- Tuesday, 23 August 2022
- 2 (10.00 am)

1

- 3 LADY SMITH: Good morning and welcome to the beginning of
- 4 the last week in this section of our foster care and
- 5 boarding-out case study hearings.
- 6 We turn to I think it's going to be two oral
- 7 witnesses today, have I got that right, Ms Innes?
- 8 MS INNES: Yes, that's right, my Lady.
- 9 LADY SMITH: Starting with one of them who's here now, yes.
- 10 MS INNES: Yes. The first witness has chosen to remain
- anonymous and has the pseudonym 'Alice'. 'Alice' was
- 12 a foster carer for Strathclyde Regional Council.
- 13 An applicant with the pseudonym 'Kevin' was placed into
- 14 foster care with 'Alice' and in Houston on
- 15 1979.
- 16 He was adopted by them in 1980 and was
- 17 taken back into care on 1985.
- 18 'Kevin' gave evidence on Day 313, which was
- 19 11 August 2022. Renfrewshire Council was identified as
- 20 the relevant responsible authority.
- 21 LADY SMITH: Thank you.
- 22 'Alice' (sworn)
- 23 LADY SMITH: 'Alice', first of all a word about the
- 24 microphone. It will help you and it will help us if you
- 25 stay in a good position for the microphone. It might

- 1 pull forward a little more than you have it at the
- 2 moment.
- 3 A. Okay?
- 4 LADY SMITH: That's really good. If you can stay somewhere
- 5 around that position.
- 6 The red folder on the desk has got the typed copy of
- 7 your statement in it and you'll be taken to that in
- 8 a moment but we'll also bring it up on screen, you might
- 9 find that helpful. It's up to you whether you use them
- 10 but they're there if you want to.
- 11 A. Right.
- 12 LADY SMITH: Separately, 'Alice', could I just say, I know
- 13 that it's not easy having come here after having given
- 14 a statement which of itself required you to go back into
- 15 details of life a long time ago when you were involved
- 16 in fostering and adopting children, and that you know
- 17 that you're going to have to face some difficult
- 18 questions coming here. I get that and I understand that
- 19 it can be very stressful.
- 20 What I want to do is anything that will help you
- 21 give the clearest and the best evidence, if I can put it
- 22 that way, that you can, so if, for example, you want
- 23 a break at any time you must let me know.
- 24 A. Okay.
- 25 LADY SMITH: Or if there's anything else I can do to ease

- the difficult journey from now until we finish your
- 2 evidence. Is that okay?
- 3 A. Okay.
- 4 LADY SMITH: I'll hand over to Ms Innes and she'll take it
- 5 from there.
- 6 Ms Innes.
- 7 MS INNES: Thank you, my Lady. I'm not sure whether you
- 8 wish to give 'Alice' a warning at this stage.
- 9 LADY SMITH: I'll do that now actually, yes. I think
- 10 Ms Innes is right, now is as good a time at any.
- 11 You'll appreciate, 'Alice', that at some point in
- 12 your evidence you will be asked questions that you may
- 13 not just find difficult but your answers to them could
- 14 potentially incriminate you. Now, although this isn't
- 15 a court, it's a public inquiry, you're entitled to
- 16 exactly the same protections here as if it was a court.
- 17 Your evidence is being transcribed. There's a record of
- 18 it being made. It would be available after you being
- 19 here.
- 20 So I need to warn you that you don't have to answer
- 21 if you don't wish to do so, but if you do answer, you
- 22 must give a full answer.
- 23 A. Okay.
- 24 LADY SMITH: Not just half an answer, because that's
- 25 consistent with the oath you've taken to tell the truth.

