remember pupils being expelled for having relationships with other pupils?

14 A. No, no.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

- Q. Okay. But there was clearly a process involving the headmaster and head of pastoral where interviews were conducted and it would appear there was denial across the board?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. What did you understand was happening?
- A. I don't know. I think it was -- it was -- you know, you
  were in emotional turmoil and at this stage I think
  there was even media interest at this. There was
  somebody in the school who was informing press, so we
  had \_\_\_\_\_\_, various newspapers within

1 the school grounds coming up to our front door. 2 I had -- there was a -- you know, accusations here named me on the same page as CDQ 3 from and, you know, . And so you were just in 4 this absolute turmoil of emotions. So it's a very, very 5 difficult time in terms of to recall how the events 6 7 unfolded, but it just felt there wasn't support for me. I felt it was just -- you were just on this tsunami of 8 9 a process and I didn't know who to contact and we seemed to be in this funny world of independent school. I had 10 EIS representation and he admitted to me he didn't 11 know -- I was his first real case, but he said, 12 13 "I haven't really dealt with independent schools", so he was green in terms of the processes, as well as that 14 15 dealing with the MoD and that behemoth as well in terms of what they have behind them and you just felt like 16 I had no control over -- I just felt, you know, the 17 process was just moving along and I was just trying to 18 keep up with it and you couldn't get ahead of it at all 19 and suddenly, you know, there's interviews and, you 20 know, a court within the school, I'm still teaching 21 there and you're getting marched down to a room in front 22 23 of pupils and staff and colleagues and friends, you know, paraded to go and give evidence at this courtroom 24 in -- within the school grounds. 25

- 1 Q. That was a little bit later?
- 2 A. Yeah.
- 3 Q. But just to begin with, you clearly were interviewed by
- 4 the headmaster and, it would appear from this document,
- 5 Lyn Smith.
- 6 A. Yeah.
- 7 Q. There was denial, it would appear, from everyone?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Were you ever suspended at that stage?
- 10 A. Again, I can't remember when suspension took place.
- I seem to remember just still teaching and it being
- 12 exceptionally uncomfortable. I was still doing my
- duties, I was coaching I was in the boarding
- 14 house, you know, I was still functioning as a member of
- staff, but with this in the background. And then
- of course the rumour mill that it is, everybody starts
- 17 making their own assumptions and, you know, suddenly it
- starts to grow, you know, where perhaps it shouldn't
- have, and yeah, it is very grey in terms of when I was
- suspended, but I seem to recall just, you know, just
- 21 being back in -- we had the inspection as well,
- of course, in the -- you alluded to. My inspection was
- after this date, so I must have been teaching and
- 24 working and just functioning as a member of staff during
- 25 that period.

- 1 If we could go back very briefly to MOD 170 and Q. 2 page 13 -- sorry, I do beg your pardon, if we could go down to the second half of the page away from the bullet 3 points:
- "I expressed my concerns [says Lyn Smith] at the 5 time with reference to this child protection issue and 6 7 the way the procedures for dealing with such an issue were not followed. My best practice to follow the 8 9 guidelines and my intention to do so over future 10 concerns and follow the book clearly led to serious consequences for me resulting in your calling for my 11 12 dismissal."
- 13 That's obviously going into territory about her?
- Yeah, which I --14 Α.
- Which is not your concern? 15 Ο.
- Well, it's something that I wasn't -- yeah, I think in 16 Α. 17 amongst or I hadn't realised --
- But --18 Q.

- -- that she'd been dismissed. 19
- Q. -- did you remember her expressing dissatisfaction to 20 21 you that you hadn't been suspended or things hadn't been 22 done? You were working with her, I take it?
- 23 A. I don't remember that at all. And working with her, it 24 was fleeting, I suppose, in terms of her association with me. You know, it just wasn't -- anything would go 25

- from the house to the housemaster, who would then

  communicate with the leadership team. So my interaction

  was minimal.
- Q. But from what you're saying, she rolled up her sleeves and did her job?
- 6 A. Yeah.

- Q. And there was a rumour mill. Do you remember there
  being an undercurrent of dissatisfaction that you were
  still there?
- I just remember that was just a very, very difficult 10 Α. time. You know, and you can't challenge people. I'm 11 not that type of person to say, "Have you got an issue 12 13 with me?" All I did was just got my head down, did my job. I got pupils through, you know, their -- the diet 14 15 exams and from S1 right through the diet to SYS or sixth 16 year studies or whatever it's called, advanced highers, , all the commitments there, continued to run, I 17 classes, and just try 18 think, classes, tried to just maintain my role within the school, which 19 20 maybe naively I thought that was just going to continue. But certainly I was aware of tensions and, you know, 21 people just -- you know, blanking me, which made it 22 23 really difficult.
  - Q. One matter of detail. Can you confirm that the girl,
    'Lisa', had left the school by the time of these

- 1 allegations? Do you remember that?
- 2 A. I don't know if she was there or left. I don't know
- 3 what year she would have left. But 2002, I'm -- she may
- 4 have --
- 5 Q. She was still there?
- 6 A. She may have left. I don't know. I'm not sure.
- 7 Q. But what we certainly know is in 2004 the matter comes
- 8 back and there was a police investigation.
- 9 A. (Witness nods).
- 10 Q. Did the police speak to you?
- 11 A. They spoke to me, yeah.
- 12 Q. And you denied it?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. But you would understand at that stage I think 'Lisa'
- 15 had given a statement suggesting that things had
- 16 happened?
- 17 A. I wasn't -- I don't know if I was aware of that or not.
- I know I was asked to come in to headquarters in
- 19 Stirling to speak with them and then it -- it didn't
- 20 move on any further from that statement.
- 21 Q. Do you remember being told that the police were not
- 22 pursuing the matter further?
- 23 A. I think -- because I don't think I would have just
- 24 thought that, you know, I think that -- because you
- 25 would have been -- I would have just worried or

- 1 something as you do, you just think is this going to
- 2 continue or -- but I seem to recall that it had come to
- a point where they weren't going to investigate further
- 4 or they had investigated and there was no evidence and
- 5 therefore there was nothing to answer.
- 6 Q. But then the MoD became involved. I think you touched
- 7 upon this. There was a hearing within the school which
- 8 lasted a week.
- 9 A. Mm.
- 10 Q. And I think we would understand that that was in 2005?
- 11 A. (Witness nods).
- 12 Q. Were you still living in the school with your wife and
- 13 children?
- 14 A. Yeah, we were still there.
- 15 O. If we could look --
- 16 A. I think. I'm trying to think. We did move out and
- 17 I can't -- I can't recall when we moved out. I think --
- 18 yeah, in 2005 we may have moved out to do -- because the
- boys were in primary school within Dunblane and we
- 20 didn't want to disrupt their education, so we moved to
- 21 the catchment area, but I can't remember the date we
- 22 moved. It may have been 2005 we moved.
- 23 Q. If we look at MOD 154, please. If we go to the top, and
- 24 this is obviously about you. There was a hearing
- officer recommendation produced after the hearing,

- 1 that's the MoD, where we would understand there was
- 2 someone in overall charge who makes the recommendation,
- 3 having heard the evidence. You follow?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And this is a hearing that you were attending
- 6 throughout?
- 7 A. It was, yes.
- 8 Q. And by this stage, were you represented?
- 9 A. I was, yeah.
- 10 Q. By the --
- 11 A. By the EIS.
- 12 Q. By the EIS. And this is a hearing in 2005.
- 13 A. (Witness nods).
- Q. I think, as we see at paragraph 8, there were a number
- of difficulties that are recognised, one of which was
- the unwillingness of 'Lisa' to appear as a witness, but
- 17 that was something that was followed up, as we will see
- 18 a little later.
- 19 If we go over to page 2, and the middle of the
- 20 page where it sums up evidence that was not in dispute,
- 21 the third bullet point is:
- 22 "The boarding school environment generates rumour
- and gossip, not always based on fact."
- 24 Was that something you recognise?
- 25 A. Yes.

1	Q.	Along	with	the	first	two.	And	the	recognition	that:

"The exceptionally long period of time between the alleged inappropriate events and the charges raised against [you] makes unqualified witness recollection exceptionally difficult."

Because we would understand that this is taking place in 2005 after the initial letter was received in 2002.

- A. Yeah, so three years is a long time with media, the usual -- well, the rumour mill that was the -- the site, and -- yeah, it just generates an awful lot. So people's recollections or understanding or people just want to sort of be involved and things are just made up.
- Q. And then looking to the bottom three, which after the general observations are specific:

"[You] and ['Lisa'] had an appropriate working relationship due to her study of and [your] corollary tutor role."

'Lisa' was known to you and your wife as
a babysitter and you did bring some of your clothes,
along with female clothes, presumably your wife's, into
Trenchard to use the washing machine?

A. I remember that. It's funny I remember that last statement there because I think it was the matron who said that she had seen some underwear and of course it

was my wife's. Our washing machine or tumble drier or something had broken down and so we used the house one until we got that problem resolved and she, for whatever reason, jumped to a conclusion that it happened to be 'Lisa's', an understanding leap, but this is the kind of thing that we were trying to -- you were just constantly battling against things and thinking how can we deal with this information just coming in from every quarter and -- and someone who I thought I trusted as well, the matron and her assistant, and who are very heavily involved in local community in terms of the local social side of things but been in the school environment, that bubble, for many, many years, and -- yeah. It's just one of the things that, you know, we'd -- you know, just flabbergasted, really.

- Q. Was that part of the rumour mill?
- 17 A. Yes.

- 18 Q. Was that the sort of thing that was going round the school?
- 20 A. I'd imagine it must have been because nobody's going to
  21 come directly to me and say it, but I'd imagine that's
  22 what would have been spoken of. And it just makes you
  23 very -- I don't know, it changes your whole personality.
  24 I think you just become more introverted and closed.
  - Q. The charges that were being decided upon are gone into

1 in great detail and I don't think we need to labour 2 those, but if we go to page 6, I think it was 3 established it was not proven that she was in your room until 1 o'clock, but it does say in charge 1 having 4 5 behaved in an inappropriate manner in your dealings with 'Lisa', ultimately the conclusion at paragraph 36 on 6 7 page 7 that charge was found proven against you for 8 being in her room. Your denial was noted and the

evidence or the conclusion was that you hadn't been

11 A. Mm I remember that. That was something that Brian Raine
12 had taken a note of when I went to see him initially and
13 he took some notes in terms of my statement and what he
14 had stated was that I'd gone into her room and I'd gone
15 to her room, you know, the room door to knock or
16 whatever else because I would never go into a pupil's
17 room.

entirely truthful.

- Q. I'm not wanting to relive the hearing, but that was the conclusion.
- 20 A. Yes.

9

10

18

19

Q. And equally it was concluded on a balance of probability
that the second charge of having conducted
an inappropriate relationship with 'Lisa' was also
proved on the balance of probability, that was in
relation to (a) sending her an inappropriate birthday

- 1 card, and there was a great deal of evidence, you saying
- 2 no, others saying yes, which they accepted.
- 3 A. Mm-hmm.
- 4 Q. And also physical relations, albeit it was accepted that
- 5 that took place immediately after she left the school on
- 6 Grand Day.
- 7 A. (Witness nods).
- 8 Q. So I think from the point of view of the hearing, it was
- 9 accepted that that was after she had just left school.
- 10 You denied that too?
- 11 A. Absolutely, yeah.
- Q. One obvious question: why would 'Lisa' make these
- 13 allegations if they weren't true?
- 14 A. That's -- that's a complete mystery to me. You know, my
- 15 wife has experienced it on several occasions where
- 16 pupils have a crush, an infatuation. In fact, where we
- 17 lived more recently, she had lettering scrawled on the
- 18 road outside our house saying, "I love you" to my wife,
- and we lived and worked in that community as well, and
- so you do get these infatuations, crushes, and that's
- 21 all I -- I can't answer, but that may be the reason
- behind it.
- Q. All right. But I think again, taking matters short,
- 24 albeit Brian Raine was supportive of you --
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. -- is that correct?
- 2 A. Yes, as far as I -- I believe.
- 3 Q. The matter progressed through the MoD, that being the
- 4 recommendation, I think further contact was made with
- 5 'Lisa', who hadn't given evidence, that was reliance on
- 6 her police statement, and she did make contact
- 7 essentially confirming that things had happened.
- 8 A. Mm.
- 9 Q. And the decision was taken, I think, that you should go.
- 10 Is that fair?
- 11 A. Yeah, and again it's very cloudy in terms of the
- 12 processes, but yeah, that was something that had come
- up, I think I'd flown down to London for a meeting with
- 14 MoD. I don't know at what stage it was at, into
- Whitehall, and a meeting with some official, MoD
- 16 official and then back up again and I don't know when
- 17 that was, but yeah, certainly there was -- yeah,
- a recommendation for my, I suppose, suspension and
- 19 dismissal.
- Q. You do remember being suspended at some stage?
- 21 A. I do remember, yes.
- Q. Was that after, do you think, the conclusion of the
- hearings?
- 24 A. I think it -- I think it was, and that was very
- 25 challenging as well because our boys were at the local

