1	Friday, 5 November 202
2	(10.00 am)
3	(Proceedings delayed)
4	(10.11 am)
5	LADY SMITH: Good morning. We now turn to the witnesses
6	that were referred to last night as being witnesses who
7	were coming to give evidence in person and I think the
8	first one is ready to go; is that right?
9	MR BROWN: My Lady, that is correct. The first witness is
10	John Cummings.
11	LADY SMITH: Thank you.
12	John Cummings (sworn)
13	LADY SMITH: Could we begin by you helping me with this: how
14	would you like me to address you? Mr Cummings or your
15	first name, John? Either's fine with me. Whatever
16	you're comfortable with.
17	A. First name John is fine. Thank you.
18	LADY SMITH: Thank you. John, you'll see there's a red
19	folder in front of you, it has a hard copy of your
20	statement in it.
21	A. Right.
22	LADY SMITH: You'll be taken to that shortly. You'll also
23	see your statement coming up on screen at whatever parts
24	of the statement we're referring to from time to time,
25	so do use either or neither, whatever you'd find most

- 1 helpful.
- 2 A. Thank you.
- 3 LADY SMITH: If you have any questions or concerns during
- 4 your evidence, please don't hesitate to let me know.
- 5 It's very important that you're as comfortable as you
- 6 can be while you're giving evidence, and I'll do what
- 7 I can to ensure that.
- 8 A. Thank you.
- 9 LADY SMITH: If you are ready, I'll hand over to Mr Brown
- and he'll take it from there. Is that all right?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 LADY SMITH: Thank you.
- 13 Questions from Mr Brown
- 14 MR BROWN: John, good morning.
- 15 A. Good morning.
- 16 Q. Her Ladyship made reference to the statement, which is
- in the red folder, and as we see on the screen in front
- 18 of you. For form's sake it has a reference number
- 19 WIT-1-000000491 and it extends to 24 pages. On the last
- 20 page we see that exactly a year ago you clearly read it
- 21 and signed it, and the last paragraph says:
- "I have no objection to my statement being published
- as part of the evidence to the Inquiry. I believe the
- facts stated in this witness statement are true."
- 25 And you were happy to that sign that because you'd

- 1 read the statement and were satisfied as to accuracy?
- 2 A. Yes.
- Q. I appreciate, having spoken briefly to you this morning,
- 4 that having read it again in advance of today's hearing,
- 5 you have been reflecting and are a little bit concerned,
- 6 I think, because we're talking about events quarter of
- 7 a century ago, roughly.
- 8 A. That is correct, yes.
- 9 Q. Please understand this is not a memory test and that
- 10 passage of time is understood.
- 11 If we can start, though, with a little bit about
- your background, you're now 72?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 Q. And we see from the first page your career was spent,
- one way or another, essentially in education?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. And I think, going on from the summary you provided,
- 18 after you were headmaster of Keil -- and that's
- obviously our particular interest -- you went on to
- an MoD school in Kent, which we've heard mention of
- 21 because it was a sister school to Queen Victoria School,
- 22 albeit a different one --
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. -- in England for military children originally. You
- worked there from 1999 to 2007, I think?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And of interest, subsequently, as a comparative
- 3 exercise, you've been the Governor of two schools?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Including a Commissioner at QVS?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. That was from 2010 to 2015, roughly?
- 8 A. I think, yes. Yes, yes, yes.
- 9 Q. Thank you. In terms of boarding experience, is it
- 10 correct that Queen Victoria School was really the first
- 11 experience you'd had of boarding or had any of the
- 12 previous schools been boarding?
- 13 A. My experience of boarding was that the first school was
- 14 Tonbridge, that was a boarding school. Also Wycliffe
- 15 College was a boarding school as well, and then Keil and
- 16 obviously Duke of York's was a boarding school.
- 17 Q. Absolutely. It was how much boarding experience you'd
- had prior to Keil, and the answer is some?
- 19 A. Yes, yes.
- Q. And we understand, speaking about Keil, that there were
- 21 two types of master: the straight teachers, who would go
- 22 home at the end of the school day; and then boarding
- staff, who would be teachers plus, with responsibility
- for looking after the children.
- 25 A. Not really, no. Most members of staff would be involved

- in the boarding life in some capacity or other.
- 2 Q. I'm not suggesting they weren't involved, but there is
- a distinction between those who live on the site, if you
- 4 like, and live off?
- 5 A. Yes, there's a distinction between those two, but very
- often the boarding staff would comprise those who were
- 7 teachers as well. You didn't have separate boarding
- 8 staff who were employed as boarding staff as such.
- 9 Q. It's my fault entirely. When I was talking about day
- 10 teachers and boarding staff I went on to say that they
- 11 taught but then carried on --
- 12 A. Correct, yes.
- 13 Q. So it's all teachers?
- 14 A. Very much so, yes.
- 15 Q. But there are two types. One who spends all their time
- at the school because they have responsibilities
- including house responsibilities?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And others who no doubt cover one day a fortnight --
- 20 A. Yes. Most -- certainly the previous schools I've been
- 21 involved with, all teaching staff would be involved in
- some capacity in the boarding life of the school.
- 23 Q. Yes.
- 24 A. Either as a tutor or as an assistant, and obviously you
- did have people who were matrons, who were employed just

- 1 as matrons.
- Q. Okay. But in terms of your experience at the previous
- 3 two schools, had you been involved in boarding life as
- a housemaster, for example, or an assistant housemaster?
- 5 A. As a house tutor I'd been involved, but not as
- a housemaster.
- 7 Q. Right. From that do we take it you didn't live in, you
- 8 would live out with your own family but cover --
- 9 A. Correct, correct.
- 10 Q. Thinking back to those two schools prior to Keil, you
- 11 had seen how boarding school life operated. When you
- 12 came to Keil in 1993 --
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. -- did you expect to find a very similar type of
- 15 environment to your previous two schools?
- 16 A. I knew a little bit about Keil from before because
- 17 I originally taught in Scotland so I was aware of the
- 18 school. I knew it was a much smaller school on
- a smaller site, but in terms of -- it would be really
- 20 the facilities, I suppose, were smaller in scale and
- less grand than my previous two schools.
- 22 Q. You were obviously progressing up the teaching ladder,
- 23 if you like, because this was your first headship?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 Q. And obviously you would have visited the school?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. You would have spoken, as part of the process, with
- 3 governors?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And I think you say at paragraph 7 you were invited --
- 6 this is page 2 -- to meet staff and pupils, you had
- 7 an interview with members of the Board of Governors, and
- 8 an independent assessor who was head of another school?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. At the time you were brought in at that interview and
- 11 while you were being shown round, did you get the sense
- 12 you were being brought in, or whoever was appointed was
- being brought in for a particular purpose?
- 14 A. Not -- no, I didn't, really, no. I think what perhaps
- 15 appealed was the fact that I was familiar with the
- 16 Scottish system as well, having taught in Scotland, but
- 17 they didn't give reference to the fact that I'd taught
- in boarding schools in England and bigger schools, no.
- 19 Q. So when you were brought in there wasn't a sense of you
- are being appointed, yes, because you understand the
- 21 Scottish system, you obviously have relevant and
- 22 appropriate experience, but also because they wanted you
- to try and do A, B or C?
- A. Not really, no, no. I didn't get that feeling. I was
- 25 taking over from somebody who had -- who went on to head

- up a large independent boarding school in England, and
  I was, I suppose, following in his footsteps in terms of
  trying to carry on the work that he'd begun and I think
  there was a general feeling that what he'd done was
  good, and I was trying to carry on in that manner,
  really.
- Q. All right. What did you understand he had been tasked
  with to try and change?
- 9 Α. I -- I can't answer that because I don't really know, to 10 be honest. I suppose in retrospect, looking back, 11 I think he had very much brought -- he'd certainly been 12 a successful head and perhaps a head who was much more 13 au fait with what was expected of secondary education in particular, and perhaps -- I don't know, modernising is 14 15 the wrong term to use, but he was certainly somebody who 16 was bringing the school up in terms of its academic performance and generally I think it's -- yes, making it 17 a more successful school. It's a difficult question to 18 answer because it's not something one really had 19 20 relayed. I wasn't told. But that was an impression I received, and certainly meeting him, and meeting him 21 subsequently, that was the impression I had. 22
- Q. You've made reference to the fact, obviously, you worked in Glasgow for five years between '75 and '80.
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. You would know of Keil.
- 2 A. I did.
- 3 Q. Do you remember what your knowledge of Keil amounted to
- in a sentence, going back to the mid '70s to '80?
- 5 A. It's probably largely based on rugby, actually, in terms
- 6 of it had a very good reputation in terms of rugby and
- 7 sport and so on. I did know a little bit about its
- 8 background and its situation, but no, not an awful lot
- 9 more.
- 10 Q. The one thing that stands out was rugby?
- 11 A. It probably was, yes.
- 12 Q. And did that still show when you joined the school in
- 13 1993? We've heard evidence, there's no surprise about
- this, that certainly at some times in Keil's life rugby
- might have been viewed as on a par with, if not above,
- 16 education. What was it like when you joined in 1993?
- 17 A. It still formed a pretty important part.
- 18 Q. Did that trouble you?
- 19 A. To a degree, yes, in as much as -- and I think I allude
- 20 to that in my statement, that I think allied to that was
- 21 a feeling that success and leadership qualities in
- 22 particular were allied to rugby, yeah. And for many
- people, although rugby's a fantastic sport, it was not
- the be all and end all of everything, and I wanted to
- get a much bigger balance to the school.

- Q. So that was one of the things that you were wanting to change?
- 3 A. Yes. Or at least to put it in a broader context so it
- 4 wasn't just known for rugby and everybody didn't have to
- 5 subscribe to rugby, yes.
- Q. To be clear, was it expected that every pupil -- and
- 7 I know it was a mixed school, so presumably every male
- 8 pupil -- would play rugby come what may?
- 9 A. I'm pretty sure that because it was a small school that
- 10 most, probably all, were involved at some stage in
- 11 rugby, yes.
- 12 Q. So you were hoping to broadened horizons beyond rugby?
- 13 A. And particularly with the advent of girls. In those
- 14 days, girls didn't really play rugby.
- 15 Q. No.
- 16 A. It wasn't a recognised sport for girls.
- 17 Q. No, indeed. In terms of the obviously you had
- 18 experience of being in the Senior Management Team of
- 19 an earlier school.
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. But looking to paragraph 10, you say:
- 22 "There was no formal training given me by the
- 23 school."
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 Q. Did that surprise you, that there was no training or

- 1 induction as such?
- A. Not really, because, in a way, who would give me that
- 3 training, would be the question I would have posed.
- 4 Obviously the chair of governors who I would have spoken
- 5 with and so on, but -- and SHMIS, which was the Society
- 6 of Headmasters and Headmistresses of Independent
- 7 Schools, that's what it was in those days, anyhow, they
- 8 ran courses for heads or potential heads. No, it didn't
- 9 surprise me.
- 10 Q. That sort of training is presumably generic for staff
- 11 who are now progressing into management, the SHMIS
- 12 training you would get for being a head?
- 13 A. Yes, correct, absolutely, yes.
- 14 Q. But not focused on Keil obviously because each school is
- 15 different?
- 16 A. Correct, correct.
- 17 Q. But just thinking of your earlier schools when you had
- 18 started, were there induction packs to tell you how the
- 19 school operated, what the rules were, a staff handbook,
- for example?
- 21 A. There probably were, yes.
- 22 O. But not at Keil?
- 23 A. To be -- I think I've referred to that somewhere else.
- I can't remember whether there was a staff induction
- 25 book at all.