- 1 But the basis principle is you're in no worse a position
- 2 here than you would be if you were in a courtroom. Do
- 3 you understand that?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 LADY SMITH: Thank you.
- 6 Ms Innes.
- 7 MS INNES: Thank you, my Lady.
- 8 Questions from Ms Innes
- 9 MS INNES: 'Alice', we understand that you were born in
- 10 1946; is that right?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And if I can refer first of all to your statement,
- please, we give it the reference WIT-1-000000978. If we
- 14 can go to the last page of that, please, and at
- 15 paragraph 127 we see that it says there:
- 16 "I have no objection to my witness statement being
- 17 published as part of the evidence to the Inquiry.
- 18 I believe the facts stated in this witness statement are
- 19 true."
- 20 And I believe that you signed the statement on
- 21 26 April of this year, 2022?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- 23 Q. Okay. If we can go back to the start of your statement,
- 24 at paragraph 3 you tell us briefly about your family.
- 25 We understand that you

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And then there are three children
- a daughter born in 1970, your son born in 1971, and your
- 4 youngest daughter in 1975; is that right?
- 5 A. That's correct.
- 6 Q. Moving on to paragraph 4, we know that there came
- 7 a point where you and decided to become
- 8 foster carers, and I wonder if you can tell us why you
- 9 made that decision?
- 10 A. I think we felt we had more to give and we decided we
- 11 had finished our own family and there was a lot of
- 12 advertising at the time about -- I thought it was about
- 13 to try and empty the children's homes, that they would
- 14 be better out in foster care, so we were just quite
- 15 taken with this idea. We felt we had the space and the
- 16 energy and the funds to cope.
- 17 Q. Okay. And when you talk about advertising, can you
- 18 remember if that was, you know, in newspapers, or what
- 19 was that advertising like?
- 20 A. I think in newspapers and my memory is the television,
- 21 but I can't guarantee that.
- 22 Q. Okay.
- 23 A. And posters.
- 24 Q. In the local area?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Okay. Then at paragraph 5 you tell us that you became
- 2 foster parents in 1977 and you initially fostered
- 3 a girl. If we go on over the page to page 2, you say
- 4 there that you were initially down to do short-term
- 5 fostering.
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And what was your understanding of what short-term
- 8 fostering was?
- 9 A. That it could be an emergency placement, it might just
- 10 last days or a few weeks, but not much longer. I didn't
- 11 think it would be much longer.
- 12 Q. Okay. And why did you decide to go down the route of
- 13 short-term fostering rather than longer term at that
- 14 point?
- 15 A. I'm not sure, I'm not sure. Perhaps we were testing the
- 16 waters, I don't know.
- 17 Q. You say in this paragraph that this girl that you
- 18 fostered initially on a short-term basis ended up
- 19 staying --
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. -- with you for four years?
- 22 A. My memory was that she came, we were told, for about
- 23 three weeks and there was hope that she'd go back to her
- 24 natural mother, and that didn't seem to happen and it
- 25 just went on and on, so we had her for four years before

- 1 there was talk of adoption.
- 2 Q. Okay. You say there that you think that there must have
- 3 been a process to switch from one to the other, and
- 4 we'll come back to that in a moment, and then you say
- 5 I think that you reflected on short term and did you
- 6 stop doing short-term fostering altogether?
- 7 A. Yes, yes. Wasn't going to do both, yes.
- 8 Q. Okay.
- 9 A. I think it would be mentioned to us that -- the fact
- 10 we'd had her for four years, that perhaps long term
- 11 would be a better option for us, and by then I'd decided
- 12 that it might be more settling for our own family rather
- 13 than lots of coming and going.
- 14 Q. Okay. Now, I'm going to take you to some documents at
- 15 GLA-000001899 and starting at page 18.
- 16 Can I just ask for this to be turned around. Don't
- 17 worry.
- 18 LADY SMITH: We're not expecting you to lie on your side,
- 19 'Alice', don't worry.
- 20 MS INNES: So this is a memorandum from 1976 and it's
- 21 blanked out but it's yourself and , it says,
- 22 have applied to foster, short term initially.
- 23 "I had a brief initial interview with them and
- 24 outlined what was involved in fostering and the kind of
- 25 assessment procedure that we carried out.