- 1 primary school and people I know who weren't too 2 positive with me, who were part of that rumour mill and 3 lived in the school grounds, on the Green and things, their children were there, so it made it very stressful. 4 5 You know, trying to have an existence as a father and being involved with school activities, and then you're 6 7 seeing people who may have something to say about me, 8 and that's what I said earlier in terms of you just 9 become completely withdrawn because then you think, 10 well, who are they speaking with in the community as well, and yeah, it's just -- it was a bit of a desperate 11 12 time. So when we had an opportunity to move the boys 13 from Dunblane, we took that, but that wasn't until our 14 eldest was at secondary.
- Q. What about press interest?
- 16 A. Yeah. It was --
- Q. You've talked about that being doorstepped, I think would be the phrase, at the very beginning because people were talking?
- A. Yes. It was still ongoing. And again that raised its
  head at another stage, and I can't recollect when, but
  it just seemed to be something that -- I don't know,
  somebody was just feeding to the press or contacting the
  press saying, "This is going on", and you think how have
  they got any knowledge of this? This is meant to be

- 1 a private matter, you know, but it's out there, and you 2 just didn't -- we weren't just seen in public. We just completely withdrew and our time was spent either just 3 involved with the boys at school, my wife was working, 4 5 I wasn't, and trying to fill days and of course it's very difficult because this is the only thing that's in 6 7 your head and you don't know when I'm going to work again and, you know, just -- you know, how -- how it's 8 9 going to end up.
- 10 Q. I think you took the view ultimately that you should resign?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. Why was that?
- It was simply because I think we were exhausted with the 14 Α. 15 whole process. It had been, as you say, years at that point that we'd been dealing with this and it seemed 16 17 like we'd had this court session, you know, and years had passed in between, so much more information coming 18 19 in, which was, you know, again just ridiculous, and we 20 just thought, well, we're trying to fight against 21 a system, a regime who just seemed to have it in for me 22 for whatever reason, and I was being squeezed out, so in speaking with my EIS representative, he suggested that 23 a way would be if I resigned, I could then get a letter 24 just simply -- maybe some sort of financial 25

- compensation, which as you'll no doubt be aware wasn't
- 2 incredibly high, and a letter stating that I worked at
- 3 the school between certain dates. It was a very bland
- 4 statement of -- not even a reference.
- 5 Q. I was going to come onto that but if we can briefly look
- 6 at another document, MOD 215, this is a letter dated
- 7 2006 from you to the headmaster where you're
- 8 saying:
- 9 "Grievance and resignation.
- I am aggrieved by the fact that the Ministry of
- 11 Defence Command Secretary yesterday withdrew an offer
- detailed in an email 2005."
- 13 Should we understand that what was going on, there
- were negotiations to try and get you out?
- 15 A. Yes, yes.
- 16 Q. By way of an agreement?
- 17 A. There was -- yeah, an agreement by the MoD and they were
- offering, I think, you know --
- 19 Q. The details perhaps don't matter, but an offer was
- 20 withdrawn. That generates this letter?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Where you say, "Enough, I'm resigning"?
- 23 A. Yeah.
- Q. In reality, did it ultimately resolve by way of
- a compromise agreement signed in 2007?

- A. I suppose, yeah, that was -- was that in 2007? Really?
- 2 Goodness. So it was -- yeah, that would have -- oh,
- it's funny how you just forget, but I'd imagine that's
- 4 what would have moved that -- to that stage, the
- 5 compromise agreement.
- 6 Q. But I think we understand from other documents that your
- 7 employment ceased in 2006?
- 8 A. Okay.
- 9 Q. Formally.
- 10 A. Mm-hmm.
- 11 LADY SMITH: But just to confirm, it looks as though
- negotiations between you and the school began in the
- course of 2005, would that be right?
- 14 A. Yes, that would have been following the findings,
- 15 I suppose, yeah.
- 16 LADY SMITH: Because you refer to a letter of
- 17 2005 that must have had an offer --
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 LADY SMITH: -- in it.
- 20 MR BROWN: But you went on to talk about references, and
- 21 we'll come back to the compromise agreement and the
- reference, the simple reference. You are now out of
- 23 a job.
- 24 A. Yeah.
- Q. You're out of a job because there has been a finding of

- inappropriate sexual behaviour with a pupil.
- 2 A. By -- by MoD.
- 3 O. Yes.
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. But that's the basis of it, there's no --
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. -- doubt about that. You then try and get another job?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Straight away?
- 10 A. Yeah.
- 11 Q. So we're talking the 2006
- 12 A. (Witness nods).
- Q. Did you manage to get another job?
- 14 A. I can't recall when, but I got in touch with my previous
- 15 council, Falkirk District as I think it was then. They
- knew me. I went onto the supply register, I think, at
- 17 that point, undertook an enhanced disclosure, you know,
- still a member of the GTC, and with that, they -- I got
- some supply work from there.
- Q. Did you ever tell them why you'd left QVS?
- 21 A. I don't think -- I don't know if I shared that. I may
- have, but I think it just came to an end in the -- with
- 23 my employer and I'm seeking new work back in the state
- 24 system.
- Q. Do you know if references were sought?

- 1 A. I'd imagine they would have been.
- Q. And did you make reference to having taught at QVS?
- 3 A. Yeah, I would have, yeah, because they would have -- I'm
- 4 sure, I think, you know, because they would have looked
- 5 at my -- my teaching history, so I would have thought
- 6 I would have done that. But I would imagine in the
- 7 application or whatever you fill out for the council,
- 8 they would look at your history and I'd imagine that
- 9 that would have been in there.
- 10 Q. Would it be fair to say you weren't highlighting the
- 11 fact that you'd left QVS under a cloud?
- 12 A. That's safe to say, yes. I was looking for -- you know,
- I was unemployed in a profession I absolutely adored and
- so I was trying to secure a future for us as a family,
- and if a question was asked, I would have answered it.
- 16 Q. But were you banking on them not asking?
- 17 A. Well, I don't know if I was banking on not asking.
- 18 Q. Hoping?
- 19 A. Hoping or just probably desperate for a job to try and
- 20 keep things alive for us as a family. And I think in
- 21 the context of -- I just felt that we were just in this
- whole process and, as I've said all the time, I've
- 23 denied everything all this time and I thought it was
- just, you know, this rumour mill and I'd been a victim
- in this and I -- I hadn't done anything wrong, and so

- I think at that stage I thought, well, I haven't done
- anything wrong, I'm quite within my rights to apply and
- 3 continue -- continue teaching.
- Q. Okay. Could we look at MOD 429, please. You'll see
- 5 this is an email dated 2006 from the MoD,
- 6 the inevitable acronym of the post, and it's to
- 7 a lady -- does the name mean anything to you?
- 8 A. Susan Rutledge, no.
- 9 Q. Presumably connected with the school, given the body of
- 10 the text:
- 11 "Please find attached reference wording which must
- [underlined] be used in response to any request from
- other employers for references in respect of [you]. The
- 14 wording of the reference has been cleared through
- command sec(?) today ... grateful if you could alert
- 16 Brian ... "
- 17 Which we would take must be Raine.
- 18 A. (Witness nods).
- 19 Q. Then if we go over the page we see what is to be used,
- 20 must be used, with the emphasis I've underlined:
- 21 "Again to be used in response to any request for
- a reference in respect of [you]. [You] were appointed
- as a teacher ..."
- And it goes on to detail. Second paragraph:
- 25 "In [your] teaching role [you] demonstrated a firm

grasp of the key issues, lessons were well structured,
management of his classes sound, beyond his primary role
[you] also undertook supervisory and pastoral care
responsibilities for the junior boarding house as well
as supporting extracurricular activities for pupils.

"Prior to his resignation from the school, investigations had been in train into serious allegations of inappropriate conduct in 2002. At the time of his resignation, disciplinary procedures had reached a late stage but in accordance [missing 'with'] our regulations these were suspended when [you] left MoD's employment."

You resigned from the school with effect from

2006. The circumstances leading up to your
resignation were such that section 2(3)(b) of the

Protection of Children (Scotland) Act 2003 was deemed to
be applicable and the MoD therefore made a reference to
the Scottish Ministers.

Do you know if that reference was used?

A. I don't know at all.

- Q. Were you aware that reference had been made by the MoD to the Scottish Ministers under the Protection of Children (Scotland) Act?
- A. No. I mean, if that was in amongst I was unaware. As far as I'm aware that's the first time I've read that.

- 1 Q. But be that as it may, we would understand that there
- was then subsequently a compromise agreement dated
- 3 2007 --
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. -- to resolve matters formally, and I think we see that
- 6 and there's reference to -- this is MOD 217 --
- 7 A. And I think a lot of the compromise agreement would have
- 8 been between my representative and the MoD, so
- 9 Rami Okasha, my EIS representative, he would have
- 10 been --
- 11 LADY SMITH: Well, he would be acting on your behalf.
- 12 A. He would be, yes. Thank you. So I think in terms of
- 13 that, yeah, I can't recall seeing that at all, so that's
- 14 really an alarming thing to have read there actually.
- MR BROWN: Well, I think if we go to 217 and if we go to
- 16 page 3, this is the compromise agreement. You sign it
- on page 8, as we see, and it's agreed -- again, we don't
- 18 need to look at this, one of the clauses of the
- 19 agreement:
- "In the event of the school receiving a query into
- 21 the circumstances of the employee's resignation from his
- 22 employment or a resolution of the claim, they shall
- respond with a statement [as] set out in schedule 1."
- 24 And that is contained at page 9 --
- 25 LADY SMITH: Sorry, Mr Brown, can you just give us that

- 1 again slowly? In the event of the school in addition --
- 2 MR BROWN: Sorry:
- "In the event of the school receiving a query into
- 4 the circumstances of the employee's resignation from his
- 5 employment or a resolution of the claim, they shall
- 6 respond with a statement in terms set out in
- 7 schedule 1."
- 8 Sorry, my Lady. And schedule 1 we can find at
- 9 paragraph 9 and that's a statement to be made. But then
- we see over the page in schedule 2, which is covered by
- 11 clause 11:
- "To whom it may concern.
- We confirm that [you] were employed by us as
- 14 assistant housemaster and teacher of between
- 15 1998 to 2006."
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. So it's the baldest of references, just saying you were
- 18 there?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. Was the issue of your leaving or the background to your
- leaving QVS ever raised in your new job?
- 22 A. No.
- Q. Is that right?
- A. I can't recall. I mean, I had a close relationship with
- 25 the PT of -- on the pupil support side in

- 1 had a good relationship, I would say, with the deputy
- 2 head there, and it may have come up, I don't know,
- 3 because she was a very caring person, so it may, you
- 4 know, again you'd just so soon been catapulted out of
- 5 one environment into a new one, and it may have been
- 6 something I may have shared.
- 7 LADY SMITH: Now, also just to confirm with you, 'Mark',
- 8 what was being compromised, as I understand it, was
- 9 a claim you'd presented to the employment tribunal, yes?
- 10 A. Uh ...
- 11 LADY SMITH: Is that right?
- 12 A. Yes, yes, that's right.
- 13 LADY SMITH: The timing of that would have been some time in
- spring 2006? You resigned in 2006.
- 15 A. Yes, yes.
- 16 LADY SMITH: Thank you.
- 17 MR BROWN: Thank you, my Lady.
- But matters did come back in 2006 because the GTCS
- 19 became involved.
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Again just to be clear, you get supply teaching and then
- you get a more permanent post?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. How long did you supply?
- 25 A. I really can't recall at all. I would imagine it would

have just been a few months, perhaps, and then I secured a post in . I think I was doing supply there, and then I had an interview for the job in the pupil support department, so it was a big team, a department where we'd look at behaviour and pupil support, pastoral side, and -- which I thrived on, really. It was just a supportive environment, great people. Pupils with challenging lives, but just it was a refreshing environment to be in.

And timelines again, you know, I -- I'm really vague on that. I -- I thought it was maybe later, the GTCS thing. Maybe not then, so.

- Q. If we could go to GTCS 83, seven zeros 83, at page 39.

  This is obviously a letter recorded delivery to you

  in 2006 and they've:
  - "... received information from QVS which appears to raise a question as to whether relevant misconduct has been committed."

And they ask you to respond.

Does that trigger memories?

A. It does. And in reading previous correspondence there, unless they were -- maybe somebody did request information about why I had resigned from QV and that's why they're sending this information on, so I don't know. But yeah, I remember a GTC letter dropping

- 1 through the door.
- Q. And I think you became involved with the EIS, as we see
  from correspondence again.
- 4 A. Mm-hmm.
- Q. You relied upon them. And there's a letter, page 43 of the same document, where the EIS are sending additional

paperwork on your behalf.

8 A. Mm.

7

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

9 Q. On page 44 we see what that is, which is a statement
10 from you. It's basically putting the position as you
11 saw it. Paragraph 2, after the background:

"This changed in 2002 and the situation has caused a huge amount of distress to myself and my wife both personally and professionally. Testaments and testimonials to my dedication and professionalism will show that these allegations were not only unfounded but also malicious."

Moving on:

"I have been the victim now of two anonymous letters, the first of which was dealt with and investigated in 2002, as you will know. After a further two years of working the 60-hour working week which the job entailed, it was most upsetting to be facing the same personal stress.

I resigned from the school in 2006 following this

dreadful experience and my family and I moved ... I am
happy to say that I am now in a permanent teaching
position in Falkirk Council and very much a valued and
respected member of staff.

This is the only negative in my career."

And you're hoping that the GTCS will take this into consideration.

Would you accept the difficulty is you had never told anybody about the background of leaving QVS?