1	LADY	SMITH:	What	about	a	from	

- 2 CGC ?
- A. Yes. That was pretty comprehensive and I did meet up

  with him and obviously we talked through a lot of issues
- 5 with regard to that, yes.
- 6 LADY SMITH: Mr Brown.
- 7 MR BROWN: Thank you. I was coming to that next, because 8 obviously that must be an important meeting.
- 9 A. Yeah, very much so.
- 10 Q. Was it a long meeting?
- 11 A. I can't remember. I remember staying -- did I stay at
- 12 his house? I think we did stay at his house as
- a family. We certainly met up with the family, and
- I would have had -- yeah, maybe a day? I can't
- 15 remember.
- 16 Q. All right.
- 17 A. But yes, it would have been pretty comprehensive.
- 18 Q. But it's fundamental to know from
- 19 presumably, what he's done, what his hopes had been,
- 20 what he's not achieved, what he still wanted to achieve,
- 21 what you might want to do, and as importantly, what he
- 22 thought the school's position was in relation to a whole
- range of areas, which would be of interest to you?
- 24 A. Yes. Whether or not that was covered, I honestly cannot
- 25 remember because to a degree when you take over, you are

- 1 starting afresh, and I was new to headship, I concede
- 2 that, so possibly didn't have the right questions to ask
- 3 at that particular time. And certainly he would gone
- 4 around and he'd mention staff, he would have outlined
- 5 many aspects, and I probably would have done quite a bit
- of research myself in terms of finding out about the
- 7 exam systems and so on and so forth.
- Q. But in terms of staff, that's obviously a key element of
- 9 any school.
- 10 A. Yeah.
- 11 Q. And you would, I take it, want to know what he thought
- of the staff, who were the weak links, who were the
- 13 strong links?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 Q. Who you could rely on, who you might be warned to have
- 16 concerns about?
- 17 A. Yeah.
- 18 Q. Is that the sort of thing you would talk about?
- 19 A. Yes, yes.
- Q. Do you remember, were concerns raised about any
- 21 teachers?
- 22 A. No. I can't remember any concerns being raised. Not of
- a serious nature or not of any -- no, I can't remember
- 24 any. No.
- 25 Q. Stepping back from Keil, you obviously have been at

- a number of schools and -- I suppose we can't step back
- from Keil because you did a although it wasn't
- 3 quite the same, in 1999 because it was the deputy head
- 4 who had been there when you started?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. So he knew the school intimately?
- 7 A. Correct.
- Q. I take it there wouldn't be the same sort of
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. It wasn't necessary or perhaps wouldn't have been
- 11 welcome anyway?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. Would you have expected, as a head, speaking generally,
- 14 to know of complaints of sexual abuse by a teacher which
- had been reported but ultimately not taken forward?
- 16 A. Yes, I would.
- 17 Q. You would have?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. That's the sort of thing you'd want to know?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Forgive me for asking what you might think is a very
- 22 stupid question: why?
- 23 A. Well, you'd want to know why they were still employed,
- 24 basically, and you would certainly -- well, I wouldn't
- 25 have anticipated that they would still be in teaching,

- 1 I suspect.
- Q. Please understand, I'm talking about a complaint about
- 3 a teacher that has not been taken to the point of being
- 4 accepted.
- 5 A. Okay, right. In that case, you'd still want to know in
- 6 terms of being observant and wary and if anything
- 7 subsequently happened, it would obviously be of great
- 8 significance.
- 9 Q. But nothing like that happened?
- 10 A. I wasn't informed of anybody -- of anything, no.
- 11 Q. I appreciate memory is difficult after decades, but is
- 12 that the sort of thing you might have remembered?
- 13 A. I would have remembered that.
- 14 Q. We talked about your deputy, and this is Tom Smith.
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. When you spoke to CGC , was Tom Smith there?
- 17 A. He would have been at some stage, yes.
- 18 Q. We get the sense from other witnesses that Tom Smith, to
- 19 use one word, was a powerhouse who was very involved in
- the day-to-day running of the school. Is that correct?
- 21 A. Certainly during my time he was very much involved in
- the day-to-day running of the school, yes.
- Q. We understand he'd been a rector before.
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. And then had become deputy head and of course in due

- 1 course, once you had gone, became head himself. Was he
- 2 someone you found it easy to work with?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Tell us about the relationship.
- 5 A. Well, my theory was very much if I was ill or knocked
- 6 over by the Dumbarton bus, Tom would take over, so it
- 7 was very important that he was aware of everything that
- 8 was going on. He was a very good sounding board.
- 9 I valued his judgement and very often would discuss
- 10 matters with him just to clarify in my own mind where to
- 11 go and what to do. And conversely I believe he did that
- 12 with me as well, if he had issues and problems.
- 13 Q. But we would understand that the Senior Management Team
- 14 in Keil in essence from the teaching side was you and
- Tom Smith?
- 16 A. Yes, that would be true.
- 17 Q. So what you knew, he would know, and vice versa?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And going back to the consideration of if there's been
- a complaint made against a teacher, as deputy head you'd
- 21 expect him to know that too?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Because that's the sort of thing a head really has to
- 24 share?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. So that senior management know?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. All right.
- 4 A. The other member of the Senior Management Team in a way
- 5 would be the bursar as well, but, I mean, not involved
- in anything to do with pastoral matters.
- 7 Q. No. That's much more buildings and finance --
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. -- I take it. The reason I ask so much about that is we
- 10 have had evidence which would suggest that a boy, if not
- 11 boys, complained about the conduct of William Bain
- during the **CGC** era, that there was an inquiry
- 13 carried out by the school, pupils were spoken to, and
- 14 that the parents of the boy who complained were assured
- that whilst it could not be corroborated, it would go on
- Mr Bain's record so it would follow him throughout his
- 17 career, so it would not be lost sight of, essentially.
- Does that surprise you?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. That is something, would you agree, you should have been
- 21 told?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. You met with Mr CGC but he didn't share that with
- 24 you?
- 25 A. No.

- 1 Q. And we've heard evidence from Mr Bain himself at the
- 2 meeting where this was raised with him by Mr CGC
- 3 Tom Smith was present. Tom Smith didn't ever share this
- 4 with you?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. If he was at that meeting, and I would understand he
- 7 would probably deny that, he should have told you?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Returning to your emotions when you went to Keil, it's
- a small school, rugby has been king but is in the
- 11 process of being democratised, perhaps. It's also
- 12 a school, I think can we agree, that is running
- financially close to the edge, there wasn't a lot of
- 14 money?
- 15 A. It wasn't a rich school, but it benefitted from the
- 16 Assisted Places Scheme.
- 17 Q. Yes.
- 18 A. So financially, really, for a number of years it was not
- 19 a major issue, but it did depend on perhaps a small --
- 20 as many schools ultimately do, a small number of
- 21 boarding pupils, one way or the other, made quite a bit
- of difference. It wasn't a rich school.
- 23 Q. No.
- A. And that, to be fair, was one of the appeals of the
- 25 school to me, was the fact that it was a school that

- 1 catered for pupils who maybe traditionally wouldn't have
- benefitted from a boarding school education.
- 3 Q. Obviously it was set up originally to satisfy technical
- 4 education for children from Kintyre and places west.
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. By the time you were there, that tradition had perhaps
- 7 inevitably declined?
- A. To a degree, because obviously we were taking on day
- 9 pupils as well, but still there was pretty strong links
- 10 with the Highlands and Islands, the West Highlands &
- 11 Islands.
- 12 Q. But it was, I think the word has been used repeatedly,
- 13 quite spartan?
- 14 A. I hope it wasn't too spartan, and that was certainly one
- thing in the boarding houses, as much as we could do to
- 16 try and make it a more pleasant working environment and
- 17 living environment, and a lot was done by furnishings
- and things like that. So I think spartan's probably
- 19 a little bit strong.
- 20 LADY SMITH: What word would you use?
- 21 A. I was going to say basic, but, I mean, that's probably
- even worse, actually. It was not an elaborate school.
- 23 It was not -- I hope it was a comfortable school.
- MR BROWN: I think if we look at a document WIT3719, this is
- a copy of the HMI report of 1992, which obviously --

- 1 A. Yeah.
- 2 Q. -- you will have had to deal with the fallout from, or
- 3 respond to. I think on page 2 the description -- sorry,
- 4 page 3 of our document, page 2 of the actual document --
- 5 under, "Accommodation and resources" -- go over the
- 6 page, please, and go down:
- 7 "Teaching accommodation was very good [in some
- 8 respects] and very poor [in others]."
- 9 But then going down to the second paragraph:
- 10 "Boarding accommodation overall was
- 11 satisfactory ..."
- 12 Satisfactory in the language of HMI is not really
- 13 what you want as a headteacher, I take it?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 Q. " ... although in one of the older boarding houses two
- 16 wash hand basins and a toilet needed repair and
- 17 a cupboard which stored tea and toast making
- 18 facilities ..."
- 19 Toast making facilities may have been important for
- chiefs, I understand? Was there a currency in your day
- of toast? Are you aware of that?
- 22 A. I think there's always a currency for toast in boarding
- houses, yes.
- Q. Okay, so that was still prevalent when you were there?
- 25 A. Yeah, I'm sure they made toast. Sorry, I can't remember

- 1 how prevalent how it was, but yes.
- 2 Q. All right. Improvements to the boarding accommodation,
- 3 some of which were effected during the period of
- 4 inspection, were an ongoing priority of the school?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. The school wanted to take things on?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. That's one of the things, and again just to shortcut,
- 9 evidence we've heard of your headship and what you
- 10 wanted, you wanted to soften the school and make it more
- 11 welcoming? Is that fair?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. Because it had had a fairly hard traditional approach in
- 14 the past?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. Rugby's king, education is not, spartan might have been
- 17 the word of previous decades?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Or basic?
- 20 A. Yes, I think that's -- yes.
- Q. And as the HMI report shows, there's now a recognition,
- and that was one of the things you tried to do over your
- 23 tenure?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. All right. If we go over the page to page 6 under

- 1 "Policies and communication":
- 2 "Policies on key areas such as learning teaching and 3 the management of resources should be developed.
- Heads of department should, in a significant number

  of instances, produce more sharply focused policies and

  establish more rigorous monitoring and evaluation of the

  pupils' experience.

More time should be made available to staff to participate in management and policy formulation and engage in curricular development."

Again, the school you inherited was, in comparison perhaps with previous schools you'd worked in, somewhat sparse in terms of printed material and guidance?

- A. Yes. I find that difficult to answer. I think the probability is high, but it was something that I -- I probably can't pinpoint specifically how much paperwork there was. I cannot remember how much paperwork there was. But yes, obviously that points to the fact. And to be fair, HMI normally point out a dearth of policies.
- 21 Q. They like policy?
- 22 A. They like policy. Well, clearly it's tangible evidence 23 of what's going on for an outsider.
- 24 Q. Yes.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

25 A. Or what should be going on, sorry.

1 Q. Quite. If we go to page 10, please, of the same document:

"The broad aims of the school were clearly outlined in the prospectus. The essence of these, as expressed by the headmaster, was to create a family atmosphere in which the value of each individual and consideration for others were stressed as important features of the school community."

Et cetera. Again, to be blunt, when an inspection is about to take place, would I be right in thinking schools make various real efforts to show their best face?

- A. Yes, but I think that's slightly unfair because I think that most inspectors would see through that pretty quickly, and increasingly the inspection regimes are quite keen on doing unannounced inspections as well.

  I think in those days, to be fair, they didn't and you had a pretty good warning of when they were coming. But I think they spot, and certainly if they don't spot, the pupils will tell them pretty quickly, that things have changed in the last two weeks and things like that. So I don't think you pull the wool over inspectors' eyes that easily.
- Q. All right.