- 1 "They feel they would not like to foster a child
- 2 older than their own and would like to start off with
- just one."
- 4 If we pause there, is that correct?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Do you have a memory --
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. -- of not wanting to foster an older child?
- 9 A. Yes, absolutely.
- 10 Q. Why was that?
- 11 A. Because I didn't feel I had the experience of a child
- 12 older than my own, and also I think it was quite
- important that our own children kept their place in the
- 14 family.
- 15 Q. Okay. And you would like to start off with just one
- 16 child?
- 17 A. Mm-hmm.
- 18 Q. Again, is that your recollection --
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. -- of what you wanted to do?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Then it says:
- 23 "They quite like the idea of meeting another foster
- 24 parent couple to get some idea about fostering from
- 25 them. They may be interested in attending the open

- 1 meeting of NFPA ..."
- Which I think might be National Foster Parent
- 3 Association?
- 4 A. Yes. I have memories of open meetings, but not much.
- 5 And I don't remember particularly getting together with
- 6 another foster parent.
- 7 Q. Okay. And then there's reference to writing to you and
- 8 that a social worker would be getting in touch.
- 9 If we move on to the next page, please, we'll just
- 10 take this round again, this is Strathclyde Regional
- 11 Council foster parent application form and again I think
- 12 we see here that there's various details that you fill
- out, your names and addresses, previous addresses, and
- 14 then if we scroll down, reference to your other
- 15 children.
- 16 And then if we go on over the page at the second
- 17 paragraph there's names and addresses of two persons to
- 18 whom reference can be made, so again it's blanked out
- but you put down references for two people locally.
- 20 A. Yeah.
- 21 Q. And then you had to give the name and address of the
- 22 family doctor and answer some other questions about not
- 23 having made any applications before.
- 24 And then your preference as to age and sex of child
- 25 and it says there:

- 1 "Boy or girl. 0-7 years."
- 2 LADY SMITH: Is that because your oldest would have been
- 3 about 7 at that time?
- 4 A. Yes, she was born in 1970, so.
- 5 MS INNES: Then it says:
- 6 "For the time being at least we would prefer
- 7 short-term fostering."
- 8 And then if we scroll down, the form is dated
- 9 2 November 1976.
- 10 A. (Witness nods).
- 11 Q. If we can go on to the next page, I think we see some of
- 12 the follow-up. So Strathclyde Regional Council social
- work department, and if we scroll down a little, this
- 14 refers to your application and this is a reference that
- 15 the social work department got for you. I assume that
- 16 you won't have seen this --
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. -- before or at the time. This is somebody who says
- 19 they've known you for a couple of years, they knew you
- 20 through business initially, now social, it says. And
- 21 then there's a description of you:
- "They are both sober and hard-working.
- in particular does a great deal of work in the
- 24 community, for example parent/teacher association."
- 25 Is that something he was doing at the time?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Then it says:
- 3 "As far as I know, they are quite compatible. We do
- 4 babysitting for each other. I am quite confident of
- 5 their ability to look after children of any age."
- 6 It says. And then over the next page at the top
- 7 I think it says there:
- 8 "Both have a high sense of civic responsibility.
- 9 Both are good-humoured and placid."
- 10 And it says in response to the question, "Are you
- 11 aware of any circumstances connected with the applicants
- 12 which would make them unsuitable?" the answer is:
- 13 "No."
- 14 And then, "Any further comments":
- 15 "My recommendation is unreserved."
- 16 A. Okay.
- 17 Q. So that's one of the references that was obtained. If
- we go over to the next page, please, I think we see here
- 19 a letter to Dr McLay. Is that your GP?
- 20 A. No, he's a friend.
- 21 Q. If we scroll down it says:
- 22 "The above named have offered to become foster
- 23 parents to this department and have given your name as
- 24 a referee."
- 25 A. Okay.

- 1 Q. And then there's reference to the attached
- 2 questionnaire, which they ask to be completed.
- 3 Okay.
- If we go on to the next page, again I think we see
- 5 a letter from Strathclyde Regional Council social work
- 6 department, this time to the Chief Constable SCRO, so
- 7 I think this is a criminal records check.
- 8 A. (Witness nods).
- 9 Q. And if we scroll down we see that there's reference to
- 10 your application. They're asking if there are any
- 11 convictions. There's your details and then at the
- 12 bottom if we control down we see a stamp:
- "No record traced."
- 14 Did you understand that the Local Authority would
- 15 carry out a criminal records check at the time?
- 16 A. Yes, I would hope so.
- 17 Q. Okay. And then if we go on to page 25, I think we see
- 18 another reference and this says this person has known
- 19 you both for three years.
- 20 They know you socially and professionally. They
- 21 say:
- 22 "Both are highly intelligent. They have two
- 23 children of school age and they are happy and well
- 24 adjusted. they seem to be very
- 25 happy. They are obviously caring parents and take