- A. Well, I don't know if it was -- I think it's preservation, isn't it? I think you want to -- you want to get rid of that -- that baggage and the process was just all the wrong, I was a victim in it, and then I didn't want to jeopardise my future career, you know, tarnish it. Let people see who I am, and they had witnessed who I am, and, you know, as I said, very much valued and respected member of staff within -- I hope. That was my understanding. And -- and unless they asked for that reference, you know, they had a bland reference, and if they wanted to seek that -- and they may have, I don't know, but they had -- they just simply had to contact QV and ask the question, I suppose.
- Q. Would you have been happy if a teacher with the same background as you had been teaching your children and

- you learned that they had left the school, their

  previous school, under a cloud because of inappropriate

  behaviour with a pupil?
- A. Well, I suppose at that time I -- you know, I know 4 I had -- I had no guilt, you know, I -- you know, 5 I hadn't done anything wrong. At that stage I thought 6 7 GTC registration, they'd supported me, you know. There was no question with them. I had enhanced disclosure 8 9 again. And that -- that would let people know that I'm not -- I'm not a bad person, you know? So -- you know, 10 people go through different experiences and, you know, 11 and what leads them to a particular place, you know, 12 13 there could be some very rocky road and -- and I think it's -- you know, the proof hopefully is in the pudding 14 in terms of my time at Falkirk Council. There was no 15 question, there was no question before QV, and, you 16 know, it's funny, now. You know, I was thinking about 17 something last night. Before I applied for the job at 18 QV, the headteacher or rector at -- where I was 19 20 really happy, but it was just we were travelling from Callander so it was a huge round trip as well -- she 21 said, "I hope you're doing the right thing", and those 22 23 words echoed with me on several occasions over the years because I'd wished I'd just stayed where I was. 24

But -- and to answer your question, you know, I felt

- I was a good person, trusted. I would never put any
  pupil into jeopardy and, you know, I feel I was a good
  candidate to be in -- in that job.
- Q. But I think if we go to page 83 of that document, sorry,

  48, I do beg your pardon, this is a letter from

  Falkirk Council Educational Services, your employers,

  and it begins:
- 8 "I write to inform you of actions I have taken in 9 respect of [you] ..."

## 10 Third paragraph:

"It came to my attention last Thursday ... that [you] ... had intimated at the start of term that allegations had been made against [you] and were being investigated by the GTC.

[You] were very frank about the nature of the allegation with the headteacher and indicated that a number of allegations had been made against [you] in respect of [your] activities with a pupil who was in fifth or sixth year. The incidents all took place six or seven years ago and the matters alleged inappropriate behaviour had been demonstrated by [you] towards this pupil. The culmination of these activities had been an allegation of sexual intercourse taking place with the pupil.

Falkirk Council Education Services has no option at

this time other than to suspend [you] from [your] teaching duties until such time as an investigation has been carried out. The concerns which are the reason for [your] suspension are in relation to child protection matters and the safety and well-being of pupils within Falkirk Council schools, in particular, who are in [your] care ...

These are serious allegations which have been made against [you] and it would be essential that we are in possession of the full facts before allowing [you] to return to [your] teaching position."

Final paragraph:

"It is of some concern that Falkirk Council was not informed of these matters, particularly as there would appear to be child protection issues associated with the allegations."

Over the page:

"I am also surprised that the Family Unit of Central Scotland Police has not been in touch with us to inform us of these allegations. It may well be aware that they are not aware that [you] are employed by Falkirk Council Education Services."

And you were suspended immediately, effectively; is that right?

A. Yes, yes, yes.

- 1 Q. Reading on, middle of that page:
- 2 "It is regrettable that at the time of [your]
- 3 appointment ... references were not sought from [your]
- 4 previous employers and we are looking into this matter
- 5 at this time to ensure that no such situation should
- 6 arise in the future.
- 7 I have been in touch with Queen Victoria School, in
- 8 particular with the headteacher and with Ms Susan
- 9 Rutledge, who is the human resources manager from Queen
- 10 Victoria School, and they were able to offer me no
- 11 information on this matter at all as they were not
- 12 allowed to discuss it."
- 13 LADY SMITH: Mr Brown, was this a letter to the GTCS?
- MR BROWN: Yes, it is, I'm sorry.
- 15 LADY SMITH: Thank you. Just to be clear.
- 16 MR BROWN: I don't think that was -- if it was excluded,
- 17 I don't think it should have been, on the first page.
- 18 LADY SMITH: I may just have missed it because I was reading
- 19 further down.
- 20 MR BROWN: No, it's --
- 21 LADY SMITH: Oh, this is -- it says General Teaching
- 22 Council, but this is the General Teaching Council of
- 23 Scotland.
- MR BROWN: Yes, it's a letter of Falkirk Council setting out
- 25 the position and their concerns.

- 1 LADY SMITH: And they want more information.
- 2 MR BROWN: Yes, absolutely.
- But what is striking about that is that as soon as
  they learned that, you were suspended, because it's
  child protection. Do you not understand, regardless of
  how you view yourself subjectively, there are wider
- 7 concerns?

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- I was unaware of whether or not this -- Falkirk had been 8 Α. 9 in touch with QV, so -- they knew where I had been, so 10 if they were seeking references, I would assume they would have been in touch with them. So I may have 11 12 thought if they saw a reference, it would have been he 13 worked from here to there, and they realised I was a good person, good teacher, and they were happy with 14 15 that and I hadn't realised that they hadn't been in touch to seek reference and QV, on their side, said, 16
  - Q. That's after you've been suspended or around the time you're being suspended by your then employer. Do you not see that the reality would be, had you been open about it, they would have had to do the same thing at the outset?

"Well, we can't give you any more information".

A. I suppose it's just because I didn't feel any guilt for anything, and I -- I hadn't done anything wrong. I was just in this process and an accusation and it had all --

everything over the years had just been, you know,

fabrication and it had been believed. I was one lone

voice, and maybe had I been a part of the whole bubble,

it may have been a completely different thing. I --

- Q. But again, if we take you out of the equation as the abuser, to use that word, if your child had been going to a school where there was an apparent abuser or someone whose behaviour triggered a child protection issue, would you be happy if that approach was followed -- if the approach you chose was followed with your child?
- A. I think I'd -- you -- you'd assume that the whole process was rigorous and I thought it would have been, they would have sought references from there, and I would have trusted the people who employed the person, and if it came out after that, you know, something untoward perhaps had happened or there was were some accusations, then it's been acted upon.

But I think, you know, you -- you know, for instance my boys, if they're at school and, you know, and I've trusted a person, I've interacted with the teachers on so many occasions at parents evenings and throughout the day, and then I may have discovered that somebody had been -- that that accusation had been laid upon them, it could have been a person like me, and then I would say,

1 "I trust you implicitly". And you get a feel for 2 people, I suppose, and maybe that's it. Maybe it's 3 an naivety on my part, but, you know, I believe in people are on the whole good and there's no sort of --4 it's a glass half full, you know, for me, even still, 5 you know. I see the positive in everybody.

> And I think perhaps that's what Falkirk saw in me, you know. They just saw: here's a good person, and it was obvious that I was because I was welcome in, they gave me a full-time post on the back of a few very short weeks or months with them, and offered me a full-time contract. But I -- and I suppose at that time would have thought they may have -- they would have known where I had worked, and perhaps it would have all been completely different if they had contacted QV and then something had come up and I may never have been an employee of theirs, you know, for that period.

- We might take it, given their conduct immediately in Q. discovering what was going on of suspending you, they wouldn't have touched you, had they known?
- But it's -- well, perhaps, yeah. And as you can see, 21 22 I was very -- it says I was very frank with them when 23 I had that letter come to me --
- 24 Q. When the game was up?

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

25 Α. I wouldn't say it was a game.

- Q. No, it's not a game. That's the point, but it's a phrase, you understand. You were found out and you
- 3 couldn't do other than admit, admit what you'd been
- 4 hiding all along?
- 5 A. Well, I think it was just -- as I said earlier, it's
- 6 that kind of preservation for your -- for your family.
- 7 And knowing I hadn't done anything wrong, I'd been found
- 8 guilty of something by an MoD court and a lone voice
- 9 against this tidal wave of this behemoth, as I said, you
- 10 know, it was just a, you know, a sinking ship, really.
- 11 I couldn't -- I couldn't bail out the water.
- 12 Q. Can we go back to your statement, please. And page 32.
- 13 We know that the GTCS investigation carried on and there
- was a hearing, which you chose not to attend.
- 15 A. Yeah.
- 16 Q. Why was that?
- 17 A. Simply -- well, the biggest -- the critical thing was
- just media intrusion, anonymity. It was -- I -- I got
- in touch with the GTCS to ask them about the processes
- 20 and they said it would just be a -- it would be a public
- 21 forum, that the media would be there, your name would be
- there, it wouldn't be redacted, all this would be laid
- to bare, and having gone through it already over these
- 24 years, to go through that process again. Social media,
- 25 I suppose, I don't know if that was starting at that

point, but certainly media intrusion and things, it was just something -- we couldn't go through it. We just couldn't -- our emotional resolve was -- was gone. You know, 2002, 2006, and then with this letter coming through we just couldn't -- we didn't have the fight.

And one of the awful things about not appearing is that, I don't know, it's an assumption of guilt or something, but they say, well, that means we find you guilty of in your absence, and therefore you are struck from the register. And we just didn't have the fight and that intrusion. We were trying to start afresh. We were trying to start anew and it was coming back.

We were living in a very small community at that point. My wife was a -- teacher, she was head of guidance, but also she was knocking on the door of a deputy role. Because we were living in that -- you know, another bubble, living and working in the same environment, it would have been so public and would have just destroyed everything because, you know, again another community with a big rumour mill that we would have been a part of.

So protect everybody, that was -- that was our desperate solution.

Q. But the process, we would understand, is there were charges against you, evidence is led, you chose not to

- 1 be there to rebut, the charges were found proven and you
- were struck from the record?
- 3 A. Mm. Yeah.
- 4 Q. Okay. And you've not worked in teaching since?
- 5 A. No. And I did enquire, not recently but a few years
- ago, to see what the processes would be, and nothing has
- 7 changed. There would still be an open court or forum,
- 8 you'd have to apply, you'd have to go through all of
- 9 this again, and our boys -- I still call them boys, but
- 10 they're 25, 22, and we want to protect them, want to
- 11 protect us, and so just grimly I've had to just say
- that's it, and that was a really tough thing to -- to
- 13 come to.
- Q. Okay. Going back briefly to your statement, at page 32,
- paragraph 126, there's a general heading we see at the
- 16 bottom, "Helping the Inquiry". And the first sentence
- 17 is:
- 18 "I think transparency is absolutely key."
- 19 A. Mm.
- Q. Is that not a little ironic, given what you've been
- 21 telling us repeatedly --
- 22 A. For child --
- Q. -- that you knew better?
- 24 A. For child protection? Yes. And for schools -- I know
- 25 I hadn't done anything wrong. I know. I know.

- Q. Yes, but for a school, it needs to be transparent, they
- need to know about you. Not just your version, but all
- 3 the background. That's transparency, isn't it?
- 4 A. Yes, yeah.
- 5 Q. And to have absolute transparency, that requires not
- 6 only organisations and schools to be open; it requires
- 7 people in your circumstances to be open.
- 8 A. Mm. And it's -- it's desperate time.
- 9 I mean ... I think if -- if you think about life, I had
- 10 too many responsibilities and a wife, family who I love
- 11 dearly. We've been together for 33 years now. Had not
- 12 been there, I would have not been here, I don't think.
- 13 I've never said that to anyone.
- 14 Q. I beg your pardon?
- 15 A. I've never shared that with anyone. I haven't shared
- 16 that before. So I think that it's desperate at that
- 17 time to maintain life and to look to the future, and
- 18 perhaps sometimes omitting things -- omitting things is
- just a way to try and press forward.
- 20 And when it came to our door again, I think that's
- 21 when you realise you have to, you know, be frank, but
- I suppose circumstances led to that point. But, yeah.
- But I do still think, you know, ironically, that
- transparency is key, is key.
- 25 Sorry.

- 1 MR BROWN: No, don't be sorry. 'Mark', thank you very much
- indeed. I have no further questions.
- 3 LADY SMITH: Are there any outstanding applications for
- 4 questions?
- 5 'Mark', that completes the questions we have for
- 6 you. Thank you very much for engaging with the Inquiry,
- 7 both in terms of providing a detailed written statement
- 8 and coming here today to give evidence, which I fully
- 9 understand has not been easy for you. But I'm grateful
- 10 to you for doing as you've done and dealing with the
- difficult questions as well as you've felt able to do.
- 12 You'll be delighted to hear that I can now let you
- 13 go.
- 14 A. Thank you.
- 15 LADY SMITH: You'll be perhaps glad that at least it's on
- 16 a Friday. I hope you have a more peaceful afternoon
- 17 than you felt this morning was.
- 18 A. Thank you. I appreciate that.
- 19 (The witness withdrew)
- 20 LADY SMITH: Lunch break now, I think?
- 21 MR BROWN: Yes, my Lady.
- 22 (1.00 pm)
- 23 (The luncheon adjournment)
- 24 (2.00 pm)
- LADY SMITH: Ms Bennie, when you're ready.

1 MS BENNIE: The statement bears the reference
2 WIT-1-000000662. My Lady, it's the witness statement of

Alice Hainey.

## Alice Hainey (read)

"My name is Alice Hainey. My year of birthday 1942.

My contact details are known by the Inquiry."

My Lady, in paragraphs 2 and 3, the witness sets out her professional qualifications and I resume reading at paragraph 4:

"I was employed at Queen Victoria School from August 1992 until March 2002. I was employed as assistant headteacher, which included pastoral responsibilities and involved pastoral care and oversight of all aspects of the well-being of pupils.