A. But you're right, you want to give the best impression

- 1 you can.
- 2 Q. Because particularly in a school like Keil -- and I'm
- 3 sorry, I'm not being critical, it's just whether you
- 4 agree with this as a statement of fact -- one of the
- 5 problems with Keil was it was constantly needing to get
- 6 more pupils in to survive.
- 7 A. That's not quite true because, I mean, certainly when --
- 8 I would say for the first -- until the Assisted Places
- 9 went, financially we were okay. We never had an awful
- 10 lot of money, but it wasn't as though there was -- there
- 11 weren't major alarm bells ringing, either.
- 12 Q. Well, were you treading water?
- 13 A. Again, I think for any small -- size is the important
- 14 thing in a school like that, and any small school where
- 15 you're having to employ, obviously, a staff and
- 16 resources and -- it's difficult, but it would be no
- 17 different, I suspect, from many other small schools,
- 18 small boarding schools. So treading water I think is
- 19 probably not quite the right term to use. You're always
- 20 pleased at the end of the day when there was a profit
- 21 being made that could be put on to the next year and so
- 22 on.
- 23 Q. Because it allows you to soften the school and improve
- 24 facilities?
- 25 A. Correct.

- Q. But the process, would you accept, in your six years at

  Keil was not as fast as you would have liked?
- 3 A. Sorry, the --

- 4 Q. The progress of softening and making it --
- Oh, right, there was always room to do more. So one Α. did -- as far as I can recollect, what we did as much as possible was to -- I mean, I think I probably alluded to the fact that there was a dormitory to begin with, which was a junior dormitory, and that was clearly something which was just not appropriate at all, so after the --I think it was in my first year we got rid of that, for a number of reasons, but the main reason being it was in no way attractive to potential parents or pupils.

And then within the boarding houses, what we tried to do was through -- I think I've mentioned this before, through furnishings, through the ability to segregate various areas, to try and make it a little bit more akin to study bedrooms and so on, and that was configuration more than anything. Also introducing -- again the important thing was the -- for my money was the ability to have housemothers, people like that, to make it more family orientated without -- but knowing you were always going to be constrained by basically two separate boarding houses, and then for the girls within the main block of the schoolhouse, none of which was perfect or

ideal, but it also -- I suppose it had character. It

was -- it -- you had to deal with what you had there in

terms of the fabric of the school.

Q. Sure. If we go to page 14, and the mid page, keep going down, please. Sorry, if we can go slightly up, thank you. Stop there:

"The school was characterised by a strong sense of community, a friendly atmosphere and a concern for the overall development of pupils as individuals. Courtesy on the part of pupils and concern for others were consistently encouraged and were evident in many situations. The brochure's claim that the school 'enjoyed the atmosphere of an extended family' was amply fulfilled."

Is that how you saw it when you joined in 1993?

A. Certainly it was a close-knit community and there was a -- a very supportive feeling amongst the pupils, they were very loyal and so on. I wanted certainly to build on that concept of a family and I think, as you say, probably use the term "soften".

- Q. But the school you inherited was still one, and I think probably always remained, where the structure of pupils supervising pupils was different from other schools, perhaps?
- 25 A. I wouldn't -- certainly during my time, I wouldn't have

- 1 said that, no.
- Q. We have chiefs and deputies who are in charge of squads, who, for example, have cleaning duties?
- That was -- that was -- I was questioned about that 4 Α. 5 in -- when I gave my statement and I can't recall the 6 cleaning duties, and certainly one of the things I did 7 try and achieve or try and very much emphasise was 8 a change in leadership roles and a minimising of the 9 idea that senior pupils were in charge of other pupils with -- unless it was in the context of where they were 10 11 organising events or something like that. It was not 12 meant -- I did not want senior pupils to be -- have 13 a responsibility without realising their own -- their own involvement within that. Yes, I did try and change 14

the whole concept of leadership and so on.

- Q. But the reality was, and I think we've heard this from many witnesses, that the chiefs in some respects did run the school. Although that's something you tried to change, was it still there when you started?
- 20 A. I -- the concept of pupils running the school is 21 something I don't recognise.
- 22 Q. All right.

15

16

17

18

19

23 A. It's something that I certainly would not have
24 encouraged in any shape or form. I think the style of
25 leadership I was aware of when I first went to the

school was -- and I go back to the -- and I think

I alluded to this in the witness statement -- was based

on the -- largely based on the idea that if you were in

the rugby team, for example, you had a much stronger

chance of being a senior leader than -- or a chief or

whatever it was than others, and I thought that was

wrong. And I broadened that out.

I would say I think also co-education played a large part in that change of atmosphere too.

- Q. One of the themes not just from Keil but from other schools we have been looking at is that many schools seem to have had cultures of silence.
- 13 A. Sorry, I didn't hear that.

- Q. Cultures of silence, in other words boys or girls
  wouldn't report if things were going wrong. Is that
  something you recognise? Pupils are perhaps often slow
  to come forward?
  - A. I don't really recognise that, no, because that's certainly something that was alien to my way of thinking, and in a school of that sort of size there were many, many opportunities for pupils to talk, and certainly that was something I would have -- certainly tried to encourage, that people did come forward and discuss and chat, and we had debates and -- yes. No, I wouldn't -- the concept of a culture of silence is

- 1 something I -- yes, I find alien. Sorry.
- Q. Okay. Just looking at page 6 of your statement,
- 3 paragraph 31, you say:
- 4 "Communications improved when I joined."
- 5 A newsletter was sent out, the magazine is given
- a face lift thanks to one member of staff.
- 7 Then paragraph 32:
- 8 "I started daily staff briefings and weekly meetings
- 9 with senior pupils. A Student Council was created with
- 10 pupil representation from every year group. Potential
- 11 pupils and parents were shown around ... by existing
- pupils. I held over 60 presentations ... throughout
- 13 Scotland ..."
- 14 Presumably trying to market the school to get
- numbers up but also as part of your communication
- 16 strategy?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 Q. Again you're trying to change things, but clearly what
- 19 you found wasn't, as you saw it, ideal. These things
- were lacking?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. For example, assembly. Was there a daily school
- assembly with all the teachers present or was it just
- the duty teacher when you started?
- 25 A. I can't remember, sorry.

- 1 Q. All right.
- 2 A. It wouldn't -- I think we had house assemblies as well.
- Well, certainly in addition to -- I can't remember
- 4 whether we had daily assemblies every single day. We
- 5 certainly had house assemblies if there wasn't a school
- 6 assembly.
- 7 Q. All right. You mention houses. Obviously there are
- 8 houses there for girls and boys, and you talk about your
- 9 efforts to soften them and make them more appropriate in
- 10 terms of layout. Just talking about housemasters, we
- 11 would understand at Keil housemasters sometimes in the
- 12 past would live in but sometimes wouldn't actually live
- in or by the dormitory. Was that the position when you
- 14 arrived, were there still some housemasters living apart
- 15 from their charges?
- 16 A. I think yes, in one house the housemaster lived, yes, in
- 17 a separate house, but I think the house tutor or the
- 18 assistant lived in the house.
- 19 Q. Yes. But again, is that just one of the deficiencies of
- the structure of Keil, it made it more difficult from
- 21 what might be more obvious to have a presence from the
- 22 housemaster on site?
- 23 A. Well -- yes. When you say on site, I mean, it was
- literally -- if it's the house I'm thinking of,
- 25 literally next door, and the house -- the assistant

- 1 housemaster lived in the house.
- 2 Q. Yes.
- 3 A. Yeah.
- Q. But the sense we get from some staff is that there was
- 5 great reliance placed on the chiefs and deputies who are
- 6 running the house for the day-to-day tasks: getting the
- 7 children up, doing head calls or headcounts, reporting
- 8 to the house staff but the house staff not being
- 9 particularly present. Is that something you remember?
- 10 A. I wasn't really -- that's something I -- I wasn't really
- 11 aware of. I was much more aware of house staff being
- 12 closely involved with the pupils in their -- in their
- 13 charges.
- 14 Q. Again, I mean no criticism, you're the headmaster, a lot
- 15 will be reported to you.
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Rather than you being engaged day to day.
- 18 A. Yeah, but I also tried to visit houses on a fairly
- 19 regular basis as well to get a feel of what was going
- 20 on.
- 21 Q. Yes. Obviously we have the 1998 report, which is at the
- tail end of your time at Keil, and it's apparent things
- 23 have changed. You achieved some of your aims at least?
- 24 A. I hope so.
- 25 Q. And, for example, if we look at KSC25, which is that

1		1		0		1.7	' 1 ' 1 1	' 1
	ranart	and	$na\alpha a$	/	$\alpha$ n	+ n $\triangle$	right-hand	9100

"Around 50 per cent of parents responded to the inspection questionnaire. In general, they were very well pleased with the quality of pupil care. Almost all agreed that the school had explained clearly its policies and rules for pupils in residence; the boarding house staff knew the pupils well and were approachable and helpful; the pupils were given very good support if they had difficulties; and the boarding house staff allowed the pupils appropriate freedom. A large proportion of parents expressed concern about the food provided ..."

Which we understand is always a problem.

- " ... and about the general security of the school."
- Because this is Bruce Hill, the estate next door,
- 16 correct?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- 17 A. And specifically one person.
- 18 Q. All right. And quality of residential care is now good 19 from being satisfactory.
- 20 "There was a clear sense of community in the residential houses."
- 22 And it goes on over the page:
- "Residential staff demonstrated concern for the

  pastoral needs of pupils. In particular, the matron's

  contribution to the school was highly valued."

- 1 You talked about housemistresses or housemothers
- 2 earlier.
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. They weren't a thing when you started?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. They didn't exist?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. But they did exist by the end of your tenure?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Can you remember when they came in?
- 11 A. No, I can't, I can't, actually. But I remember
- 12 certainly Mrs Smith became a housemother and I can't
- accurately recall who was the other housemother.
- I suspect it was Mrs Guy, but I -- yes, that was
- something I did introduce. But how -- how -- and
- I can't recall how formal I made it or quite what it
- 17 was, but it was another -- again it was -- largely
- I wanted that as a means of communication for concerns,
- 19 problems, somebody who I felt -- this is probably the
- 20 wrong thing to say -- a female figure in a boys' house
- 21 was important to have, that it might be somebody who
- 22 pupils could confide in more easily and more readily.
- Q. We're back to perhaps the issue of children not coming
- forward because there isn't someone appropriate to speak
- 25 to.

- 1 A. No, I think -- I think all that I would say I was trying
- 2 to do there was just to open up the possibilities.
- 3
  I wasn't -- it wasn't on the back of any concerns
- I directly had. It was just trying to maximise the
- 5 chances of people -- maybe it was just a consolation.
- 6 Maybe it was somebody who just wanted -- I suppose it's
- 7 the mother figure, to be absolutely honest. I wanted --
- 8 Q. But in terms -- sorry to cut across -- in terms of the
- 9 mother figure, the two names you've mentioned were
- 10 staff.
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 Q. They were teachers?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 Q. So is that another example -- and please understand I'm
- not trying to be just scathing about it, but it reflects
- 16 the fact you were having to make use of what you had
- 17 rather than bringing in additional staff. Was that one
- of the financial limitations?
- 19 A. No, I -- no, I don't think that would necessarily be the
- 20 case because I think if you were bringing in an outsider
- 21 to a role like that it would probably not be that --
- 22 necessarily that successful, and you may be bringing
- another dimension into the school which was not -- they
- 24 would feel less likely to confide or chat to somebody
- 25 who they didn't know very well, where at least they're

- familiar with these people. So I don't think it's 1 a role I would have -- even if I'd had the money --2 wanted to invest in necessarily, because you -- you 3 know, one had the matron, and in any school context --4 5 and I broaden this out wider -- as far as I was 6 concerned, a pupil, if they had a problem, an issue, 7 they could confide in anybody. That was the important 8 thing, and obviously one anticipated that would then
- passed on if it was a serious issue. But to be able to just chat to somebody sometimes is quite important.

be -- if it was a matter of concern -- forwarded and

- 12 Q. We'll come back to that because clearly children were 13 not chatting about bad things that were happening.
- 14 A. Yes.