- a great deal of interest in the local school."
- 2 And there's a question, "Would you consider them
- 3 suitable to care for very young babies?", a specific
- 4 question there:
- 5 "Emphatically, yes. Their interest has been
- 6 sustained over the years I have known them. Their own
- 7 children were obviously well looked after at all
- 8 stages."
- 9 And then at the top of the next page in answer to
- 10 the question about any special qualities or interests it
- 11 says:
- "Simply a sustained interest in the welfare of young
- 13 people on both an educational and a recreational level."
- 14 Again the referee knows of no circumstances that
- 15 would make you unsuitable, and then "any further
- 16 comments":
- 17 "I believe this home would provide a very desirable
- 18 blend of reassurance, compassion and good discipline."
- 19 If we scroll down, I think we see that this person
- 20 was a headmaster, is it possibly the headmaster --
- 21 A. Houston Primary School.
- 22 Q. -- of the local school, okay.
- 23 If we go on to the next page we see a report, this
- 24 is prepared by a social worker, I'm not going to read
- 25 the whole thing out but if we look in the first

- 1 paragraph I think we see reference to your house and
- 2 you've told us in your statement that you had acquired
- 3 a farmhouse --
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. -- that you lived in. If we go to the second paragraph,
- 6 there's reference to both yourself and
- 7 some discussion about, I think, your involvement in the
- 8 church in that paragraph?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And then the next paragraph says:
- 11 "The idea of fostering has been with them for
- a number of years but initially there were barriers of
- 13 various kinds."
- 14 And then there's discussion about, I think,
- moving job on a number of occasions?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. So is that correct that it was something that you'd been
- 18 thinking about for a while before you applied, can you
- 19 remember?
- 20 A. Yeah. I can't really think what the barriers were. The
- 21 old farmhouse we renovated and we lived in a big static
- 22 caravan on site while -- and I had just had a baby,
- 23 1975, so probably the timing wasn't right yet because we
- 24 didn't start the fostering until we'd moved into the
- 25 house properly.

- 1 Q. Yes. And then at the bottom of the page there's
- 2 reference to the question of your motivations, go on to
- 3 the top of the next page:
- 4 "It was generally in the context of their concern
- 5 about social issues generally."
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. There's reference to work with the
- 8 school's council having brought him into contact with
- 9 the Linwood area and both you and he showed an awareness
- of the kinds of problems faced by people less privileged
- 11 than themselves. In addition they were perturbed by the
- 12 numbers of children in residential care?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And felt that this was not usually the best solution.
- 15 And you mentioned that --
- 16 A. We had a residential home in the village, so obviously
- 17 it was something we came across daily through school.
- 18 Q. I think that's Urquhart House?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. The next paragraph begins:
- 21 "When the idea was first discussed between them
- 22 was the more enthusiastic."
- 23 A. Probably I was a bit nervous, yeah, which I am about
- 24 anything new probably, but ...
- 25 Q. If you go into that paragraph it says:

- 1 "Since neither of them had any first-hand knowledge
- of fostering, [you] in particular felt apprehensive
- 3 about the responsibility of caring for another person's
- 4 child."
- 5 And then there's discussion between you about
- 6 feeling able to tackle problems as they arose. There
- 7 was a question about the legal and procedural side of
- 8 fostering and it then says towards the end of the
- 9 paragraph:
- 10 "She had, she felt, now come to a stage where she
- 11 was confident of her ability and willingness to cope."
- 12 And then there was some -- you'd given consideration
- 13 to how your own children might react as well it notes
- 14 there.
- 15 A. They were obviously a major priority in our minds.
- 16 Q. Okay. Then if we can move on, please, to page 33,
- 17 there's a memorandum there from, it says, the Clwyd
- 18 social work department.
- 19 If we scroll down a little there's a line ticked:
- 20 "(1) There is no information regarding the
- 21 applicants or their family known to this area."
- 22 You and previously lived in Clwyd?
- 23 A. We lived for three years.
- 24 Q. So it looks as though the local authority contacted your
- 25 previous local authority?