I have no detailed knowledge of the process followed when I was recruited.

My line manager was the headmaster and there was a close liaison between us which very quickly became daily. We had a daily informal meeting to exchange information and discuss any concerns over pastoral matters.

There was no need for induction or formal line management interviews between myself and the headmaster as he had detailed knowledge of how I performed my remit. I attended courses at my request.

Counselling and child protection were two such courses that I recall.

It should be noted that the school was a small boarding school with no day pupils. As a condition of their employment all teachers working in the school had to live in and do pastoral supervision duties during the school day and in the boarding house. They also had to be involved in sport and offer at least two hobbies per week to pupils.

The system to protect and support pupils in their daily routine worked well and never changed during my tenure. Good behaviour was positively encouraged and expected. There was no formal system of discipline and punishment in existence.

Bad behaviour was punished by gating to house or school and withdrawal of privileges. Serious indiscipline would be referred to the assistant headmaster for pastoral purposes and the parents informed.

Strategic planning was seen by the school as being very important for the well-being and safety of the pupils. Boarding houses had to be modern but homely, be age and gender appropriate and thus pastoral concerns were paramount.

Initially the youngest pupils were cared for in

purpose-built accommodation. Primary 6 and 7 boys had dormitory sleeping arrangements and airy, open-plan leisure areas with TV rooms, a library, table tennis and board games.

There were two intermediate houses catering for Senior 1 to Senior 3 in groups of three or four housed in modern bunk bed/desk rooms. There was a senior boys' house for Senior 4, 5 and 6 with more double and single rooms.

This accommodation changed over my time at the school driven to a certain extent by the preparation for the arrival of girls. Primary 6 and Primary 7 pupils were phased out and this house was extended and adapted to become the girls' house catering for Senior 2 to Senior 6 girls.

A purpose-built house was built for Senior 1 (boys and girls) accommodated on their own separate floors with a number of single rooms for senior girls. The fourth house became an intermediate boys' house catering for Senior 2 and Senior 3 boys.

I did not manage any other staff as the headmaster retained this responsibility.

I had no involvement or knowledge in the recruit of staff.

I was involved in the development and training of

staff in aspects of pastoral care. This normally took the form of a one-day in-service training at the beginning of term. Topics included reporting to parents, anti-bullying, the personal and social development of pupils across the curriculum, child protection, the tutor system and so on.

I was not involved in the supervision of staff nor was I involved in their appraisal or evaluation on the pastoral side. The headmaster retained the duty of appraisal of housemaster and the housemistress post-holders.

As previously stated, teachers had to live on the school premises. There were no exceptions. Myself, the headmaster, the deputy headmaster and the bursar had detached rented houses. All other staff resided on a small estate of housing within the school grounds. Therefore all staff lived alongside the boarding houses and all could access the boarding houses.

Culture within Queen Victoria School.

The use of the word fagging points me in the direction of how the boys, and later the girls, were treated and how the boys and girls treated each other. Parity of treatment was the order of the day. A weekly housemaster's meeting, attended by the management team, discussed the matter of equal funding for leisure

activities, trips, et cetera run by the houses and equality of discipline across the houses.

The pupils treated each other as equals despite the wide age range for any school. From 1992 it ranged from P6 to S6. By 2002 it was a mixed sex boarding school from S1 to S6. Like all pupils they tended to socialise in their class groups. I never saw any indications of fagging ever heard reference to any former system of fagging, formal or informal.

There was no special treatment due to seniority.

Monitors and prefects were honorary positions that were rewarded for being of good behaviour and example.

Monitors and prefects were not involved in any way in discipline.

In my time at the school I never came across any significant examples of bullying. I was not aware of the military code of silence and never encountered it at the school, even with hindsight. The concept of a pupil's behaviour or complaints adversely affecting a parent's career seems bizarre to me. When I worked there, the headmaster was chief executive and a position once held by a commandant had ceased to exist, having been replaced by a financial bursar around 1991/1992.

Discipline and punishment.

The culture of the school comes into play when

discussing discipline and punishment. The children loved being at the school. They felt proud to have been accepted by the school. They were proud of the school's reputation and the services' background of their parents and thus had every incentive to behave and they did behave.

There was no formal published disciplinary school-wide policy. There was, I think, written policies in some houses with regards to domestic arrangements. After some work with staff and pupils on anti-bullying, after my appointment, a booklet called, 'A guide to life in QVS' was published and discussed by the pupils.

If a pupil exhibited any significant indiscipline it was treated as a pastoral concern and the pupil was quietly spoken to in a counselling interview to find out what was amiss. This was done either by a housemaster or myself. Following such an interview, a normal factual note would be put on the pupil's file. No special record was needed and no senior pupils had any input.

Day-to-day running of the school.

As mentioned before, each teacher was also a member of the pastoral team. There was a daily supervisory rota covering the schooldays and weekends in school and

in houses.

This supervisory rota was drawn up to cover weekdays and weekends by the deputy headteacher. Meetings were held with housemasters and housemistresses to discuss the needs and requirements of individual houses. All staff involved received copies. I feel that students should have been safe under this system, though I'm aware that in this area things can go awry with the best of intentions.

Whether it be in the classroom, the playing fields, the dining room or boarding houses, everything was detailed to ensure the safety of pupils at all times.

A supervisory rota was published to further increase safety. I real reasonably confident that during the day and in the evenings in houses pupils should have been safe.

I should say at this point that the school had security guards 24/7 with CCTV cameras and saw it as part of their duties to have an oversight of pupils in the school grounds. They would phone me if they noticed a pupil in distress, for example. This was done, obviously, out of the goodness of their hearts but it was welcomed.

If pupils were abused without it coming to light, they may have been detached or lured away from fellow

pupils and the normal routine of the day and abused in secret. However, they should have been missed. A strict permission system operated at weekends where pupils, even seniors, had to have face-to-face permission to leave the house from duty staff and check

Concerns about the school.

in on return.

I have no knowledge of any concerns about the school.

The headmaster reported to parents on all matters.

I had no knowledge of such complaints in any detail.

I never saw evidence of older boys bullying younger

boys. Rather, senior boys confided in me that they were
hurt and mortified by it.

I was asked on my arrival by a sixth year boy, obviously representing the others, 'Have you been sent to sort us out then, miss?' The question was asked in their first Personal and Social Education class. They were referring to the Glenn Harrison bullying scandal. I introduced myself, giving a short resume of my pastoral to date and my application for the job was just a natural step in my career. There began a fruitful exchange with the S6, who did want change.

The Inquiry should not assume that I was appointed as a direct result of the Glenn Harrison scandal. The

HMI inspection had given the school a clean bill of health but had recommended that the school would benefit from a new appointment on the pastoral front. The assistant head (pastoral) post was set up and I took up that post on 31 August 1992.

I worked with Julian Hankinson for two years. He was a charming, witty gentleman and a devoted husband and family man. On the school front, he warmly welcomed me and was keen to put in place all HMI recommendations. He did a lot to smooth my path with a number of diehards, who saw no need whatever for change.

Brian Raine arrived a year later on the retiral of the then deputy head and became the headmaster the next year. Brian Raine and I worked seamlessly for the next eight years. Brian was very experienced in boarding, financial planning and highly energetic. He did a lot to facilitate the development of the school into an up-to-date institution, both academically and pastorally.

There were no complaints or reporting processes in place that I was aware of so I cannot say anything about to what extent such a process was used. I do not know if any of the complaints were ever received and I was not aware of any dedicated recording process regarding complaints.

There was no person within the school or outside of it that a child could speak to established as such when I arrived at the school. I presumed this would have been done by their housemaster or the headmaster.

The assistant headteacher (pastoral) introduced and delivered a personal and society education class to all pupils from Primary 7 to Senior 6 and the dedicated PSE (personal and society education) period became the place to raise any concerns about anything.

A deluge of complaints followed (many about the food). It showed the pupils needed to talk and to be listened to. Out of this came the role of the assistant headteacher being the 'trusted adult' within the school who would listen and change things if it could be done. It was emphasised that the 'trusted adult' could be approached at any time in confidence about personal concerns.

Children did raise concerns. Indeed, a major concern about abusive behaviour by a pupil towards other pupils was raised quietly and discreetly in this way.

Abuse.

The school never produced a document defining different types of abuse. Staff did have an in-service day where types of abuse were discussed.

Staff, including managerial staff, were given

guidance and instruction on how children in their care at the school should be treated, cared for and protected against abuse, ill-treatment or inappropriate behaviour towards them by way of an in-service day devoted to all aspects of child abuse.

I am not aware of any specific instructions given to staff to protect children against abuse prior to that date other than general responsibility for the pupils' well-being.

Staff had to listen and make sure they understood what a child was saying by gentle questions, if necessary, and report any complaint to the headmaster. The child would have been assured that their complaint would be passed on.

There were no child protection arrangements in place to reduce the likelihood of abuse, ill-treatment or inappropriate conduct by staff or other adults towards children at the school. Abuse or ill-treatment had to be reported on. No attempt to deal with it was allowed. I think the measures were preventive, but each case of abuse is unique and general statements can be unhelpful.

External monitoring.

There was a full-scale HMI pastoral inspection towards the end of my time at the school and individual Commissioners visited the school from time to time. The

community policeman also visited Primary 6 and 7 and was a great favourite.

HMI spoke to everyone, including me, as they do.

The official outcome of the inspection was highly

commendable of the school and its pastoral care. The

PSE programme came in for special commendation.

Commissioners spoke to groups of children and

Lord Cullen sat with a group of Primary 7 boys in my
early days to find out what PSE and circle time was all
about. The pupils took turns to hold the teddy and talk
about what life was like at the school.

The school kept the individual file on each pupil as was normal at the time in Scottish schools and they included exam/assessment details and special needs identified, which were mostly educational. These were kept in the headmaster's office and access to them would have been through him. I am not aware of any special file for the recording of any complaints of abuse.

Abuse of any kind reported was dealt with immediately as a matter of concern. There was no special file for anyone to research. Outcomes of incidents would be recorded by the headmaster as a serious matter within his province. I have no knowledge of any historical practice regarding record-keeping.

The whole concept of the contribution of record-keeping in keeping children safe is, I feel, a grey area that needs further exploration, especially in a very small boarding school establishment.

Investigations into abuse.

I was involved in one investigation in my early days at the school. Primary 6 and 7 were still at the school. Using the 'trusted adult' system, I was approached by a group of primary aged pupil who alleged they were being sexually harassed by a vulnerable fellow pupil who was repeating Primary 7 and therefore was at least a year older.

He had been trying to make sexual overtures under the guise of chasing games. As the boy had been brought up by several households, I felt this complex case should be reported to the child protection services locally. A Detective Sergeant and a female social worker, both from the unit at Bannockburn, visited the school and questioned the boys with myself acting in loco parentis.

The outcome was that the boy left the school. He was about 12 or 13 years of age. One pupil had in fact been anally penetrated. All boys were given the support of sessions with psychologists from the Notre Dame Clinic in Glasgow who came into the school.

I was never given any feedback about the vulnerable wee boy and his fellow pupils were very concerned about him and wanted to know if he was all right.

I was never involved in the handling of reports or civil claims made against the school by former pupils concerning historical abuse.

I have no knowledge or involvement in anything at the school concerning police investigations into alleged abuse."

My Lady, I move on to paragraph 65:

"I recall Robert Ben Philip as being a staff member for about 18 months between 1992 and 1994. He would maybe have been in his 50s and was a primary teacher and a housemaster. He was simply a colleague and I remember very little of him. He had a reputation of being caring and hard-working.

I don't know how he was with children and I never saw him physically harm or abuse any of the children.

I never heard of him abusing any of the children. In the short time I knew him, I gained hardly any insight into him and did not see him with the children to any significant extent.

I recall 'Mark', who worked at Queen Victoria School from, I think, 1995 until 2002. He would have been in his late 20s or early 30s. He was employed primarily as

a teacher and at some point became assistant housemaster in Trenchard House, which held Senior 1 boys and girls and some Senior 6 girls.

I only knew him as a colleague and did not know him personally at all. He was a young man, married with a family, and seemed very pleasant. I did not see him with any of the children. I did not see him physically harm any child or abuse any child.

I did hear of him abusing children. 'Mark' was accused of having a sexual relationship with a sixth year girl in an anonymous letter received by the headmaster in 2002.

I was not aware of any concerns about overfamiliarity between staff and pupils in general or in Trenchard House in particular. However, in 2002, Mr Raine informed his management team that he had occasion to inform 'Mark' that a birthday card he had sent an S6 girl was completely inappropriate and overfamiliar. The card had been seen by pupils in Trenchard and the housemaster passed on his concerns to the headmaster.

I recall 'Grant' being at Queen Victoria School
between 1996 and 2002. He would have perhaps been in
his early 30s and was a teacher. His
line manager would have been the deputy headmaster and

I had little, if any, contact with him.

I never saw him physically harm any child and never saw him abuse a child. I did hear about him allegedly abusing children when he was the subject of an anonymous letter to the headmaster claiming he was in a sexual relationship with a Senior 6 girl. This was in 2002.

Leaving the school.

I had always intended to retire at 60 years of age.

I officially retired in 2002 on my 60th birthday. The headmaster had asked me to continue for a further two or three years but I refused. I enjoyed my years at the school and my retiral was personal and in no way connected to the school.

Helping the Inquiry.