9

- 15 Q. But staying with the issue of who was appointed as
  16 housemothers, you have a matron. Is that the matron who
  17 is responsible for health, essentially?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. So is not connected to one house but is covering the 20 school?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. So you introduce housemothers who are existing staff
  23 and, like all staff at Keil who have full boarding
  24 responsibility, if we can agree on that, in other words
  25 live-in --

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. -- they had very heavy workloads. They were teaching,
- doing lesson plans, marking, house responsibilities,
- 4 sport?
- 5 A. (Witness nods).
- Q. Running hot all the time?
- 7 A. Yes, you say that. Again, my experience of other
- 8 schools and so on, if you're in a boarding context, it's
- 9 pretty full on anyhow.
- 10 Q. Yes.
- 11 A. And it's not necessarily equated to the fact that
- "running hot" -- I think any school I've worked in or --
- or been involved with, it is a big, big commitment,
- 14 whatever you're doing, whatever the size of the school,
- 15 and I alluded to the fact earlier on that it was
- 16 probably financially easier in a large school, but you
- 17 can still be working very, very hard. You still have
- lots -- to be fair, that is one of the attractions of
- 19 working in those environments, that you are part of
- a much broader picture and it's a -- it's a full -- it's
- 21 a pretty full commitment during term time.
- 22 LADY SMITH: And the housemothers that you introduced, did
- they have their own sons at the school?
- A. One did, yes.
- 25 LADY SMITH: Just one?

- 1 A. I can't honestly remember who the other housemother was,
- 2 I'm sorry.
- 3 LADY SMITH: What about Anne Pack, was she a housemother?
- 4 A. She might well have been, yes.
- 5 LADY SMITH: And Sarah Guy?
- 6 A. Sarah Guy didn't have a son at the school.
- 7 did.
- 8 LADY SMITH: had a son at school.
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 LADY SMITH: How did that work? Where they housemothers in
- 11 houses where their boys were or was a policy decision
- made to distance them from that?
- 13 A. No, I didn't make a policy decision to distance them,
- 14 no.
- 15 LADY SMITH: So you are telling me that their own sons were
- in the house in which they were housemothers?
- 17 A. As far as I can remember, yes. There was certainly --
- I mean, they -- yes. As far as I can recall.
- 19 LADY SMITH: Hmm. Was that a good idea?
- 20 A. Probably not, on reflection, no. But it could have been
- 21 awkward to have made a housemother in another -- made
- them a housemother in another house. That could
- 23 have ...
- 24 LADY SMITH: Mm.
- 25 Mr Brown.

- 1 MR BROWN: But again, thinking about what perhaps with
- 2 hindsight wasn't a good idea, was it ever the case when
- 3 you were head that the girls' house had a housemaster?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Is that not, from your experience, or was that from your
- 6 experience not counter-intuitive and less than ideal?
- 7 A. To a certain extent it was, but it was somebody who had
- 8 had experience of being a housemaster before in a girls'
- 9 school, as far as my recollection goes, and there was
- 10 also always an assistant who was female.
- 11 Q. Yes. I think that housemaster went in because there had
- 12 been difficulties with a housemistress. Is that
- 13 correct?
- 14 A. Correct. Correct.
- 15 Q. You were aware, were you, at the time there were
- 16 concerns about her behaviour towards her female charges?
- 17 A. Not so much towards her female charges. Certainly
- towards me there was a problem, and -- yeah, I can't --
- I can't recall specifically problems with her charges.
- I mean, when you say problems with her charges,
- 21 certainly it was -- it became an unhappy working
- 22 relationship.
- Q. This is the housemistress who wasn't there at times?
- A. She suffered illness, yes.
- 25 Q. And took herself off, leaving the house unsupported?

- 1 A. We had an assistant, but yes, that's probably the -- but
- 2 it was -- I think it was illness as much as anything.
- 3 Q. All right. Certainly you weren't aware, as head, of
- 4 concerns of the type I alluded to; it was more practical
- 5 concerns and tensions between you and her and that
- 6 relationship?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Okay. Because I appreciate that you had -- and we see
- 9 this in the minutes as well as certain school documents,
- and if we can look, please, at WIT3718, this is a school
- 11 discipline and routine document, which if we go to
- page 10, the final page, is revised August 1993. If we
- go to the foot. That's presumably a revision that you
- 14 set in train?
- 15 A. (Pause).
- 16 Q. Did you --
- 17 A. I modernised this considerably. I don't quite recog --
- 18 Q. You've seen the date, the details there perhaps matter
- less. Let's go to page 2, which is the opening
- 20 statement effectively setting the theme.
- 21 A. Yeah, I recognise that.
- 22 Q. "At Keil you are expected to act with consideration,
- courtesy and respect for other people, their property
- and the environment. Pupils must do nothing likely to
- 25 bring themselves and their school into disrepute. Any

- 1 form of bullying is completely unacceptable. You must
- 2 obey the law."
- 3 Were those your words?
- 4 A. I certainly -- in fact I used that from a previous
- 5 school.
- 6 Q. You brought that with you?
- 7 A. Yeah.
- 8 Q. So this is revision, we would understand, of a fairly
- 9 fundamental nature. You're putting -- a line in the
- sand is too dramatic, but you are making abundantly
- 11 plain what you expect?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. And this is what you brought from another school?
- 14 A. Well, it -- well, the wording I brought from another
- school, but it was very much my -- my own ideas of what
- 16 I wanted in -- in education anyhow.
- 17 Q. Absolutely. My point is, when you got to Keil, that
- sort of approach and clarity was lacking?
- 19 A. I can't -- I -- yes, possibly.
- 20 Q. Okay. But was that the sort of thing you were trying to
- 21 do, introduce clarity, communication --
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. -- softness?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. And that would happen as fast as the realities of life

- 1 allowed it to happen?
- 2 A. That's very true.
- 3 Q. All right. In terms of child protection, obviously we
- 4 know, and again it is clear, please understand me, we
- 5 have the minutes of the board meetings so we can see
- 6 discussions, largely it would seem to be triggered by
- 7 SCIS, a body you know?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Who were leading all schools, perhaps --
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. -- with the idea, the concept of child protection being
- important?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And this again -- I'm sorry, I'm leading you, please
- tell me if I'm wrong -- was happening in the mid-1990s?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. That's when it took off?
- 18 A. That certainly was my recollection and I think I alluded
- in the minutes to a meeting which was probably the
- 20 inaugural meeting organised by SCIS where it was
- 21 highlighted and for many of us as heads it was the first
- 22 time we'd really come across this concept.
- 23 Q. And SCIS usefully produced a draft policy which schools
- 24 adopted gratefully?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Lifted, copied? I mean, I'm not being critical.
- 2 A. Yes, no, very much so, it was a model -- because it was
- 3 a new concept and the whole process was new, it was --
- 4 yes.
- 5 Q. From your perspective, having been in education by that
- stage for 10/15 years, had you ever thought of the
- 7 concept of child protection or safeguarding or words
- 8 that are now familiar prior to the mid-1990s?
- 9 A. Yes, but not in -- not in those -- not quite in that
- 10 way, and that terminology, as far as I can remember,
- 11 wasn't being used. But yes, you were aware that if
- there were serious concerns in a school, you
- obviously -- you didn't have to have a child protection
- policy to be able to deal with them or to be able to
- seek advice about how to deal with them.
- 16 Q. But from what we read, if we go to page 14 of your
- 17 statement, paragraph 74:
- "Being a small school with a tight-knit community
- 19 little went unnoticed. It was not a vast campus and the
- 20 mix of day and boarding pupils meant that boarders did
- 21 not live in an isolated or remote bubble. The parents
- of day pupils were in and around the school every day.
- I had an open door policy with frequent visits from
- 24 staff, pupils and parents. Visitors invariably
- commented on the open and friendly nature of the school.

- 75. For those reasons and because staff and pupils
  were alert to those who were unhappy and not thriving,

  I was confident that nothing undetected would have been
  happening.
- 5 76. I did not believe that abuse in the school
  6 during my period of employment could have occurred and
  7 gone undetected."
- 8 Obviously, that was all wrong.
- 9 A. Yeah.

- 10 Q. Would you accept that there was an awful lot of 11 assumption and naivety in the 1990s?
  - A. (Pause). To a -- I'm not trying to defend anything because it is obviously -- you know, it was wrong, it was a wrong assumption, but I do pose later on what could one have done more to ensure that that wasn't the case, and you can say it was naivety and with hindsight certainly one would have hoped that something could have -- I could have reacted differently or I could put in place something differently that would have highlighted a problem or problems.
  - Q. The difficulty is we heard yesterday from Mr Bain, who accepted that his abuse of children was of a very significant quantity, hundreds of times, sometimes five days a week, sometimes more, if one pupil in particular was staying for the weekends as well, with overlap

- between the victims. And Mr Bain confirmed that he
  really felt no likelihood of being discovered. That
  doesn't sit well with --
- 4 A. No.

- 5 Q. What might have been done better? What have you thought 6 about that?
- A. Well, certainly the ability of a pupil/pupils to come
  forward and explain or tell somebody that there was
  something going on, that would be the first thing.

  I suppose for him not to have been put into a position
  where he had the opportunities to do -- I mean, what
  you've said just now is completely new to me -- to have
  the opportunity to do what he could.
  - Q. One might say the difficulty was he was an enthusiastic teacher, who was happy to take the load off others by being involved with children all the time without any supervision, because it was convenient for him to do that. Would you agree that was the case with Bill Bain, who was an enthusiast who never stopped working with children?
  - A. He was certainly an enthusiastic teacher, he was very engaged and very involved with other people, with lots of activities. Again, I think the -- the difficulty -- and I'm not making any defence whatsoever -- is that if you have an enthusiastic teacher, if you have somebody

- 1 who's involved in lots of different things, do you
- 2 naturally become cynical and say, "Don't do this"?
- 3 Obviously I should have put more or there should have
- 4 been more -- what in common parlance now is called --
- 5 risk assessment involved. But I wasn't looking at
- 6 somebody with cynicism or I wasn't looking at somebody
- 7 with scepticism. Again you could say that was naivety.
- 8 Q. You assumed it would be all right?
- 9 A. Pardon me?
- 10 Q. You assumed it would all be all right?
- 11 A. Well, I assumed that people who were involved in
- 12 education and teaching were doing it for the best
- interests, yes.
- 14 Q. But we've heard from a statement which was read in from
- Tom Smith that Bill was overgenerous with his time. Is
- that not a red flag, perhaps?
- 17 A. He was certainly somebody who was very -- yes, very
- involved with -- with -- he was generous with his time.
- I did not have any suspicions because I -- he was
- somebody who was committed to lots of different things.
- 21 He was ... I mean, I can only say that that was my --
- my -- my view, and I did not have -- there were no red
- 23 flags as far as I was concerned of any serious --
- Q. What about the fact --
- 25 A. Sorry.