- 1 A. To check, yes. Our two eldest children were born there.
- 2 Q. If we just scroll down a little, I think we see in
- 3 handwriting at (3) it says:
- 4 "District Community has been contacted and reports
- 5 as follows."
- 6 And there's reference to a physician in North Clwyd:
- 7 "Nothing is known which suggests they should not be
- 8 considered as foster parents."
- 9 And then I think you said you'd also lived in
- 10 Cumbria?
- 11 A. Yes, before that.
- 12 Q. If we can move on, please, to page 40, I think we see
- 13 here a letter from Cumbria County Council or -- yes,
- 14 Social Services department, and if we scroll down
- 15 there's reference again to an enquiry about
- 16 as prospective childminders, it says, "has been passed
- 17 to me, the Cumbria address being situated within my
- 18 district. I am pleased to inform you that there is no
- 19 records of the above people in this department.
- 20 Enquiries to the District Nursing Officer reveal that
- 21 the health visitors are unable to supply any relevant
- 22 information either."
- 23 A. That would be right because I -- I didn't live there
- 24 very long. was living there and I was only
- 25 there a matter of nine months or so, then we moved to

- 1 Wales.
- 2 Q. Okay. Sorry, just for completeness, there are medical
- 3 reports in here too.
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. But I'm not going to go to them but those were also
- 6 obtained from your doctor.
- 7 If we go to page 36 now you see here something
- 8 called -- it's an entry from Strathclyde Regional
- 9 Council, divisional register of approved foster parents
- 10 awaiting placement of a child, and I think this is your
- 11 details and details of your children.
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. If we scroll down, it then talks about you and
- as being "very capable and adaptable people who
- 15 would be able to cope calmly and efficiently with most
- 16 situations presented to them. They have a strongly
- 17 religious background but would not seek to impose this
- 18 on a child and from their point of view matching on the
- 19 grounds of religion would be unimportant. They live in
- 20 a converted farmhouse with a variety of pets, and
- 21 a country-loving child could be well suited."
- 22 So this seems to be an entry sort of summary about
- 23 you and your family that goes on to --
- 24 A. Okay.
- 25 Q. -- some sort of register. Is that something you were

- 1 aware of or not?
- 2 A. No, obviously I haven't seen any of these reports.
- 3 Q. And you mentioned in your evidence earlier that you
- 4 think that there might have been some further checks at
- 5 the time that you moved from short-term to long-term
- 6 fostering?
- 7 A. I presume there must have been something official.
- 8 Q. I think if we go over the page to page 37, we see here
- 9 a form which is addressed to the nurse administrator in
- 10 Paisley. It's dated 1 February 1979 and we see
- 11 reference in the letter to you having applied to be
- 12 long-term foster parents.
- 13 A. Okay.
- 14 Q. And again there's reference to you, your details there,
- 15 and if we go over the page there's at page 38 the reply
- 16 is:
- "I have known this family in my capacity as health
- 18 visitor [I think, HV] for about 6 years and to my
- 19 knowledge there is nothing to hinder them becoming
- 20 foster parents. They have had a foster child in their
- 21 care for about 2 years and I think this is a good enough
- 22 criteria."
- 23 It says.
- 24 A. Okay.
- 25 Q. And then the next page, page 39, I think again we see

- a criminal records check, 1 February 1979. And again if
- 2 we just scroll down we can see that again:
- 3 "No record traced."
- 4 At the bottom of the page.
- 5 A. (Witness nods).
- 6 Q. So as you thought, it appears that there were some
- 7 further checks at the --
- 8 A. Yeah.
- 9 Q. -- time that you moved from short term to long term,
- 10 okay.
- 11 I'm going to move back to your statement and if we
- go back to page 2, please, and paragraph 8, you refer
- 13 there to the open meetings that you mentioned and you
- 14 talk about a Frances Hamilton --
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. -- conducting the meetings, so who was she?
- 17 A. She was quite senior in Paisley social work.
- 18 Q. Okay.
- 19 A. I have a very sketchy memory of the open meetings, but
- 20 I just felt there were. Frances Hamilton was the person
- 21 who I felt almost bribed us to adopt 'Kevin'.
- 22 Q. Okay, we'll come back to that in a moment.
- 23 A. Yeah.
- 24 Q. Can you remember anything about the purpose of these
- 25 meetings? Were they information evenings or meetings or