The type of abuse allegedly perpetrated at the school could have been facilitated by the type of boarding school it was/is. The school is very small by any standard with a maximum of 300 and all pupils are boarders. The same staff teach during the day and supervise during the evenings and at the weekends.

A very strong bond exists between pupils and between students and staff due to the service background of the pupils and sometimes staff.

The size of the school leads to very small classes in senior forms, even one-to-one. These observations

	are not in any order of refevance of importance, but
2	when put together could provide an abuser with
3	opportunities for bonding and grooming with a view to
4	forming special relationships typical of the kind of
5	abuse of trust.
6	I have no objection to my witness statement being
7	published as part of the evidence to the Inquiry.
8	I believe the facts stated in this witness statement are
9	true."
10	My Lady, the statement is signed and it's dated
11	12 April 2021.
12	LADY SMITH: Thank you.
13	MS BENNIE: My Lady, the next statement bears the reference
14	WIT-1000000599. My Lady, this witness wishes to remain
15	anonymous and has adopted the pseudonym of Elsie.
16	'Elsie' (read)
17	"My name is 'Elsie'. My year of birth is 1955. My
18	contact details are known to the Inquiry.
19	I am a chartered teacher. I started my employment
20	at Queen Victoria School in January 1999. I remained
21	there until I was suspended in October 2004.
22	My role was boarding housemistress which involved
23	being in loco parentis for approximately 80 girls aged
24	from 11 to 18 years old.

I was recruited by interview. References were

provided by the headmaster of a former school. He was contacted later that evening by the headmaster of Queen Victoria School, Brian Raine, to ask him if the reference was genuine. He informed him that it was and that I would be great at the job. I am not sure of who I would have included as my second referee.

I don't recall any mention of a probation period.

I received no induction training. There was no monitoring as such. However, after about a year in my post I was handed a letter by a secretary. The letter was from the headmaster and stated that my performance was not up to standard. When the bursar heard about the letter he was horrified. In the presence of the headmaster, my union representative and myself, he stated that it was unacceptable and that it should be withdrawn. The bursar's name was Ian, but I can't recall his surname.

My original line manager, Alice Hainey, had little contact with me, if any. Her replacement, Evelyn Smith, was more hands on and visited the boarding houses regularly. She acknowledged that the girls' boarding houses faced challenges that the boys' boarding houses did not, and with over 80 girls and an age range of 11 to 18.

There was a boarding qualification available at the

time. Various members of staff went on the course but I was not allowed to go on the course. It was this decision that prompted me to study for my Masters in Education and study appropriate modules with the Open University. I did have to deal with a fair amount of funds, but no financial training was provided by the school. The only training provided was a Ministry of Defence training course in maximising attendance.

As the only boarding house mistress for the girls' boarding house, I made decisions about routines and schedules. It was very challenging. The rules were not the same for the boys as for the girls. The staff rota also was not equal.

Record-keeping for me consisted of keeping the books which contained the girls' pocket money and banking.

Discipline of the girls tended to be in-house and involved extra chores. Serious discipline would have been for a girl to be grounded.

The school discipline was a system of days run by prefects, which involved the pupils getting up early and going out before breakfast to do chores. I objected to this. If a prefect came round at 10.30 in the evening to tell me that a pupil was on a day in the morning, I advised the prefect that I was not going to waken a pupil for that reason. I felt that the pupil should

have known before bedtime for the next day.

One punishment that I introduced was cleaning shoes. There was a 'no shoes upstairs' rule to help keep the carpets clean. The shoe lockers were at the foot of the stairs and the girls swapped slippers or trainers to change into when they left their school shoes.

Originally, there was a misunderstanding because the girls thought that they were to 'bull' the shoes, which means spit and polish them, military style, which I was not aware of as a new member of staff. However, my intention was just to give them a brush. I hoped that would put them off going upstairs with their shoes on.

Whistle-blowing was frowned upon. The attitude was, 'Keep everything in house', 'We can deal with it', 'It's my train set - if you don't like it, get off'. I did pass on information to the original line manager, Alice Hainey, about inappropriate comments by staff when senior girls moved into the primary boys' boarding houses as prefects. She thought it was appalling but I am unaware of any further action that may have been taken. It related to a comment and suggestion made by a male staff concerning some of these girls. Pupils had passed information to me about a teacher saying something to them about seeing a lovely wee top that would look great on one of the girls in particular.

A member of my boarding staff came to me to pass on information about a male teacher and a pupil. I did not doubt her because she worked in the same department as the teacher and was friendly with both him and his wife. I can't remember the exact details about the information, but it related to a male member of the boarding staff in the junior boys' boarding house. His wife believed he was having an inappropriate relationship with a pupil who was also boarding in the house where he worked. I remember the pupil played the . I believed what drums and her birthday was she was telling me, so I passed it on. I passed it on to my line manager, Evelyn Smith, who had only just started at the school. The consequence of that was a talk to all staff about appropriate professional behaviour by her, as instructed by the headmaster.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I lived in a flat attached to the boarding house. It was easily accessible to the girls if they needed to contact me when I was not in the boarding house. The assistant boarding housemistress lived in a flat above me. The matron also lived in a flat attached to the boarding house. Other boarding staff mostly lived on campus with the occasional person living off campus.

All boarding staff had access to the residential areas.

Culture within Queen Victoria School.

The school had a very good reputation in Scotland in general. The public image is of a pipe band, red jacket and kilts. It's impressive to see children perform like that. A lot of work and kudos was given to pupils who made the grade for the band and dance teams.

Academically, I was disappointed with the school upon arrival. All girls had a set schedule which involved prep. When I arrived, older girls were making tea and visiting friends. They weren't really taking advantage of the opportunity for quiet study and homework with teachers always present to help them.

I worked on that and felt that there was a good improvement. I was not aware of any fagging being in existence at the school.

At school, any issues were passed on to the housemaster and housemistress, unless it was a repeated and challenging issue. Mostly, the punishment involved grounding. The prefects also had some perceived disciplinary power and they worked a system of days. This involved early morning chores of litter picking and such like.

It has been many years since I worked at Queen Victoria School. Personally, I cannot remember a disciplinary policy or any policies for that matter.

Knowing the headmaster, I believe there would have been policies for everything. They would have been a tick-box exercise but they were not working living documents.

I can't remember keeping records about discipline.

I don't think that I did, but I would have done so if
there was a requirement to do so. I would not be
involved in any serious disciplinary decisions, like
suspension. I am not aware of what records were kept in
that regard.

Day-to-day running of the school.

My main role in the day-to-day running of the school related to the running of the boarding house. Most of the day was scheduled with meals, chapel, lessons and prep. My role was to make sure we kept to these schedules. I organised outings to the cinema and shopping, mostly at the weekends, and theatre trips, which were mostly midweek.

I do believe that if there was any ill-treatment of the children, I would have gotten wind of it. The girls confided in me as much as they felt appropriate. For example, one girl came to me to tell me that her friend was having problems at home. She would not tell me who it was and I can't remember how much she told me. At the next parade day, I realised who the father was and

that it was an issue with drink, just by meeting with him.

I do recall one of the senior girls, Carey, being very upset. It was during good weather because she was out on the grass, crying inconsolably with her friend. The girl had a particular bond with the previous housemistress and was never really close to me. I did say to her that although she probably didn't want to speak to me, should she should speak to someone.

I wanted to help but she intimated that she could not let me know what the problem was. I now believe that this incident was related to a member of staff, which is why she felt that she could not confide in me. I didn't know that at the time but as time passed I put two together, albeit I may have come up with three.

I do think that with the combination of staff and pupils I would have become aware of any issues. Someone would gossip and pass on information. Staff were well aware of their responsibility to pass on information.

Reporting of complaints and concerns.

was a pupil at the school. In 2004,

I found out about an incident involving . He

left his boarding house and came to me. He was very

small for his age and an easy target. He told me that

a group of boys had jumped on him. I believe that one

of them had a bicycle pump and had used it in a sexual manner, a mock rape. I don't know the names of the boys involved in assaulting him.

2.0

I immediately contacted the depute with the remit for child protection, Evelyn Smith. Unfortunately, Evelyn was a friend of mine and the perception was that she was taking steps because of the friendship and not because of the seriousness of the incident.

I cannot remember the timescale for this incident, but the police were supportive. I did not witness any contact that the police had with the other pupils.

was examined by a doctor, who was of the opinion that there had been no anal penetration. The headmaster was furious that the police had been contacted. He was of the opinion that the matter should have been dealt with in-house. My concern about his response was that he did not view what had happened as a serious incident and considered it just to be a bit of fun. The outcome was that the never returned to the school.

Evelyn Smith and I were suspended and ultimately sacked from our jobs.

It is very difficult and challenging for me to think about my suspension and departure from the school.

I have spent 16 years trying to forget about the whole incident and recover from the trauma. Reputation,

credibility and track record are everything in teaching
and I had my whole career wiped out. I appreciate that
the Inquiry is focused on child abuse. However,
I believe I am a victim of the culture within
Oueen Victoria School.

I found out about my suspension just minutes before parents' consultations. These were always scheduled on the Friday before the October break holiday. I can't actually remember how it happened, but I was escorted to my flat and told not to enter the boarding house. The reason for the suspension was the inspectors' report, which graded me as unsatisfactory. That is it in a nutshell. My conduct was apparently such that I could not do my job.

I was given no warnings or advice. All I had was a very unmanageable team because of the complete breakdown in relationships and no support from management. There was no restorative practice - nothing. I returned to work in August and the inspection was in September. I had been absent for six months prior to the summer holiday.

Helping the Inquiry.

From my perspective, clear and transparent guidelines which are used and referred to would have improved the situation.

1	I have no objection to my witness statement being
2	published as part of the evidence to the Inquiry.
3	I believe the facts stated in this witness statement are
4	true."
5	My Lady, the statement is signed and it's dated
6	8 January 2021.
7	LADY SMITH: Thank you very much.
8	MS BENNIE: My Lady, the next statement bears the reference
9	WIT-1-000000538. My Lady, it's the witness statement of
10	Evelyn Joyce Smith.
11	Evelyn Joyce Smith (read)
12	"My name is Evelyn Joyce Smith although usually
13	referred to as Lyn. My year of birth is 1954. My
14	contact details are known by the Inquiry."
15	My Lady, in paragraph 2, the witness sets out her
16	professional qualifications and I resume reading at
17	paragraph 3:
18	"I was employed at Queen Victoria School from April
19	2002 until June 2005 although I was suspended from my
20	role in October 2004 and never returned. In my role as
21	assistant headteacher, my responsibilities included
22	Child Protection Co-ordinator, quality assurance manages
23	in connection with HMI welfare inspections, acting as

the pastoral co-ordinator throughout the school,

monitoring and evaluating welfare provision in the

24

25

houses, working closely with, advising and supporting housemasters and housemistresses, maintaining good links with house staff, matrons and hospital sister, working in close conjunction with the deputy headmaster on the day-to-day discipline of the school and with the housemasters and housemistresses, teaching staff, especially principal teachers, boarding staff, hospital sister, monitors and prefects.

I was also responsible for the delivery of in-service training to boarding staff on pastoral issues as required, the maintenance of a Personal and Social Education programme within the curriculum with responsibility for its organisation, writing and updating with the headmaster the school pastoral handbooks; line manager for the director of careers and Support for Learning; liaison with Forth Valley Enterprise Business Partnership; responsibility for the hobbies programme, including the planning, co-ordination and arranging, liaising with staff, supervising and monitoring the programme; link with parents on all pastoral matters and ensure that the relevant information and progress concerning individual pupils is communicated to parents and appropriate staff.

I managed the tutor system and support for tutors in their pastoral duties; overall management and care of

those pupils identified as having behavioural or social problems in conjunction with boarding staff, hospital sister, earning support external agencies, where appropriate, and parents; the overall direction of the system of reporting to parents including academic progress, plus proofreading all end of term reports.

I applied for the assistant headteacher post at Queen Victoria School, which was advertised. I was interviewed by the headmaster, deputy headmaster and a member of the Board of Commissioners. Prior to this interview I was shown around the school by the current assistant headteacher and was able to view the possible housing properties. I was offered the post by telephone and then by letter. I completed a Disclosure Scotland Form before taking up the post.

My line manager in the post of assistant headteacher was the headmaster himself. I would see him daily and more often than once a day. I would also see him along with the rest of the Senior Management Team once a week and with the housemasters, housemistresses once a week.

I met with the incumbent assistant headteacher prior to starting to go over the job including seeing the Personal and Social Education handbook she had prepared plus all the PSE resources and I met her again in the evening to go round the boarding houses.

1 At the start of the school term I did briefings to 2 the whole staff.

In the November of 2002, I undertook training on leadership and developing people by defence management training. In September of 2003 I was trained in equality and diversity for managers, and October 2003 I was trained in discipline and restoring efficiency, and in April 2004 I was trained in clustering and evidence-based assessments, all provided by DB Learning."

My Lady, I now move on to paragraph 12:

"I had involvement in the strategic planning in the form of contributing along with the headmaster, deputy head and bursar in completing, amending and updating policy documents. A management plan was introduced for 2004/5 and we each had our own component tasks, objectives, target dates and performance indicators to deliver.

The potential for abuse featured and was covered in the pastoral staff handbook section on the role of the school in protecting children from abuse.

My recollection of the school's strategic approach, as far as I remember, was that the school management team would work through any recommendations from previous inspections or commissioner visits to produce

plans for implementing these.