- 1 Q. Sorry, no, I interrupted you.
- 2 A. No.
- 3 O. What about the fact it was known that he would have
- 4 pupils into his rooms, into his lab very commonly?
- 5 I appreciate your point about cynicism, but a teacher
- 6 who is doing that and is known to be perhaps more lax
- 7 than anyone else, is that not a red flag?
- 8 A. Probably in retrospect, yes. I think with hindsight,
- 9 obviously, yes.
- 10 Q. If --
- 11 A. But -- sorry.
- 12 Q. No, no, carry on.
- 13 A. Again, it's probably naivety that I -- I thought it was
- an enthusiasm. It was -- again, I didn't have any worry
- or concern because it hadn't been expressed, and
- 16 that's -- yes, it is naivety, I suppose. And with
- 17 hindsight, certainly.
- 18 Q. Had you a teacher like William Bain in your subsequent
- 19 school in Kent behaving as he behaved at Keil, would you
- 20 have acted differently, do you think?
- 21 A. That's a very difficult question to answer because no
- 22 two teachers are identical and some are very -- some
- 23 have -- all teachers have different styles. Some have
- a very informal but very controlled way of dealing with
- 25 people. Some are involved in lots of activities where

they are surrounded by young people. So ... I couldn't give a clear "yes" or "no" to that answer. I think if you are talking about subsequent things, I think what -- and I -- it's slightly deviating from the question you've asked, but I think when I thought about it, answering these questions about child protection policies and so on, I think the thing that struck me, probably, was that young people in a school situation were themselves made more aware of what to look for in terms of child protection issues, and so it became an integral part of personal and social education, for example, so there was a greater awareness from their perspective of when to be concerned and when to voice worries. And that's just a general reflection I had.

Trying to answer the question, I think -- it's a very difficult question to answer. Would I have been concerned about -- until I was made aware of the issue in -- whenever it was, 2015, it wouldn't -- unfortunately it didn't strike me as a possibility. It wasn't something I'd thought about long and hard and thought I wonder, I wonder. It never struck me, never hit me.

LADY SMITH: John, do you recognise a description of this man as being somebody who was eternally bouncing around, the perpetual adolescent, not able to keep discipline in

- 1 his class and occasionally socially inappropriate and
- 2 given to making lewd comments?
- 3 A. Certainly not the latter one, no.
- 4 LADY SMITH: The others?
- 5 A. He was a -- he was an enthusiast, Mr Chips. He was
- 6 somebody who was involved in lots of different things.
- 7 LADY SMITH: The perpetual adolescent?
- 8 A. No, I think that -- I wouldn't have said that. And nor
- 9 would I -- he had an informal style, but I didn't have
- any concerns about his teaching. I thought he was
- 11 quite -- and certainly my predecessor I do remember
- 12 referring to him as an inspirational teacher.
- 13 LADY SMITH: Was he different from everybody else?
- 14 A. He probably was a one-off, but I think, you know, in
- a way that's not necessarily a bad thing in a teacher
- 16 to -- and many -- no two teachers are necessarily the
- 17 same.
- 18 LADY SMITH: Mr Brown.
- MR BROWN: What I was perhaps alluding to is the development
- of child protection and a growing awareness and
- 21 scepticism and cynicism which perhaps need to be
- deployed, with caution, of course, because there has
- 23 been a great deal of abuse against a culture in schools
- 24 where "it wouldn't happen here" is the mentality and yet
- 25 it does happen. That's what I was alluding to.

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. Did your view change over the next decades as you taught? Did you become more cynical, in other words?
- I don't think so. No. And again you may say that's 4 Α. 5 naive, but you -- you do work in education on the 6 premise and on the idea that people are in the job 7 for -- and surely the vast majority of people who are 8 involved in education, involved in any form of work, 9 basically, are straight and honest and are there with good intentions. That's -- that's the premise you --10 11 I think you've got to work on. I think the whole concept of -- and I did ally the two quite carefully --
- concept of -- and I did ally the two quite carefully -risk assessments and weighing up in order also to

  protect in a school situation staff themselves as well
- from possible accusations, that, yes, things did tighten up a lot.
- 17 Q. That's what I was going to close with. It's

  18 a double-edged sword because you have to protect staff

  19 also.
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. The culture at Keil fundamentally, when you were there
  22 and before, was that in terms of risk assessment, risk
  23 assessments were not being done meaningfully?
- A. Well, sorry, in what respect?
- Q. For example, and with a limited staff, we know that

- 1 Bill Bain would take pupils away unescorted?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Overnight. Camping. And the point is even then,
- 4 surely, in an ideal world there would be a second
- 5 teacher, if only to be able to cover if something
- 6 untoward happens?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. But at Keil, as distinct perhaps from bigger schools,
- 9 one of the real difficulties you had was you had
- 10 a finite staff and too much to try and do.
- 11 A. I think that's a -- that is a fair comment, but
- increasingly, certainly if there was boys and girls on
- an expedition, there would always be two people there,
- there would always be a female as well. And often on
- DofE there were, as far as I can remember, two people
- involved.
- 17 I almost, although it's irrelevant, really, made the
- 18 point of also going out -- not staying overnight but
- 19 visiting groups who were out on DofE. And again, one
- 20 hoped if there was anything -- any emergency or any
- 21 problem, you'd pick that up. But obviously I didn't.
- 22 Q. It's just your comment in paragraph 124, page 23:
- "We were a small school trying to do a lot. Perhaps
- too much ..."
- 25 Although you don't intend that as a criticism of the

- school, is it not in fact a criticism of the school, it

  tried to do too much with too little and in terms of the

  lack of supervision meant a paedophile like Mr Bain

  could operate untrammelled for years?
- 5 A. I mean -- that's obviously true. And again I'm making 6 no excuses whatsoever, but the converse is also that 7 because we did a lot, it gave lots of good opportunities to people as well, albeit that the risk in that -- what 8 9 you've alluded to -- was far too great. But I also wanted young people to -- there's also a theory that if 10 11 you're not doing things, if you're not engaged, involved in things, particularly in a boarding context, the 12 13 chances of things going wrong are much higher, and by going wrong I mean young people getting bored and so on. 14 15 At least my theory was trying to keep them active, 16 trying to keep them interested in things, giving them opportunities, challenges and so on. 17

And you're right to suggest it was -- it was busy and there were lots of things happening, and perhaps shortcuts were taken. And they were taken, yes.

- Q. Is there anything else you would like to add, John?
- 22 A. No.

18

19

20

- 23 MR BROWN: Thank you.
- 24 LADY SMITH: Are there any outstanding questions for John?
- John, thank you very much for coming along this

- 1 morning to add to what you have already told us in your written statement and thank you for the written 2 statement. This is all evidence that helps me 3 tremendously in building a picture about Keil School 4 5 before it closed. I'm grateful to you for engaging as 6 you have done and dealing with what I appreciate are 7 difficult questions, but I'm sure you would have no difficulty in agreeing with me that when it comes to the 8 interests of children, no questions can be rejected as 9
- 11 A. Correct.

10

- 12 LADY SMITH: Thank you. I'm now able to let you go.
- 13 A. Thank you.
- 14 LADY SMITH: Enjoy the rest of your Friday, if you can.
- 15 A. Thank you.
- 16 LADY SMITH: Thank you.

too difficult.

- 17 (The witness withdrew)
- 18 LADY SMITH: Morning break, I think, Mr Brown.
- 19 MR BROWN: That would be ideal. My Lady, thereafter there
- is one read-in, an addenda, brief, from one of last
- 21 week's witnesses, and then Mr Harvey-Jamieson to
- 22 conclude.
- 23 LADY SMITH: Thank you.
- 24 (11.30 am)
- 25 (A short break)

1 (11.54 am)

2 LADY SMITH: Mr Brown.

'Ian' (addenda read)

MR BROWN: My Lady, if I could start with the addenda, these are written submissions that have been sent in by the witness 'Ian' who gave evidence last week. There are two of them. The first one is WIT-3-000001086 and is a note on a bullying incident at Keil School in the session 1959 to 1960 and on bullying generally at the school and reads:

"With the single exception of my own experience as given in evidence to the Inquiry and the following incident, I cannot recollect any reports of bullying by the teachers or boys at Keil School during my time there between 1959 and 1962.

I remember that an egregious case of bullying took place in the first year of my attendance in the session 1959 to 1960, involving a boy [whose] parents were in colonial or other service in Africa. The boy formed part of the intake of new boys into second year and we all slept in the upper dormitory of Mason House.

For some reason he attracted the attention of the older boys in fourth year. One day a report came back to me from inside the school that some fourth year boys had taken him up to their dormitory, housed in the tower

of the main school building, and subjected him to the 'dumdum'. This involved beating his chest with a large Victorian doorknob until it swelled up to an inflamed state. The same boys also 'blackened' him, by smearing black shoe polish and pouring wet or dry porridge over his genital area.

The boy's chest was so badly swollen that he went to the school matron for medical treatment. The sorry story came out and the matron reported the matter to the headmaster, Mr Robertson.

It came back to me that the culprits were called into the school office where summary justice was dispensed. The little that I know of that process was that the boy was invited to swing his first at each of the offending boys to take revenge at what they had done to him.

I did not speak to him about what had happened, but the report of the incident left such a mark on me that I was fearful of climbing the wide stairs to the tower dormitories and it was only after I had entered third year that I climbed those stairs for the first time on orderly duty, and even then it was with an initial frisson of fear.

There was almost legendary talk in the school of a boy who had been systematically bullied in the years

prior to my arrival and who had been driven 'raging mad'
by the treatment he received. One of the incidents
involved removing him and his bed out of a dormitory in
the middle of the night and placing it on the lawn in
front of the school.

I think that it is fair to say that if bullying had come to the attention of the teachers, they would have taken it seriously and intervened. However, in my experience it was rare that anything ever came to their attention and the culture of omerta (silence) amongst the boys acted as a filter from the teachers.

There was a boy in my year, and for some reason he ran away from the school in third year. I remember the technical master coming into our third year dormitory on the lower floor of Mason House after lights out and making searching enquiries among us to try to ascertain why the boy had run away. He wanted to know what had caused his unhappiness. Nobody offered any information, although I don't think bullying was involved. Anyway, it emerged later that something had prompted him to flee to an aunt in Greenock although he did in fact return to the school with nothing further being done.

I believe the facts as stated above to be true and declare that I wish this submission to be included in the record as evidence."

And that is signed by 'Ian' on 2 November 2021.

The second submission, which is signed and dated on the same date, 2 November, is on notes on attitudes to sex at Keil School between 1959 and 1962:

"I omitted to mention in my oral evidence that on the second day of my attendance on the first term in September 1959, the new intake was called into the assembly hall by the senior chief, who gave some instruction on the behaviour expected from us outside the school in relation to the opposite sex, whom we might encounter when going into Dumbarton on Saturday and Sunday afternoons. I remember that we were told not to speak to girls in Dumbarton and that if any of them sought to talk to us, we should simply ignore them and walk away. Many years later I met the ex-senior chief at an old boys' day and said that I remembered this stricture and was surprised when he denied saying it or did not remember it.

I had never heard of homosexuality until I arrived at the school. Homosexuality was absolutely taboo and despised as the worst kind of human condition. For some reason, my friend was labelled a 'homo' and when he came into the dormitory people would sometimes call out, 'Get me a cork!'. My friend took it well and it never seemed to affect him outwardly. He certainly never displayed

any homosexual tendencies.

There was no contact at all with members of the female sex of our age at any time during my attendance at the school. The only woman on the school staff was the matron, who on account of her lack of pulchritude was referred in conversation amongst the boys as "The Bag". If someone had an ailment one would hear the question, 'Have you been to see The Bag?'.

Occasionally the headmaster, Mr Robertson, would lecture us disapprovingly at assembly that 'smutty magazines' had been found in the school buildings. He was probably referring to the then popular Parade, a weekly pin-up magazine which had discreet photographs of scantily clad women in its pages.

One day in third year I was called to the room of an English teacher. He handed to me a big pile of Parade magazines, which I assumed from his confidential air he had bought himself, and told me not to say a word to anyone about them but instructed me to take them immediately to the school furnace and burn them. I did as he instructed but retained a token number of them to read myself. I remember being quite flattered at the time that he chose me for this task as a person of discretion. He certainly regarded me as a non-complainer as once, when I winded myself falling

out of a diseased beech tree at the top of the school lawn, he asked another boy nearby to keep an eye on me and report later if I was not all right because I was not the type to complain.