In my role as assistant headteacher, I managed staff. I was the line manager for the housemasters/mistresses, the careers director and latterly a link for the support for the learning department. I was also the second reporting officer for house matrons and house maids. I met weekly with housemasters and housemistresses and was primarily involved in helping them with the house development plans, welfare issues and training and development requests. I met monthly with house matrons and again my role was aiding with training and development, equality of roles across the houses, mainly to do with laundry.

In my role I had involvement in the recruitment of staff at the school and was issued with copies of all applications plus CVs prior to the interview. I had no knowledge prior to my first recruitment interview and I made a mistake during that interview by asking a question which upset the interviewee. I subsequently attended a recruitment practices course.

I have no knowledge to what extent references were obtained from former employers of applicants, what references were expected to cover and whether referees why actually spoken to, as none of this was within my remit.

I was involved in the training and personal development of the whole staff in inappropriate staff/pupil relationships, suicide in young people, management of crises, including sudden death, depression in young people and child protection guideline updates.

I trained the housemasters and housemistresses and the residential and senior tutors in the care standards.

I was not involved in supervision of staff/staff appraisal/staff evaluation. The headmaster used to do all appraisal of staff except those on the Senior Management Team, prior to the Senior Management Team being involved. The system changed in November 2004 where there was to be, along with the headmaster and deputy headmaster, a programme of class visits till June 2005 for classroom observation and monitoring.

Initially this was to take the form of observing, walking around, looking at jotters, diaries, et cetera.

I was allocated to the art, science, technical, PE and Support for Learning departments.

I lived in a school property within the main grounds next to the headmaster. All staff with house staff permission had access to the children's residential areas.

Culture within Queen Victoria School.

Staff, pupils and parents were exceptionally proud

to be associated with this military school and its traditions. The school was often on display to some very high ranking officials and royalty with the pipe band and dancers regularly appearing at international rugby matches and the Edinburgh Military Tattoo. In my opinion the ceremonial military side ran over into everyday school, whether it was a blind obedience to officialdom, for example staff would never question a decision by the Commissioners and would be appalled when anyone did.

Similarly pupils often lied to avoid being in serious trouble as they were aware that a suspension/expulsion would be a black mark on the record of a parent in the military, as their commanding officer may be made aware of problems on the home front. The headmaster told me this in my early days at the school in an attempt to help me understand the different nature of this school compared to others I had taught in before. This was held over them by some parents. Pupils would follow the military code of never dobbing in a fellow pupil when being asked about an incident. Some would fear pupil reprisals. I know that in all schools there is an unwritten code about children not dobbing in fellow pupils, but there seemed to be a genuine fear resulting in excessive hours being spent

by staff trying to get the full facts of incidents.

The word fagging to me originates in posh boarding schools where pupils can be asked by those senior to them to carry out chores. There was an element of status involved and a thank you gift would be handed over at the end of a term or year. However nowadays I think we would see doing chores for someone else as an act of enforcement and would treat it as bullying. I rather suspect some instances of getting younger pupils to do chores did exist, but have no recollection of having to deal with this on any major scale.

Discipline and punishment.

Enforcing effective discipline was the responsibility of every member of staff. Strategies involved non-verbal warning, verbal warning, isolation, informal talk, formal talk, informal punishment exercise, formal punishment exercise, formal punishment exercise (signed by house staff), referring to the principal teacher or referral to the housemaster or housemistress, or even a referral to the assistant headteacher.

A yellow card system was introduced to be issued if pupil progress was poor. Examples of this would be not working in class, not doing homework, disrupting others in class or failing to follow school routines in boarding houses. The referral system follows from

teacher to principal teacher to assistant headteacher or housemaster/housemistress to assistant headteacher.

The assistant headteacher would interview the pupil and explain the ways they have been falling behind and explain how serious a yellow card is. It was meant to help the pupil focus on behaviour throughout the day and handed to every teacher before the start of each lesson. The yellow card should only have been used when all other disciplinary procedures had been gone through and had not succeeded in motivating the pupil.

The Queen Victoria School discipline policy was the school's most formal policy on discipline and punishment. Each member of staff and department would have a copy of the discipline policy and a copy would be sent to each family of a new pupil. In practice it was intended to apply as detailed above, but I found inconsistencies in the decisions staff took and all too often departments were quick to pass on their problems to me. As a result of this and after discussion with heads of department, I along with the Support for Learning department developed a merit system to enable pupils to work and achieve their potential rather than being punished.

An individual teacher's discipline logbook should have been made recording date, time and the nature of

the offence, the name of the pupil and whatever action was taken. I as assistant headteacher kept a note in my planner of every pupil I saw each day and I assumed if reported to housemaster/housemistress they would put a note on a pupil's file.

Senior pupils had some responsibility for discipline. They would ensure pupils did their duties within the boarding houses and within the pipe band, Combined Cadet Force and on parade. Their behaviour was supervised by duty members of staff in houses, the pipe major, the drum major, the Commander of the CCF and the school Sergeant Major.

The day-to-day running of the school.

On the very odd occasion when the headmaster and the deputy headmaster were out of school, I would become involved in the day-to-day running of the school. Also at weekends the headmaster, deputy headmaster and myself were on a one-in-three rota to cover the school. This involved being the point of contact for all staff, attending breakfast, lunch and tea to supervise pupils, to be visible around the school, attend Sunday chapel and visit the boarding houses.

Looking back to when I was responsible for the day-to-day running of the school, I certainly hope that if any child was being abused or ill-treated that it

came to light at or around the time it was occurring and that I would be informed immediately, day or night. Had I been informed, I would give support to both the staff and pupils involved and aid, if required, in deciding the next steps to be taken. This obviously would not be possible if the pupil did not disclose the event or if the staff misinterpreted any abuse or ill-treatment as horseplay or fooling around.

The circumstances that lead me to believe that abuse in the school could have occurred and gone undetected would have been if a pupil was too scared to inform anyone for fear of reprisals from other pupils. Another possible reason could be for fear of not being believed by certain staff or if staff did not follow advice given in the school's child protection policy.

Concerns about the school.

Before I was employed at the school I was aware of press coverage of allegations of abuse made by a former housemaster. These allegations dated back to between 1989 and 1996. After I was in post for a short period of time in 2002 I truly felt that this school, its staff and pupils were so different from my previous experiences. In my opinion, the school had a day school staff running a boarding school, which was not ideal, and I sensed many issues could slip between the cracks

due to lack of cover and care. The pupils were very reserved, suspicious and not forthcoming and I sensed fearful of authority, and certainly some of the senior boys had issues with females in authority. These factors led me to believe the atmosphere in the school could have led to some truth in such allegations.

Between May 2004 and my suspension in October 2004, the Central Scotland Police Child Protection Family Unit had been involved in three cases that I recall.

With all three cases, the headmaster questioned me on why I felt the need for police involvement and what help I had given to staff involved as opposed to the support I gave to the pupils, who were the victims. He failed to appreciate me constantly bringing up the possible seriousness of not investigating fully some of these cases or of not allowing me, as a child protection officer, to follow procedures.

I cannot say for certain to what extent the parents of the children were made aware of such concerns, but I imagine there would have been correspondence between them and the headmaster as I believe it would have been his responsibility.

Suspension from Queen Victoria School.

On Friday, 8 October 2004 at 1.30 pm, I met the headmaster and personnel manager and was given

a letterhead of, "Suspension from duty" as a precaution, which came as a complete bolt out of the blue, even though I had been part of a progressive disciplinary procedure.

According to the letter I was being suspended due to issues highlighted in feedback following a whole school inspection in September 2004 by HM Inspectorate of Education, which can be found in the inspection of care and welfare residential provision published 15 February 2005.

Up until that point, I was under restoring efficiency and had fully participated in the mediation over the summer holidays and was expecting our usual Friday 1.30 meeting with the headmaster and his note-taker. Hence the surprise when this was not the usual meeting but one where a suspension letter was issued and I was given 20 minutes accompanied by the note-taker to clear my desk, hand in my keys and security pass and to leave the building.

It is my understanding that another member of staff was also suspended on the same day due to issues highlighted in the HMI feedback.

I should like to make it clear that in my case the headmaster and the Commissioners were using the HMI report as a way of taking disciplinary action against me

in a crusade which had been running for a considerable amount of time.

In documents dated 9 February 2004, which was seven months before the HMI inspection, the Commissioners discussed the gradual breakdown in the working relationship between the headmaster and the assistant headteacher, which was now badly impacting on the effectiveness of the Senior Management Team.

This written communication was followed by written notes of a telecon dated 12 March 2004, the issue being to secure legal advice on a proposal from the headmaster of Queen Victoria School to terminate the employment through a negotiated termination agreement of Miss Evelyn Smith, assistant headteacher.

There is no doubt that there was a breakdown in relationships. This stemmed from an incident that had occurred. One month into the job, the headmaster tasked me with giving a presentation to all staff on inappropriate staff/pupil relationships, which many staff took exception to and told me so, and this set me against many. They knew there was an ongoing situation which had never been discussed.

During the autumn term 2002 I was tasked by the headmaster to have staff do more hours for the pro rata increase in their boarding school allowance given in

accordance with the McCrone Agreement. My proposal to increase cover in boarding houses was necessary to safeguard and promote the care and welfare of pupils. The staff were up in arms and again I was set against them.

Within my remit as a child protection officer

I found I needed to quite forcefully raise my concerns
when I knew a matter required referral outwith the
school. I was astonished that parameters would be set
by the headmaster as to what was and was not to be
discussed with these outside agencies.

The MoD tasked the headmaster with putting me on a restoring efficiency programme for the summer term 2004.

I took out a grievance against the headmaster on this as there was no element of restoration, only criticism at each meeting with the headmaster and our note-takers. There were no SMART goals for me to work towards and achieve.

The MoD then set up mediation during the summer holidays of 2004. The mediator met with the headmaster and myself separately and asked if I was prepared to meet jointly, which I agreed to. The headmaster refused and the mediation broke down.

The 30 months I spent at QVS were the worst months

of my teaching career. I tried to work on behalf of the pupils and staff in their best interests and seemed blocked at every turn.

On Friday, 8 October, I lost my job, I lost my career, I lost my home. I was unemployed for three years and subsequently faced financial difficulties as I had to draw my teaching pension five years early with an actuarially reduced annual sum and an actuarially reduced lump sum.

My local union rep at the time would inform me that in no other school in Scotland would the contents of an HMI report be used as a cause for suspension of a member of staff.

Reporting of complaints and concerns.

If any child in the school or any other person on their behalf wished to make a complaint or report a concern, the school had a complaints and reporting process in place. During my time at the school, the complaints procedures could be found in the pastoral staff handbook. They included a leaflet for parents which would be sent to each home and a leaflet to pupils.

Concerns, clarifications or complaints were often received by housemasters or housemistresses, head of physical education or department heads. The headmaster

would also receive letters from parents. All concerns, clarifications or complaints would be thoroughly looked into, run by the headmaster in most cases, and replied to timeously by telephone or by report or letter in writing. These would be kept on pupil files.

If a child had any worries, including concerns about the conduct or behaviour of other children, staff or others towards them, every pupil could speak openly with an academic tutor, the assistant headteacher, the deputy headmaster and the headmaster, a housemaster or housemistress and a deputy plus visiting tutors or a house matron and a house maid. Each house also had a telephone where the number for Childline was posted. They could also speak with a nursing sister and visiting GP at the school.

School links were established with a number of agencies, including an educational psychologist at the Notre Dame Family Centre for anger management, bereavement and counselling and a clinical psychologist based at the Stirling Royal Infirmary for counselling or suicides, depression or any trauma.

The school also had links with the MoD Police at Faslane, who regularly gave a drugs education course; the Central Scotland Youth Project, who provided courses on rape crisis and sexual abuse; Victim Support, who

would work with pupils on the consequences of crime; and Careers Scotland, who visited the school weekly to give help and advice.

Pupils to my knowledge raised concerns within the school. I have no person knowledge about a pupil disclosing an incident outwith the school but this does not mean it did not happen.

Abuse.

Within the pastoral staff handbook there is a section on the role of the school in protecting children from abuse and it describes abuse as being physical, emotional and sexual. Emotional abuse included bullying and racial harassment. Each member of staff/department/school office was in possession of a copy of this handbook. The pastoral staff handbook was updated annually. The copy I received when I arrived at the school in April 2002 was the third edition and dated January 2001.

Child protection arrangements.

In relation to how staff, including managerial staff, were given guidance and instruction on how children in their care at the school should be treated, cared for and protected against abuse, ill-treatment or inappropriate behaviour towards them, whether from staff, other adults or fellow pupils, was covered in the

pastoral staff handbook. The pastoral staff handbook contained guidance and instructions to staff on how to handle and respond to reports of abuse or ill-treatment of children by staff, other adults or fellow pupils.

Within the handbook there were sections, 'Action in specific cases of concern, responding when children tell about abuse, flowchart for school procedures and INSET training on child protection issues'.

There was no autonomy or discretion given to staff, including managerial staff, in relation to these matters. All staff should follow the school guidelines.

To reduce the likelihood of abuse, ill-treatment or inappropriate conduct by staff or other adults towards children at the school child protection arrangements were in place. All staff attended INSET training on inappropriate conduct.

Record-keeping.

I have no knowledge of an actual policy for all record-keeping at the school, only that previously mentioned under the discipline policy. A tremendous amount of correspondence between staff and houses was done by email. I have no knowledge of how other members of the Senior Management Team recorded events or correspondence. I personally recorded on paper the information and actions during the investigation of

an incident and this went to the headmaster.

When I took up employment at the school, I could not see an existing policy on record-keeping by staff in my office. The quality of the records I had to use was insufficient, but I was able to pull up a pupil file from the school office if I needed to, or if I needed pupil background, or I could go and see the housemaster or housemistress. At the time of my arrival, I had no knowledge of any ongoing incidents.

Investigations into abuse - personal involvement.

I was involved in three investigations on behalf of the school into allegations of abuse/ill-treatment of children at the school or into inappropriate behaviour by staff or others towards children. These three incidents were the indecent assault on two junior pupils by a GAP student, an incident of peer abuse on a pupil and the two teacher/pupil relationships.

The first investigation was the indecent assault on two junior boys by the GAP student. The GAP student would play 'rough and tumble' with the boys in the house and had been told on several occasions to desist by the housemaster. The boys then disclosed to Trenchard staff that during the 'rough and tumble', the student would handle their genitals. The deputy headmaster was a duty member of staff in Trenchard and he was involved in

speaking with the student and with the boys. Staff reported their concerns to me.

I interviewed the boys and the student. The headmaster eventually allowed me to get outside advice, but only after the deputy head had expressed to him how serious this incident was and merited reporting to outside agencies such as the police, social work or school inspectors. I sought advice from the Child Protection Police Family Unit and they in turn interviewed those concerned. The student was charged by the police, left the school and the country on the release of his passport. I subsequently flagged up such an incident with the HMI during their inspection, and in particular my concerns were always being blocked by the headmaster over child protection issues.

The second incident I became aware of was following an incident in early June of 2004 in the Cunningham boarding house common room where a pupil told a duty member of staff he was feeling unwell and the duty member of staff sent him to see the sister in the hospital. He was accompanied by another pupil. The sister could not find anything wrong but prompted by the other boy, the story came out that a group of boys had held the pupil down and simulated gay sex on him with a bicycle pump through his clothes. This had been done

to others in fun but no one had been held down by the group of boys. The pupil refused to speak of it to his housemaster but was clearly upset. He was sent back to the school and then he went to see his who was the housemistress of Wavell House in her flat on campus.

As assistant headteacher, I was the housemistress' line manager regarding her role as housemistress of the girls' boarding house. We met at housemistress' meetings with the headmaster and the deputy headmaster and met with the other housemasters to go through the care standards and produce house handbooks and house development plans. I covered evening duty in her boarding house if a tutor was unable to attend, as I did with other houses, and also did a significant amount of cover for her during spells of short or long-term absence. We attended the same church and became friends during my time at the school. We are still in touch to this day and meet up during some of the school holidays as I do with some former colleagues from previous schools.

I saw the pupil at his 's flat later that evening and confirmed he was pacing up and down but refused to discuss the incident. The housemaster, deputy housemaster and myself all spoke with the boys concerned. The housemaster, headmaster and myself

agreed on educational psychologist professional help with the headmaster setting parameters as the pupil was still very distressed over the incident and worried that the boys may think he had grassed them up. The headmaster was of the opinion that the incident was just horseplay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

My concerns were that the pupil did not see what was happening to him as 'mucking around', as the boys called it, and in my mind this was more serious and possibly a child protection issue. The headmaster contacted the police, who spoke with me, the housemaster and the headmaster regarding abuse, and in his opinion it was a serious case of bullying. The pupil's then began correspondence with the headmaster on her own behalf and , who was the pupil's legal on behalf of her guardian, on the matter of the pupil spending time in her flat. This had been a long-running issue. The pupil's in turn was looking for assurances that the matter would be dealt with and that there would be no fallout by the boys. All parents were contacted by me informing them of the incident.

The headmaster and the housemaster said I should run the scenario by Social Services and I spoke with Social Services, who saw the incident as serious and that the matter lay in the hand of the pupil's family. He

suggested I speak with the police Family Unit. I spoke with Detective Sergeant Mike Moir, who confirmed with me that the school was taking the matter seriously and stated that the Family Unit would not become involved unless a complaint came via the family.

The outcome was that two pupils were suspended.

Other pupils were seen by the headmaster, deputy
headmaster and housemaster.

I am not sure whether the GP, educational psychologist, the paediatrician that saw the pupil or the family referred the matter to the Family Unit, but the police of the Family Unit was contacted and he phoned me on 2 July to discuss the incident. I had a meeting with the police on 2 August to discuss the referral process and he told me that he was expecting the family to discuss with him how the pupil was feeling on their return from holiday. I reminded the housemistress that the police were expecting to hear how the pupil was feeling after the incident after the holidays.

There was a Family Unit inquiry with all boys concerned being interviewed accompanied by their parent or nominated other person. Several of the parents who had sons involved in the incident subsequently wrote to the headmaster complaining about my handling of the

incident. They complained of my rather businesslike conversation over the phone when I was informing them of a possible police interview. They also questioned my impartiality as I was friendly with the housemistress. They inferred I was delighted that the matter was being taken further and that I seemed to be on a crusade. In the meantime, I had communicated with the headmaster and the Commissioners on the pupil's behalf asking them to consider, as a welfare issue, letting him be a day pupil, staying with his from August 2004. The headmaster and the Commissioners refused this request. As neither the housemistress nor her could give assurances that the pupil would not continue to seek refuge with his the headmaster asked them to withdraw the pupil from the school.

In respect of the complaint about my handling of the issue, I attempted to inform the parents concerned that I had no hand in bringing the matter to the attention of the police. It had come from the family. In my telephone conversations, I simply stated what the police had told me, that they wanted to speak with the boys concerned and gave them the information I had been given by the police unit about the date, time and venue of the meeting. I informed parents that they could accompany their son or nominate a proxy if they were unable to

1 attend.

The third incident I was aware of came to my attention in November 2004 whilst I was on suspension. I was contacted by the police and arranged to meet at Bannockburn police station. The police did not wish to meet with me at my home within the school grounds. They wished to discuss two members of staff, 'Mark' and 'Grant', and their relationships with two female pupils. I learnt that the police had ascertained who had written the anonymous letter regarding the staff/pupil relationships and also that both staff members had been suspended. I learnt that both girls, when interviewed, had said they did have relationships, one with 'Grant' and the other with 'Mark'.

On that day I was asked to give a statement about the then alleged relationships and how the school had dealt with the matter.

'Mark' was a teacher and a visiting boarding house tutor when I was there between 2002 and 2004. I have no idea how old he was. He was married with children and was quiet spoken. He was very pleasant to speak to, smiled a lot and was very relaxed and dressed casually. We chatted on duty nights on all sorts of topics. On the duty nights I saw him working with children in the junior boarding house. He was very relaxed with the

children and chatty, and in my opinion sometimes

a little too relaxed and overfamiliar. On occasion,

I saw him disciplining children. He made sure the

pupils knew what was expected of them and if they didn't

do that, then he gave them the appropriate punishment.

Despite putting myself on duty in Trenchard House every Monday night when 'Mark' was on duty, I saw no signs of inappropriate behaviour or abuse, but in my position as child protection officer, I became aware of a matter that needed investigation.

The housemistress passed to me, as her line manager, concerns raised about Trenchard House, which is the junior boarding house. The concerns were raised by a teacher and assistant housemistress in Wavell House, the girls' boarding house. Similar concerns were also raised by the head of the modern languages department, by the head of the physical education department and by the housemistress.

These concerns had been raised to Alice Hainey, the assistant headteacher who was my predecessor prior to my appointment in April 2002. These concerns were about the culture in Trenchard House and the inappropriate behaviour by the housemaster and the SNR.

This behaviour was being openly discussed by pupils in class and around the school and innuendo by parents.

The culture was one of a lax attitude towards social evening drinking and the exclusive treatment of senior girls who were resident in Trenchard House.

Simultaneously, the headmaster received an anonymous letter detailing an alleged relationship between 'Mark' and a pupil, 'Lisa', who was a senior school monitor, and also in relation to the SNR and the housemaster's behaviour with female pupils at a rugby international. What gave this concern over 'Mark's' relationship with a pupil credibility was the fact that the teacher raising the concern was a close friend of 'Mark' and his family.

'Mark' was working in Trenchard House as a senior tutor within such a climate and receiving messages from the housemaster and SNR condoning behaviour which several others had expressed concern over it. It is my understanding that the Trenchard House matron had written to the housemaster about 'Mark' and the pupil, 'Lisa'.

Following on from being tasked by the headmaster to talk to all staff about inappropriate staff/pupil relationships, many staff spoke to me about their indignation about being spoken to about this. They said 'everyone knew' what was going on in Trenchard House between 'Mark' and the pupil 'Lisa', and with 'Grant'

and the pupil 'Kerry'. They believed it was being condoned by the housemaster and the **SNR** and questioned why the headmaster had not dealt with it.

'Mark' wrote to me in 2005 for a letter of statement for his school hearing at the 2005. It related specifically to the interviews myself and the headmaster carried out in late May/early June 2002 with 'Mark' and with 'Lisa'. 'Mark' wanted me to give a statement of fact as to what happened at this interview. I obliged by stating in writing, 'I can confirm that the questions directed at her by the headmaster were open, there was no emotive language and she was free to give any response when asked simply was she having a relationship with 'Mark', to which she replied she was not'.

I know 'Grant', who was department and a visiting boarding house tutor between 2002 and 2004 when I was in post. I have no idea how old he was. I don't remember very much about him as our paths seldom crossed, but he was efficient as far as completing pupil reports. He kept himself to himself and his own group of friends in the staffroom. On my odd visit to his department I saw him with children. He was very businesslike and organised and would expect pupils to behave.

Once near the sports hall before games I saw him discipline a child. 'Grant' was shouting at a boy and I can only describe my feelings at the time that he seemed to have lost the plot. He was enraged and red in the face. I asked to see him later in the day and we spoke through the incident. Initially I think he was unhappy at having to speak to me, but once we talked about the incident, I think we parted on reasonable terms.

I never saw him abusing a child, but the incident outside the sports hall was in front of older and younger pupils and staff and I was uncomfortable regarding how the pupil was feeling, regardless of what he may have done to provoke such an outburst by a member of staff.

The only other incident that I was aware of involving 'Grant' came to my attention via the headmaster. He had received an anonymous letter detailing an alleged relationship between 'Grant' and a pupil, 'Kerry'. Personally I never saw anything, but in my position as child protection officer I knew this needed investigation because it is my understanding that the hospital auxiliary may have been aware of the relationship and that residents up on Victoria Green were aware of the pupil and 'Grant' spending time

together in his garden. There was also an incident of record with the police back in 2001 where 'Grant' was involved in a disagreement with a relative of 'Kerry' at a public place in Stirling. These were allegations/rumours but they were being openly discussed by staff, pupils and parents.

The SCIS guidelines on child protection adopted by
the school recommended that any allegation of
teacher/pupil relationship should be investigated with
the member of staff being suspended pending such
investigation, and where a breach/abuse of trust is
suspected, the police child protection unit should be
called in. My intention was to follow these procedures,
but the headmaster stated he would not suspend the staff
concerned, nor have the police involved.

An internal investigation then took place and I was involved in speaking with only those staff and pupils named in the anonymous letter, namely 'Mark', 'Lisa' and 'Kerry', along with the headmaster. I was not involved in interview with 'Grant'. I asked the headmaster about his interview with 'Grant', to which he stated if 'Grant' was lying to him, he knew he owed him one. All concerned denied the relationships.

Helping the Inquiry.

I left Queen Victoria School 16 years ago and have

not taught in a boarding school since. I would assume that anything I say, which in my view are the lessons to be learned to protect children in the future, would already be common policy nowadays. I do, however, wish to make the following comments.

Schools and especially boarding schools should not be run by heads who are obsessed by paperwork or who see policy documents and their updating as tick-box exercises to keep HMI happy. Policy documents are there to provide knowledge and guidance to staff, pupils and parents and should be seen as active and being applied in practice when necessary.

The taking of minutes of a meeting are a record of what has been discussed but they should also have an action column allowing for matters to move forward and not just be revisited at the next meeting.

There should be separate teaching staff to house staff or have teaching staff with vastly reduced timetables. There must also be consistency in duty hours, rules and rewards. All staff must be qualified to Boarding School Association or equivalent level and/or guidance certificates.

There should also be separate promoted posts for discipline and welfare and there must be an open-door policy for all senior management.

1	I have no objection to my witness statement being
2	published as part of the evidence to the Inquiry.
3	I believe the facts stated in this statement are true."
4	My Lady, this statement is signed and it's dated
5	24 November 2020.
6	LADY SMITH: Thank you.
7	MS BENNIE: My Lady, there is one final read-in.
8	LADY SMITH: There's one final read-in, but it is almost
9	3.20. I'm just thinking of having a five-minute break
10	now because we've powered on quite powerfully since just
11	after 2 o'clock, so I think we'll just do that. Just
12	five minutes.
13	(3.19 pm)
14	(A short break)
15	(3.24 pm)
16	LADY SMITH: Mr Brown.
17	Brian Raine (read)
18	MR BROWN: My Lady, the final statement to be read in today
19	is that of Brian Raine, it is the witness reference
20	WIT-1-000000516 and Brian Raine was born in 1946:
21	"I have an Honours Degree in Modern History and
22	a Postgraduate Degree in Education which I completed at
23	Durham University between 1966 and 1970.
24	After graduating I took employment at Strathallan

School and remained there until 1993. I was

housemaster, head of history, master in charge of games and First XV rugby coach.