Despite the discouragement from meeting members of the opposite sex, girls being referred to contemptuously by some boys as 'Ellas', reports did come back to me of the boys in the senior house meeting girls from the local housing estate at Bruce Hill at the wall of the school, parts of which were situated at the edges of unseen wooded areas of the school grounds. I have no idea whether there was sexual activity at the wall and the only hint of that was a report of a packet of French letters being found on the floor of the assembly hall.

When I left the school in the summer of 1962 I had no idea how to interact with teenage girls and carried a prejudice against homosexuality which had been instilled into me at Keil. This prejudice later caused great mental pain to my son who late in his teens finally came out as gay. It is only in recent years that I have been able to repair in some measure the damage to our relationship as my son reached his late 30s".

Again the witness declares that facts as stated above are true and that he wishes this submissions to be

1	included in the record as evidence.
2	LADY SMITH: Thank you for that.
3	Ms Bennie, when you're ready.
4	Ronald Boyd (read)
5	MS BENNIE: Thank you, my Lady.
6	My Lady, the statement bears the reference
7	WIT-1-000000424. My Lady, this is a witness statement
8	of Ronald Boyd:
9	"My name is Ronald Mitchell Haldane Boyd. My year
10	of birth is 1967.
11	I have a Bachelor of Divinity and I have
12	a Postgraduate Diploma in Pastoral Theology. In
13	addition I have an International Postgraduate
14	Certificate in Education.
15	After qualifying in 1991, I was a probationer
16	assistant minister at New Kilpatrick Parish Church,
17	Bearsden, and I remained there until 1993. Thereafter
18	I was parish minister of Dumbarton West Kirk and
19	thereafter parish minister of Troon Portland Parish
20	Church.

In August 2010 I became chaplain and teacher at Queen Victoria School, Dunblane and I remained in that position until September 2017 when I became housemaster and a teacher at the school.

I no longer have a chaplaincy role.

I remain in that position at QVS at present.

As a parish minister of Dumbarton West Kirk
between May 1993 and September 1998 I was also chaplain
to Keil School Dumbarton. My duties as chaplain to the
school involved conducting school assemblies once a week
and attendance at formal events in the school as
required. I also occasionally assisted in delivering
the curriculum of religious and moral education with the
RME teacher. I also had involvement with the pupils of
the school when they attended morning worship in the
West Kirk and I attended lunch at the school on a weekly
basis to meet with the pupils in that setting. My
attendance allowed the pupils an opportunity to get to
know me and allowed me to understand life in the
boarding context of the school.

My attendance at those lunches was meant to allow the pupils the opportunity to have access to me on their own time and on their own terms, should they wish to speak about anything. They never disclosed anything to me, but our conversations allowed them an input into the development of worship which they were obliged to attend during the term time in school.

There was no formal recruitment for the chaplaincy of the school. The post was associated with the parish ministry. I was not required to be GTCS registered for

chaplaincy, but that did not worry me. As a minister you were put through enhanced disclosure by the Church of Scotland and were not expected to teach within the normal setting.

My line manager at Keil was the headteacher,

John Cummings, and we met weekly and as required to

discuss what was expected and required of me.

There was no training provided or arranged by the school for my role as chaplain. My assistance in RME was always under the supervision of the class teacher.

My involvement in relation to the care of the children at Keil School was with the ongoing pastoral care, if requested, which involved confirmation classes and which were always held with other adults as per the safeguarding arrangements at the time. Staff and their families were able to request pastoral care, if they wished, and students were able to make such a request. However, they never did so on an individual basis.

I cannot recall the exact safeguarding arrangements from 1993 to 1998, although I was talked through the protocols by the headteacher and staff reminded me of them. There was a safeguarding office, which I think was the depute head, and there were protocols on transport, with pupils sitting in the back of vehicles and no member of staff allowed to be in a vehicle on

their own with pupils. Disclosure was not to be kept confidential between the person disclosing and the member of staff receiving the comments, and this was advised to the pupils.

Safeguarding was changing at the time, which I was aware of as a parish minister, although I cannot really recall the changes from so long ago.

I know that the church was putting positions in place and I was not aware of Keil lagging behind in the requirements of the time, but I cannot truly recall the detail of what either institution was required to do in the 1990s.

As a school chaplain I had no line management responsibilities within the school and I did not manage any staff there."

My Lady, I would move on to paragraph 33:

"Culture within Keil.

Keil was small and was a sporting school and not overly academic. This was partly due to the ethos of the Mackinnon Trust, which supported pupils from the island communities.

It seemed to be a happy place and the mix of day and boarding pupils blended well. The staff was quite static and appeared to be supportive of the pupils and of one another. They were not overly happy with the

leadership of Mr Cummings but seemed much happier with the promotion of Tom Smith in the last days of the school.

Mr Cummings was a shy and private man whom the staff did not feel promoted the school well enough or engaged with them socially. Tom Smith was more outgoing and driven for the success of Keil. He was well liked, faithful and outgoing. He was well known by the staff who shared his hopes for the future of Keil as a well-promoted school.

I believe that the staff were open enough and
I believe that there was an opportunity for pupils to
come forward with suspicions or allegations knowing that
they would be taken seriously. I had no suspicion of
abuse in Keil School during my time there and no pupils
to my knowledge came forward with allegations of abuse.
As I was unaware of any allegations, I do not know who
they would have spoken to, if at all, and no pupil
approached me.

The pupils were aware that as chaplain I was not fully on the staff and that I would be approachable through them making time to speak with me or requesting to do so through the staff. As such, during my time in Keil I regularly made myself available to the staff and to the students and could be contacted either directly

or indirectly. I was regularly in the school and in the staffroom and the common room and pupils were told by the head that I was available. However, no children ever approached me in this way, which did not really surprise me. Very few school pupils in secondary education would want to talk to the chaplain.

Abuse.

The school's definition of 'abuse' which applied in relation to the treatment of children at the school was the standard safeguarding definition and constituted the sexual or emotional abuse of children in its care by staff or other pupils. There was zero tolerance for any form of bullying and all publications in Keil emphasised that.

That definition was passed through in-service training and directly to me through my line manager,

John Cummings, and it was in existence when I was there.

Although I did not attend in-service training, I was aware of the programme and I was aware that there were formal safeguarding and child protection policies.

Safeguarding changes and policies were updated in line with Scottish government policy and there were posters around the school highlighting the safeguarding policy.

I was informed personally through my line manager,

Mr Cummings, of the expectations on how children in the care of the school should be treated, cared for and protected against abuse, ill-treatment or inappropriate behaviour. Pupils were regularly updated at assemblies with guidance on the policy of zero tolerance of bullying or abuse and they had sight of the written policy, which was posted around the campus.

There was a formal process of guidance and instruction in place for staff on how to handle and to respond to reports of abuse or ill-treatment. That process involved communication with the head directly to begin the investigation.

From memory, there was no discretion given to staff, including managerial staff, in relation to these matters. Staff followed the procedures on reporting of the abuse.

The child protection arrangements that were in place to reduce the likelihood of abuse, ill-treatment, or inappropriate conduct towards children at the school included pupils not being alone with staff without an open door.

I believe that this process worked well, however

I was not involved in the boarding houses and have no

knowledge of how the boarding houses were staffed or the

policy and method of practice within them.

Police investigation.

I became aware of an allegation of historical abuse against Bill Bain through the press and then through a conversation with the last head of the establishment, Mr Tom Smith.

I am aware that Bill Bain was convicted of historical abuse in Keil School. I did have personal dealings with him, but Bill was always a quiet man, so any interaction in the staffroom was always stilted.

I was not involved with Bill Bain in any way other than through contact in the staffroom or at formal school gatherings.

I was not concerned about Bill Bain being an abuser and no other staff member ever raised concerns with me about him.

Helping the Inquiry.

Although Keil and QVS may be similar in size, my working knowledge of QVS is under current guidance and legislation, which has been far more honed than I recall from the early 1990s. At QVS all buildings are secured. Child protection is a frequent aspect of inset at QVS, with external speakers and with enhanced child protection training for all housemasters.

These aspects may have occurred at Keil, but I was not present when they would have been delivered. I do

not feel, however, that Keil was a school lacking in perception and appraisal.

Every establishment requires to review its policies and make amendments where it appears they are required.

As someone who moved into teaching in a boarding school and serves as a housemaster, staff must be beyond reproach.

Continual school training on the current government policies and updating and reminding staff of current protocols is vital.

Promoted staff within the houses must be vigilant when tutors are on to ensure parity of experience throughout the week. They must pick up on practice which requires attention, speak with staff and pass on any concerns they may have where appropriate.

There must be continual remainders to pupils of what to expect. There must be confidentiality of reporting and sound and robust maintaining of records. Any information learned must be passed on to child protection officers.

As at Keil, policies should always be on display to ensure that pupils are aware of what they should do, should their named person be the one against whom the concern is raised, allowing them to know how to do this. I did think staff at Keil had the confidence to raise

1 concerns they may have had.

and there should be a networking with other boarding schools in general. I would have loved it if the children had felt that they could have approached me with any concerns they may have had and I am saddened that they haven't'. I am saddened that some children went through what they did and suffered in silence.

I have no objection to my witness statement being published as part of the evidence to the Inquiry.

I believe the facts stated in this witness statement are true."

My Lady, the statement is signed and it's dated 10 September 2020.

15 LADY SMITH: Thank you very much.

16 MS BENNIE: Thank you.

MR BROWN: My Lady, could we now call the final witness for Keil, Rodger Harvey-Jamieson.

LADY SMITH: Thank you.

20 Rodger Harvey-Jamieson (sworn)

LADY SMITH: You have of course been here before and following the evidence so I maybe don't need to give you the same preliminary remarks as I've given to other witnesses. But remind me of this, I think you were content with me calling you Rodger on the last occasion.

- 1 Does that still apply?
- A. That's what I'm normally called, thank you very much,
- 3 my Lady.
- 4 LADY SMITH: Thank you very much, Rodger. Very well, I'll
- 5 hand over to Mr Brown and he'll take it from there.
- 6 Questions from Mr Brown
- 7 MR BROWN: Rodger, good afternoon.
- 8 A. Good afternoon.
- 9 Q. You've come up burdened by paper. I know you had
- 10 brought a lot of papers with you. Are you content to --
- 11 A. I am content to follow procedure.
- 12 Q. Right, because if there are documents that you'd wish to
- refer to or to aid you in anything you wish to say,
- 14 please feel free to have them.
- 15 A. I don't think it's necessary for this particular
- session.
- 17 Q. Thank you very much.
- 18 A. Thank you very much.
- 19 Q. I was just concerned because obviously we had
- an introductory session again just to remind ourselves
- of the process and you did bring some papers with you.
- If I can put it this way, would I be right in saying
- that you seem to be a little concerned that the Inquiry
- had material that you were anxious it be aware of in
- 25 terms of some of the minutes, for example?

- A. It was only on matters of detail and not -- it does not affect the core elements of this Inquiry.
- Q. No, if I can just assure you publicly, we have obviously
  all material which essentially came from you as regards
  Keil School because you had kept documents yourself, the
  records of the board.
- 7 A. The surviving records were under my control.
- 8 Q. That's the point. Please understand that all of those
  9 records have been considered and can be considered
  10 again.
- 11 A. I'm obliged, thank you.

- Q. And I think, as you heard, because you have been taking on the role that in relation to other schools existing headmasters have been taking in listening to the evidence and you've come back to comment on behalf of Keil as best you can on what we've heard over the last six days?
  - A. I have been following it, thank you.
- 19 Q. Yes. Specifically, though, you raised the point and,
  20 for example, you produced this morning minutes from the
  21 Governor's meeting of Keil on 26 September 1985 and you
  22 were anxious to make the point that, for example, there
  23 is record there on page 3, item number 3, that there had
  24 been two unpleasant incidents, one in the workshops
  25 where two boys had a brief fight resulting in one boy

- 1 requiring stitches in his head and the other an x-ray to
- 2 his shoulder, the master had been reprimanded for not
- 3 keeping a tighter grip on that particular class. The
- 4 other incident involved a silly game which two boys
- 5 played in the library. They'd nicked each other's arms
- 6 with blades from pencil sharpeners, one boy had required
- 7 several stitches in his arm as a result of this and the
- 8 two of them had been suspended.
- 9 So there was reporting going on, on occasion?
- 10 A. That was the point I wished to make, that despite the
- 11 memory and belief of witnesses, which they have
- indicated from their genuine experience --
- 13 Q. Yes?
- 14 A. -- with the passage of time it seems that they may not
- 15 have realised what other activities were afoot.
- 16 Q. Oh, indeed. But I think, to go back to your original
- 17 evidence earlier this year, you accepted being a former
- 18 secretary to the board?
- 19 A. That is correct. I was for some 20 years.
- Q. Yes, but your engagement with the school was really for
- 21 board meetings or prize givings or the like. Actual
- 22 contact with the school was limited?
- 23 A. Oh --
- Q. And, really, you and the board relied on being informed
- of things by the headmaster?

- 1 A. That is correct. And I think I also pointed out the way
- 2 in which the governors operated through delegated
- 3 authorities throughout.
- Q. Yes. But it is clear, and this is the point I make,
- 5 that the documentation is available to us and we can see
- 6 that on occasion things were undoubtedly reported and
- 7 action was taken.
- 8 A. Thank you. Thank you.
- 9 Q. And that was clearly your concern, that that was being
- 10 missed.
- 11 A. Well, it may have been outwith the knowledge of the
- 12 witnesses to date.
- 13 Q. Yes. Obviously that's their recollection, but we have
- 14 documentary evidence to support.
- The other thing, and I think this ties in -- we
- 16 heard evidence yesterday of a drive to write a letter to
- 17 the headmaster complaining about his approach or
- dissatisfaction with his approach. You remember that
- 19 yesterday?
- 20 A. I recall that being mentioned in evidence.
- 21 Q. Yes. And I think the teacher involved -- this is in the
- 22 1990s -- he spoke of a letter being generated, if I can
- put it that way, by Tom Smith, the deputy head, who felt
- that things were not progressing as well as they might
- 25 under the leadership of the witness we've just heard,

1	- 1	Cumminas,	- 1		7 .			7
	lohn	('11mm 1 n ord	つわる	ナカっナ	hid	TT 7 O T.T	T.7 7 C	hottor

You've produced, which again since it's from the
documents you provided to us, we have a copy of, there
is certainly a letter from the staff, as it's said, on
18 September 1997 to the governors and trustees of Keil
School, which says:

"We the staff of Keil School request a meeting with you to discuss the following (a) direction and oversight, (b) recruitment and (c) resource management."

And it's signed by a number of the staff. I don't think all the staff, given the totality?

- A. I think from recollection there are 12 names on that list.
- Q. Yes. And there was a reply from the chairman of the governors, I think some months later, including an apology for the delay, that there was a meeting on 21 October and, as he says:

"The one clear message that came across to us was that there was an urgent need for improved channels of communication. I understand that some first steps have already been taken by the headmaster towards this end. I hope we can build on this."

And he goes on:

"I have no doubt that fear and uncertainty about the future is a major factor in the frustrations that you

evidently feel. It would be wrong to say that we are not at all concerned about the future of the school, a concern which I'm sure that most, if not all, the independent schools share. I must stress however that the school is not at the point of collapse, indeed the results for last year were highly satisfactory both financially and scholastically. Our concern is to try to secure its position in the longer term, particularly in the light of gradual withdrawal of the Assisted Places Scheme and the effect of the introduction of fees for university students. A number of important steps have been taken by the headmaster in the area of overseas marketing. The trustees have agreed to an amendment of the definition area of benefit so that assistance can now be given to day pupils as well as boarders and the area now includes Dumbartonshire. Tentative steps have been taken to explore the possibility of resuming discussions with Lomond.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Success in any or all of these measures depends on everyone working together. The headmaster needs to know that he has your full support, as do the governors and the trustees. We need your support in our pursuit of solutions and any positive contributions will be welcome. Above all we need to be assured that, where necessary, confidentiality will be observed. I stress

- 1 this in the light of the impression left by our last
- 2 meeting with your chosen representatives, the range of
- 3 trivia covered by your criticisms and the apparent
- 4 preoccupation of the common room with gossip which
- 5 seemed to be embroidered as it spread are inconsistent
- 6 with confidentiality and the support we are asking of
- 7 you.
- I am sending this to all staff rather than just the
- 9 representatives we met or to the 12 members of staff who
- 10 signed the request for the meeting. The central message
- and appeal for support is made to all staff."
- 12 There was clearly discontent in the staffroom.
- 13 A. I agree entirely with that, yes.
- 14 Q. And anxiety about the financial position of the school?
- 15 A. Yes, and I think that probably was highlighted by
- 16 Tom Smith, in particular.
- 17 LADY SMITH: Tell me about the reference to Lomond School.
- 18 A. My Lady, Keil School had received an unsolicited
- 19 approach, I think, from Lomond School for
- an amalgamation.
- 21 LADY SMITH: Geographically it was quite close, it was in
- Helensburgh; is that right?
- 23 A. That is correct, my Lady.
- 24 LADY SMITH: Thank you.
- 25 MR BROWN: But I think the evidence we heard yesterday of

- 1 tensions as between the staff and the headmaster clearly
- 2 were extant?
- 3 A. I entirely accept that.
- 4 Q. Sorry?
- 5 A. Evidence has been led to that effect, indeed.
- Q. Yes. And from what we heard yesterday, it was being led
- 7 by the deputy head, Tom Smith?
- 8 A. Again, I would agree with that.
- 9 Q. You, as the secretary to the board, presumably were on
- 10 the sidelines of this. At that point your relationship
- 11 with Tom Smith was a more distant one, professional.
- 12 A. That is correct. Other witnesses have expanded on their
- 13 relationship with Tom Smith.
- 14 O. Yes.
- 15 A. And no doubt we will come back to that later.
- 16 Q. Well, let's go to it now. You are still in contact with
- 17 Tom Smith?
- 18 A. I am. He unfortunately is in declining health, as
- I think we've explained to the Inquiry already, and
- I asked in particular if we could obtain from him final
- 21 confirmation that he was not aware of the complaint
- regarding Mr Bain, which obviously was referred to this
- 23 morning.
- Q. And his position?
- 25 A. And that came back very definitely.

- 1 Q. He is very clear he was not at that meeting?
- 2 A. That is what came back to us. He says that.
- 3 Q. Yes. And that would reflect, obviously, his written
- 4 statement, which is explicit that he knew nothing.
- 5 A. That is correct. And he has since been reminded of the
- 6 fact that he was under oath, in effect, in giving that
- 7 statement.
- 8 Q. Although, as you said in your opening statement at
- 9 paragraph 32 on page 6:
- "Mr Smith is now in his late '70s and has been
- 11 suffering from lapses in concentration for a number of
- 12 years."
- 13 A. That is correct.
- 14 Q. He's obviously able to follow and contact you to express
- 15 his views?
- 16 A. His memory is probably -- is probably not perfect, but
- 17 he has a memory.
- 18 Q. That is -- and his position is explicit, so thank you
- 19 for that. In terms of his medical condition or state,
- I understand he has emailed you himself, but there's
- 21 no --
- 22 A. That is correct, and he's spoken to me privately about
- 23 it as well.
- Q. Oh indeed, but I think all the information is coming
- from him. Is that the short position?

- A. I have absolutely no reason not to believe it, and
  I know that he was very worried about the time it took
  to get psychiatric treatment.
- 4 Q. I see, thank you.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

When you gave evidence on the last occasion, we discussed the response that you had very kindly prepared for Keil or on Keil's behalf about the Parts A to D, remember the great questionnaire that you were asked to respond to and which you helpfully did. We went through that and obviously you were going at that stage on really the information such as it was that you could glean from your limited knowledge as secretary, such limited papers as remained, which you had kept, and presumably the memories of others you spoke to?

- 15 A. That is correct.
- Q. But I think, as you said on your evidence on the last

  occasion, a lot of material in the course of this

  Inquiry has been shared with you, which you hadn't seen

  before and was disclosed to you by the Inquiry, and your

  comment last time was:
- "We are reeling from what we have read."
- 22 A. I think that is exactly it. I will return to that in 23 the final statement.
- Q. Indeed. And you said again, having apologised or expressed sympathy at the outset, you repeated:

- "We want to offer our profound sympathy to all those
  who have been affected by this."
- 3 A. I wish to repeat and amplify on that.
- 4 Q. I'll let you do that in a moment. But in terms of being
- 5 left reeling from what you read, I know that you have
- 6 been following the evidence of the last six days of
- 7 evidence.
- 8 A. I have.
- 9 Q. And, speaking generally about what you have heard, do
  10 you have a comment to make?
- 11 A. I think that a very clear picture has emerged of life at
- 12 Keil from the evidence that has been led over the last
- 13 two weeks and I agree with the descriptions that have
- 14 been made by others that the conditions were at times
- 15 spartan over the years. I also came across,
- interestingly enough, amongst the papers that remain
- 17 a reference to food and the budget which was allowed for
- it in the 1980s. It amounted to 50 pence per day, which
- 19 sounds to me somewhat light.
- 20 Q. Even for the 1980s where --
- 21 A. I would have thought so.
- Q. Yes. You've heard, obviously, phrases such as Keil
- operating hand to mouth or on a shoestring.
- 24 A. I have, and again I think that is reflected in the
- 25 accounts which are within the knowledge of the Inquiry

- 1 as well.
- Q. Yes. There was a great deal of good will trying to keep the school running, but it was financially difficult?
- That I found very strange about the good will. We now 4 Α. 5 know from the Inquiry of life at Keil and of the 6 conditions that existed. It is difficult to reconcile 7 what we have heard and been shown that the good will did 8 exist amongst many quarters. The library had been 9 donated and furnished by a Mrs Willox(?), a local worthy. There was an appeal in 1968 which was very 10 11 strongly supported from a wide number of people from the 12 local community and elsewhere.

There was another appeal in 1983 which was again supported and exceeded its targets. And finally at the time of closure, there was a petition and delegation to the governors to persuade them to keep the school open. And that came from a wide section of the community, and I find that strange and difficult to reconcile with what we know about the activities.

I might have an explanation if the Inquiry wishes to hear it?