In April 1993 I arrived at Queen Victoria School (QVS) Dunblane as deputy headmaster and in 1994 I was appointed Chief Executive of the Government Agency and headmaster of Queen Victoria School. I retired in December/January 2006/2007.

I suffered a massive stroke on 6 April 2011 which affected my speech and memory and I could not speak, read or write for some time. Fortuitously most of the information I have used concerning my background and my employment at QVS has come from a 'Retrospective View' of my time at the school. I produced this Retrospective View' in 2006 for the HMIE Care and Welfare and Care Commissions Inspections. I have provided a copy of the 'Retrospective View' to the Inquiry.

Throughout my time as headmaster of QVS I did not use a computer, relying totally on my PA and deputy head secretaries.

Employment at QVS.

It was very steep learning curve for myself as my line manager was the Adjutant General of the Army.

I was the first person to be appointed in this dual role as Chief Executive of the Government Agency and headmaster of Queen Victoria School, responsible for the

usual headmasterly roles, but also overall control, budgeting et cetera as the agency accounting officer for OVS.

Glenn Harrison.

I am advised that the Inquiry are aware that in 1991 a teacher, Glenn Harrison, made disclosures of abuse at QVS and that the school was, thereafter, inspected by HM Inspectorate of Schools culminating in its report of May 1992, which focused on pastoral care and pupil supervision.

I was too busy becoming totally immersed in my new job, the school and my knowledge of and preparation for taking over, after selection, for the positions of Chief Executive and headmaster between April 1993 and July 1994 to focus on Glenn Harrison and assumed that this matter had been dealt with.

As far as I can remember, there was no mention of Glenn Harrison at my appointment. The questions were mainly how could I see QVS progressing, with the main focus on the introduction of co-education. I do not think that my appointment as Chief Executive and headmaster had anything to do with Glenn Harrison.

The school in 1993 and my role in taking it forward.

When I started at the school in 1993, it was clear

to me that QVS was hiding its light under a bushel, and

considerable development was required in a number of areas. Although the introduction of co-education was very much in the forefront of plans for future development, it was apparent that the boarding accommodation had to be completely refurbished.

Not much money had been spent at QVS before

I arrived, as far as I could ascertain, and there were
a number of areas that needed development, ie a complete
refurbishment of the boarding accommodation, reduction
of the bed spaces in the main building, a new boarding
house, a radical shift in the supervision and care of
pupils, revision of the boarding duties system,
appointment of resident deputy/assistant housemasters,
housemistresses, upgrading of existing buildings and
building of new facilities, for example all-weather
pitch and raising academic standards.

The good qualities already existed, for example the pupils, the ceremonial aspect and ethos, the Pipe Band and Highland Dancers, the ambience of Parade Sundays and Grand Day, all of which installed a sense of order, pride, and belonging to QVS.

As a result, the Commissioners and the Ministry of Defence accepted a ten-year programme which involved the building of an extension to Wavell House in order to provide extra space for a girls' house and a new

building to house the P7/S1 boys.

Wavell was the first house to be modernised and then extended. Thereafter, the main building was refurbished and bed spaces were reduced from 199 to 124, which made a massive difference in the quality and space of accommodation. Additionally, the whole house structure was changed to provide one co-educational junior house, Trenchard, one girls' house, Wavell, and the two vertical boys' houses, Cunningham and Haig.

There were further developments on the boarding side: more leisure areas, carpets, curtains, furnishings and decorations. In general, the homely nature and atmosphere was vastly improved within the restricted confines of the main building.

The boarding accommodation by 2006 was very good and the 2005/2006 HMIE Care and Welfare and Care Commission inspections confirmed that pupils and parents were very happy with their accommodation and the way in which the pupils were cared for in the boarding context.

There was also a radical shift in the supervision and care of the pupils within the boarding houses. The boarding duties system was revised twice within a ten-year period, and each house team was allocated more staff, which meant that during the main pressure periods there were at least two members of staff on

duty. Resident deputy/assistant

housemasters/housemistresses in all houses were added, to the improved care of the pupils. Quality assurance visits by senior management helped to ensure that maintenance, improvements and health and safety issues were addressed speedily.

The introduction of girls in 1996 was a major development requiring major changes in the structure, accommodation and procedures, but also ethos, attitudes, sports and extracurricular activities. However, the benefits were obvious and enormous. It was easier for our Armed Services' families to educate their sons and daughters in the one school. Holiday arrangements, weekends, parents' evenings and visits became much easier and convenient.

The boys and girls grew up naturally with each other and partly because of the number of siblings, they got on well with each other and remained very good friends after school.

As headmaster, in my first speech to staff, I set targets and was responsible for driving the school ahead academically. As a result, examination results improved considerably, being well above the national average.

New subjects, such as geography, business studies and philosophy were introduced. Support for Learning was

developed, becoming an integral and very important part of the overall academic operations.

Principal teachers in computing, SFL and business studies were introduced, and an extra deputy head was added on the pastoral side. I fought hard for the latter in one of our many reviews as it was clear that more resources were needed on the educational senior management side to cope with the increasingly high levels of bureaucracy in pastoral, boarding, careers and Personal and Social Education areas.

The 1994 to 2006 period saw the building of an extension to the library and a new all-weather pitch for football and hockey with its own floodlighting.

There were also considerable improvements in the MacMillan Sports Hall.

I was shocked when I was told by Julian Hankinson some time after my arrival that he was retiring at the end of the 1993/1994 academic year. My first and main thought was that I would have to work with two headmasters in quick succession, which I was not expecting.

I was new to the school and in retrospect I do not think that the announcement of Julian, due to retire at the end of 1993/4 was a general shock after 14 years as headmaster of OVS. He had great care and concern for

all the boys, allied to his enthusiasm for the real professional job of school mastering. Julian did tell me that his last year with myself (1993-4) was his happiest year at QVS.

Interviews for my appointment as Chief Executive of the Government Agency and headmaster of QVS were conducted by the Commissioners and they were rigorous.

I was overwhelmed and absolutely delighted with my appointment.

Most of what I have already stated in my role in taking QVS forward covers what was expected of me and what I thereafter achieved. However, other developments that were noteworthy were an improvement in the profile of QVS, locally, nationally and internationally.

Locally, QVS from 1996 to 2006 was much more involved in Dunblane and Stirling initiatives, for example the Dunblane Forum, Children's Community

Partnership and Stirling Council. Nationally and internationally, QVS Pipes Drums and Highland Dancers took part in the Nova Scotia Tattoo in 1995 and 2005, the Edinburgh Military Tattoo in 2001 and the Berwickshire and Basel Tattoos. In 1999 I led the QVS combined First XV and Pipe Band tour to Australia.

I was very proud to say that from 1994 to 2006 QVS provided a stable and nurturing environment for the

children of our Armed Forces personnel and I can state, honestly, that I enjoyed living and working with the pupils within a boarding context and working with parents immensely.

Understandably there is a strong sense of the ceremonial tradition at QVS and the pupils do take considerable pride in the Parade Sundays and Grand Days.

QVS was one of the first, if not the first independent school in Scotland to gain Investors in People recognition circa 1999. As a result of this initiative there was considerable expansion, investment and development of the QVS staff.

At an early stage, a Parents' Liaison Committee and Parents' Association were set up, both of which contributed substantially to discussion, decision-making and school and pupil developments. I am very pleased to state that under my watch there was a much closer working relationship between the school and parents at all levels.

I was not surprised that the Parents' Liaison

Committee and the Parents' Association had not existed

before because I am not sure whether any independent

school at that time, ie the early 1990s, had a Parents'

Liaison Committee and a Parents' Association.

At Strathallan, we were very used to parents, but

I'm sure there existed no such organisations. This was the case at QVS.

It was a real delight and of immense satisfaction to see the improved self-esteem and self-belief in the QVS pupils between 1994 and 2006. I felt this was very noticeable to those who lived, as my wife and I did, and worked in the school, but it was also commented on constantly by visitors to the school. It was also a noticeable feature of outside visits and a constant source of pride to our parents.

All matters concerning child protection, how children could report concerns, discipline and punishment of children, staff recruitment, training and appraisal, and the process for dealing with complaints and allegations against staff were dealt with according to the procedures set out in the Scottish guidelines as well as the MoD.

Investigations into abuse - personal involvement.

I am led to believe that the Scottish Child Abuse
Inquiry is aware that I was involved in investigations
about alleged abuse by two teachers, 'Grant' and 'Mark'.

Regarding 'Grant', there was an allegation of abuse and involvement with a pupil during the 2001/2002 academic session. The allegations came to light after the official end of the year, following Grand Day.

However, this eventually ended up in a GTC hearing, at which 'Grant', as far as I can remember, was completely absolved.

Allegations against 'Mark' surfaced during the summer term and involved a female, who stated that nothing had happened. Similarly, I spoke to 'Mark', who was adamant that he had done nothing wrong. This also ended up in a GTC hearing, and as far as I can remember, he too was absolved.

I am aware that applicants to the Inquiry have made allegations about Ben Philip who was employed at the school at the same time as me up until his death in 1993.

Ben Philip was an excellent school master in all respects. From the time I arrived, I found him to be one of the best school masters, as well as one of the best housemasters at QVS and he remained so until, tragically, he fell off a ladder putting up Christmas decorations for the end-of-year House party.

I remember discussing things with him as he was always very willing to hear my thoughts and I valued his opinions. He was a man who possessed great stamina in his role as a housemaster, which I consider to be, probably, the most difficult but the most rewarding and best job in school mastering. However, he was not just

a housemaster, he was also a school master, teaching young boys and coaching football. He had great care and concern with his classroom of young pupils, as well as with the residents in Haig House. He was a real professional in both roles with undoubted stamina, resilience and capacity for hard work. I never, for one moment, had any concerns about him at all.

He was a devastating loss to his mother and brother. He was also, however, a very great loss to all aspects of QVS, particularly as housemaster of Haig House.

I am led to believe that the Inquiry is aware of an allegation that a pupil was the subject of peer abuse in 2004.

The housemistress asked me if I could take into the school. Through the boy's grandfather's service under extenuating circumstances, I was able to grant the boy a place and he was placed under Bert Tomkins' care as housemaster, a first-class pastoral supervisor, and a very experienced matron, Jean Kean.

Due to the fact the boy's unfortunately lived just across , he spent too much time in her flat. This meant that he did not make any real effort to become involved in the house and extracurricular activities. I know that Bert Tomkins spent an inordinate amount of time trying to help the boy, but

he was far too close to his and to Lyn Smith.

I recall that Bert Tomkins was asked to go to his
's flat to discuss the boy's situation but he was
confronted by Lyn Smith as well. They tried to persuade
him that the boy should be allowed to live in his 's
flat. He refused, and subsequently without reference to
myself, these two ladies had asked the police to speak
to Bert Tomkins.

I can't remember what it was about, whether it was to do with peer abuse or the pursuing her drive to have the boy resident with her, but Bert Tomkins dealt with the matter and nothing came from this. All this was relayed to me at the time by Bert Tomkins.

I had started disciplinary proceedings against

Lyn Smith but in conversation with one of Her Majesty's

Inspectors, I mentioned that I was having problems with

a teacher and Lyn Smith and would be delighted if we

could have our next inspection soon.

After a very stressful time for myself, suffering from some unjustified accusations from these two ladies, with the involvement of the MoD and following the damning HMI reports on them, they were both suspended.

I had already given Lyn Smith two official warnings and was due to give my third and final warning when she and the teacher were suspended after the HMIE report and

- later left the school.
- 2 Helping the Inquiry.
- I cannot state more than that which I have done
- 4 already in the material I have used from my
- 5 'Retrospective View'.
- 6 By the time I left QVS, the whole boarding set-up,
- 7 including many more staff on duty, had been transformed
- 8 from 1994. The introduction of co-education was working
- 9 well and there was every precaution taken to protect
- 10 children, as much as we could do.
- 11 The inspection team from the 2006 HMIE inspection
- identified the following key strengths.
- 13 One, the care and commitment shown by the headmaster
- and his staff to meeting pupils' needs.
- Two, very good relationships among staff and pupils,
- 16 and pupils were open, courteous and very well-behaved.
- 17 Three, strong partnership with parents.
- Four, the wide-ranging activities to develop pupils'
- 19 personal and social skills and confidence, including
- 20 a very good programme of Personal and Social Education
- 21 (PSE).
- I have no objection to my witness statement being
- 23 published as part of evidence to the Inquiry. I believe
- the facts stated in this witness statement are true."
- 25 And the witness signed this statement on 9 November

1	2020.
2	LADY SMITH: Thank you very much, Mr Brown.
3	That's it for this week?
4	MR BROWN: That's it for this week.
5	LADY SMITH: What does next week bring?
6	MR BROWN: Next week we continue with QVS for two further
7	days with more staff witnesses, including obviously as
8	a round-up the current headmaster and Colonel Clive,
9	again from the MoD, by videolink, and then, all being
10	well, on Thursday we commence Keil.
11	LADY SMITH: Thank you very much. I hope everybody has
12	a good weekend, and for those of you who are coming back
13	on Tuesday for the next batch of Queen Victoria School
14	witnesses, I look forward to seeing you then. I'll rise
15	now until Tuesday, 10 o'clock.
16	(3.47 pm)
17	(The Inquiry adjourned until 10.00 am on Tuesday,
18	26 October 2021)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

2	I N D E X
3	'Mark' (affirmed)1
4	Questions from Mr Brown
5	Alice Hainey (read)110
6	'Elsie' (read)126
7	Evelyn Joyce Smith (read)136
8	Brian Raine (read)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	