Q. Please.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 A. There seems to have been a widespread and probably
24 mistaken belief that the spartan conditions at Keil were
25 character-forming and well-suited to produce

well-rounded individuals. Keil has never made any 1 2 secret of its ethos. It was published in the history initially in 1993 and then amplified later. And 3 well-known to anyone who had any contact with the school 4 5 what its ethos was, and I think that was, as one has 6 said before, a harsh environment, which I think is one 7 of the expressions used in the Inquiry to date, and 8 character-forming, with the intention of being 9 character-forming, and that seems to have been accepted by parents, who kept their children at school despite 10 11 the children's desire to leave. So that might have 12 coloured the ... the enthusiasm that certain sections of 13 society seem to have for Keil School.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. In relation to that ethos and the harshness, to use that word, its clear, as you will remember from your first appearance here, that at the outset, looking to the reports from the 1930s when the school was small, that inspectors did not find a basis of complaint. They thought it was an interesting approach.
- That is so, and indeed we've seen later reports as well Α. from HMI Inspections to the same general tenor.
- Q. Yes, although you will remember the document that was 23 put to a witness from 1974, which was a document reviewing the operations at Keil with -- by three men, 24 one of whom was Mr Jeffs, the headmaster, and talking 25

- 1 about the need for change.
- 2 A. I'm afraid you have the advantage over me on that
- 3 particular issue.
- 4 Q. Did you follow the evidence entirely?
- 5 A. Apparently not.
- 6 Q. Right. There was a document, a report produced in 1974,
- 7 which was essentially looking at the school and saying
- 8 that there needed to be greater emphasis, for example,
- 9 on the academic side. So I think the short point, you
- 10 can read the transcripts in due course and the document,
- 11 which will have been produced by you, that there was
- 12 a recognition that perhaps the balance as between, for
- 13 example, sport and character-building was perhaps too
- 14 far in one direction and there needed to be
- 15 a refocusing.
- 16 A. I think in practice that is what occurred, and you have
- 17 as part of the evidence the academic results which it
- 18 latterly achieved. It included 100 per cent pass rates
- in many subjects.
- 20 Q. Yes. And we've heard evidence that obviously there were
- 21 efforts, and we heard this this morning from
- John Cummings, efforts taken on from CGC to try
- and move the school away from "rugby is everything", to
- improve academic results, to soften, and there's no
- 25 guestion that efforts were made in all those regards?

- 1 A. I would agree with that and the impression I was left
- 2 with was that the SNR were attempting to
- 3 change round a tanker which was already stuck in the
- 4 sand.
- 5 Q. Certainly I think we all know somewhat the cliche, it
- 6 takes a long time to turn a supertanker, but you take it
- 7 a little bit further. Was it a school that couldn't be
- 8 turned?
- 9 A. I do.
- 10 Q. Why?
- 11 A. I think part of the reference might have been the
- influence of old boys who were perhaps in what might be
- described the A stream as opposed to the B stream of the
- school, and felt that there was no need for change.
- 15 Q. It's an interesting aspect of some of the evidence we've
- 16 heard that conservatism, with a small C, can be present
- in the boys themselves, who don't want change to take
- 18 place.
- 19 A. I would agree with that.
- 20 Q. Is that something -- again I come back to the fact that
- 21 you were secretary to the trust and were not engaged
- 22 with the school particularly, you were relying on such
- 23 information as the head would bring to meetings. Did
- you ever see that conservatism in action or were you
- 25 aware of it?

- 1 A. I think only by reputation. Of course I myself was at
- another school. Keil's reputation had gone before it.
- 3 Q. Okay. One of the themes that has come out, with Keil
- 4 perhaps in particular, was the reliance on pupils
- 5 controlling pupils to a much greater degree than would
- 6 take place in other schools.
- 7 A. I have no knowledge of how other boarding schools run,
- 8 but evidence has been led to the -- regarding that
- 9 aspect.
- 10 Q. And do you have anything you would wish to say about
- 11 that?
- 12 A. I don't think that in particular.
- 13 Q. Okay. We've obviously heard that that allowed, from the
- evidence we have heard in the last week and a half,
- a culture of bullying could take over.
- 16 A. That is entirely accepted, yes.
- 17 Q. Yes.
- 18 A. And we also heard of the effect on victims and others of
- 19 bullying.
- Q. Yes. And the lack, in a sense, of adequate oversight of
- 21 the systems that were operating. I take it that's
- 22 accepted too?
- 23 A. I have no way of questioning that.
- Q. No. But in the last few days, obviously, we have been
- 25 listening to the events that seem to have come as

- 1 a great shock to many former staff, at least, of the
- 2 actings of one teacher in particular, one who signed
- 3 that letter in 1997, William Bain.
- 4 A. Yes, indeed.
- 5 Q. Now, I think you will have followed his evidence
- 6 yesterday.
- 7 A. It was horrendous.
- 8 Q. Were you aware of the scale of his offending before
- 9 yesterday?
- 10 A. I wasn't aware until -- of anything at all until I was
- given access to the Crown Prosecution documentation as
- 12 part of this Inquiry. I knew nothing about it at all in
- 13 2015.
- Q. No. And I think it's fair to say that that material has
- only come to you relatively recently?
- 16 A. In the past three years.
- 17 Q. Yes, but the statements --
- 18 A. Yes, correct.
- 19 Q. Do you have anything to say specifically about that
- 20 episode? The school's inability to see what was going
- on, particularly when we have evidence that it was
- reported, if not to the Senior Management Team in toto,
- 23 certainly to the headmaster?
- 24 A. It is devastating to hear that the systems were not in
- 25 place to do anything more. I don't know if it was

- a culture of denial, I think it was just ignorance of good practice.
- Q. Well, it was quite plain that all former staff have been clear that that information should only have been shared and properly recorded. There are differing accounts, obviously, given what you've said about Mr Smith, but these are the sort of things that the Senior Management Team would have to know. Would you agree?
- 9 A. I would agree.
- Q. And would have to keep sight of, thinking ahead to the future, even if there were cases where allegations were not found proved?
- 13 A. Absolutely.

18

19

20

- Q. And yet, whatever else happened, there seems to have
  been no obvious record to make CGC aware
  of the investigation?
  - A. I cannot confirm that entirely due to the lack of the school's own records, which were contained in a -- what I'm told is a walk-in safe and may or may not have included personal records of that nature.
- 21 Q. We heard this morning John Cummings talk about

  22 where they discussed staff and that would be

  23 the obvious sort of thing that SNR would be

  24 passing on .
  - A. One would have expected that entirely. And, more

- importantly, I think, one would have expected that
- 2 information to have been passed to any future employer
- 3 of Mr Bain.
- 4 Q. And that's the problem.
- 5 A. And that was the problem, and it was to me inexplicable
- 6 how that did not happen.
- 7 Q. Again, Rodger, I don't expect you to be able to give
- 8 explanations because you were not engaged to that
- 9 degree.
- 10 A. No, but I was -- slightly to a tangent on that. One
- 11 opportunity to stop Mr Bain's activities at an earlier
- 12 stage came when Mr Evans saw peculiar activity on
- Ben Ledi, which was a mountaineering trip.
- 14 O. Yes.
- 15 A. And he took a conscious decision not to report that to
- 16 the Senior Management Team because -- presumably because
- 17 relationships with the Senior Management Team had broken
- 18 down.
- 19 Q. Well, I think he gave a number of reasons for not
- 20 reporting. Culture perhaps being one of them, but
- 21 I think, rather more than that, he was clear that from
- 22 what he observed, others were aware of it but were
- 23 saying nothing either.
- A. That is even worse.
- 25 Q. We talked with John Cummings about a culture of silence

- 1 amongst pupils, which he didn't seem to recognise this
- 2 morning, but there seems to have been a culture of
- 3 silence amongst the staff at Keil in the 1990s.
- 4 A. So it would appear.
- 5 Q. Led, on the evidence, from senior management.
- A. I think there's an aspect of the delegated authority
- 7 throughout that we did rely -- as governors we relied
- 8 very much on senior management and they passed it down
- 9 the line to the next level and then failed to give any
- 10 oversight in relation to it apparently.
- 11 Q. Absolutely, but as a governor or secretary of the
- governors, the one thing the board, I take it, you can
- say, would have expected is if a parent comes forward
- with his wife to say, "My son has been mishandled by
- this teacher" --
- 16 A. I would have been absolutely appalled.
- 17 Q. That's the sort of thing that should have been reported
- 18 to the board, along with everyone else?
- 19 A. Absolutely.
- Q. And yet it wasn't?
- 21 A. Correct -- well, you have the minute books in your --
- 22 well, you had the minute books, but the minute books,
- I think, are a part of evidence and it shows nothing
- happened.
- 25 Q. Well, from your experience, you were there for 20 years,

- 1 do you remember that concern being live in your mind?
- 2 A. Not at all.
- Q. Not at all, no. So communication at all levels, as in
- 4 the transfer of information from Senior Management Team
- 5 to staff, from staff to senior management and from
- 6 senior management to you, as the board, seems to have
- 7 been deficient?
- 8 A. The evidence points in that direction.
- 9 Q. Yes. What would you wish to say in response to the
- 10 evidence we have heard?
- 11 A. My Lady, I get to the point at which I'm almost
- 12 speechless. What I have heard from this Inquiry is the
- effect abuse has not only on the victim but on many
- other people, and anyone who has heard the evidence led
- about bullying, in particular, could not possibly have
- been unaffected by the consequences. It is just so
- 17 distressing. It was very well put, I think, in evidence
- given by 'Martin' of how deep the pain, the injuries are
- in relation to bullying or abuse of any nature and how
- they carry on into later life.
- 21 That, I think, is the first lesson which I learnt,
- and I mulled over that in person, not in relation to
- 23 Keil but in relation to other settings, and I can now
- identify consequences to myself of bullying, which last
- for years.

As far as Mr Bain is concerned, which is perhaps the
tip of the iceberg discovered by this Inquiry,
absolutely horrified and dismayed that such activities
could go undetected, unreported or occur at all within
the nature of a private school. It is just beyond -it's beyond words.

To that extent, may I say that the governors now wish to give an unreserved apology to all victims of abuse at Keil, which occurred at any stage as a result of Keil's failure to ... um ... conform with the basic systems and expectations and requirements extant at any time during the period of the abuse. So an unreserved apology to all victims in that measure is given.

We are in contact with the Redress Scotland scheme to take that further.

MR BROWN: Rodger, thank you very much indeed. Is there anything else you would wish to add?

A. I think that is sufficient for the Inquiry.

MR BROWN: I'm most obliged to you.

My Lady, I have no further questions.

LADY SMITH: Rodger, thank you for that. This cannot have been easy for you to shoulder on your own. I recognise that you were one of many people involved in governance of the school, and particularly given the comments that you have just made a few moments ago about how this has

made you recognise personal impact from personal experiences, that's not lost on me, and thank you for, despite that, being able to do what you can to contribute to the work of the Inquiry. I've said it to other people, but I'm sure you wouldn't disagree with the importance of us being prepared to ask difficult questions of people and ask them to go through the difficult experience of giving evidence here for the sake of children, children past, present and future. It's difficult to apologise for that. I don't, but I do thank you very much for everything you've done. A. My Lady, I'm obliged entirely. Thank you so much for your -- for the remarks.

LADY SMITH: Very well. We complete the evidence now for

Keil; is that right, Mr Brown?

MR BROWN: My Lady, that is the evidence for the Keil section of this chapter complete. We obviously have documents we will be looking at afresh, but the next stage is next Thursday, which is closing submissions.

That will be from two schools that remain in existence, Gordonstoun and QVS. I think Mr Harvey-Jamieson should not be burdened with that because he's been so full today.

LADY SMITH: I don't require another submission from you next Thursday, Rodger. Of course, as you've done so

1	far, you are free to follow the Inquiry's proceedings
2	next Thursday if you want to, but you don't have to, and
3	you don't have to be here. Thank you very much.
4	A. Once again, my Lady, I am obliged.
5	LADY SMITH: Not at all.
6	(The witness withdrew)
7	LADY SMITH: Very well, I will rise now.
8	(12.52 pm)
9	(The Inquiry adjourned until 10.00 am
10	on Thursday, 11 November 2021)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1	I N D E X
2	
3	John Cummings (sworn)1
4	Questions from Mr Brown2
5	'Ian' (addenda read)53
6	Ronald Boyd (read)59
	Rodger Harvey-Jamieson (sworn)68
7	Questions from Mr Brown69
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	