- were they for training purposes?
- 2 A. I don't remember it as training. I think it was
- 3 information and presumably we could ask questions.
- 4 Q. I see. Can you remember getting any training before you
- 5 became a foster parent?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. And if we go on to paragraph 9, you say:
- 8 "There were the odd meetings we had to attend
- 9 throughout fostering, but I wouldn't have called it
- 10 training."
- 11 A. Mm-hmm.
- 12 Q. So --
- 13 A. I have no memory of training.
- 14 Q. Okay. You talk about these meetings that you attended
- as you were fostering. You say that you were asked to
- 16 talk at these meetings on occasion?
- 17 A. That was much later on.
- 18 Q. I see.
- 19 A. When -- after 'Kevin's' adoption broke down and then we
- 20 all had a ... what's the word? He came back into the
- 21 family.
- 22 Q. A reconciliation?
- 23 A. A reconciliation. And we were asked to go along and
- 24 talk to one of these open meetings to show that it can
- 25 go wrong but it can turn around again.

- 1 Q. Okay. So that was again giving information perhaps
- 2 to --
- 3 A. Other -- other prospective or people who were already
- 4 fostering and perhaps were seeking some kind of help.
- 5 Q. Okay. And you say there:
- 6 "It appeared that we had made a success of it."
- 7 And you say that you still believe you've made
- 8 a success of it?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. "We are proud of what we achieved."
- 11 A. Yes. I am. We are.
- 12 Q. Can you explain that a little bit more?
- 13 A. I -- I -- I had hoped we had given them a taste of real
- 14 family life. They were treated no differently to our
- 15 own children. And we had a very happy family life and
- I had hope perhaps we had broken the chain of social
- 17 care for them because they'd had parents in care and
- 18 I hoped we'd broken that a bit and that we had helped
- 19 them to make their own adult relationships. That I'm
- 20 not so sure about now. I think that wasn't a success.
- 21 Q. Okay.
- 22 A. Because they had trouble making their own adult
- 23 relationships.
- 24 Q. Okay. You then go on at paragraph 10 to say -- as
- 25 you've mentioned you can't remember being given any

- 1 training. You say that you knew that the children you
- 2 fostered had been abused in different ways and had long
- 3 social work involvement.
- 4 A. Mm-hmm.
- 5 Q. But you then say:
- 6 "There was no advice on discipline or managing their
- 7 behaviour."
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. And you have no memory of any written guidance.
- 10 A. No. And the word child protection wasn't a phrase in
- 11 that time.
- 12 Q. Okay. Do you think it would have been helpful to have
- 13 had training about these various topics?
- 14 A. Yes. I think we should have been -- we knew they were
- 15 likely to be from disruptive backgrounds, but I wasn't
- 16 aware so much of the abuse or the effect that would have
- on them. And ... yeah. There could have been a lot
- 18 more help. And ongoing help from the social work.
- 19 Q. Okay. At paragraph 11, at the end of that paragraph you
- 20 say you were given very little notice once it had been
- 21 decided a child was coming to stay?
- 22 A. Yeah.
- 23 Q. Was that in relation to the first girl that you
- 24 fostered?
- 25 A. The first girl, yes.

- 1 Q. Okay. If we move on to paragraph 12, you start talking
- 2 about 'Kevin' coming to stay with you. If we can just
- 3 pause before we go into this, so there was the girl that
- 4 you fostered to begin with?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And I think you then adopted her; is that right?
- 7 A. Not until after 'Kevin' came.
- 8 Q. Okay. Can you remember when it was you adopted her?
- 9 A. I would have said it was in 1981.
- 10 Q. Okay. And we know that you adopted 'Kevin' in 1980
- 11 so --
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. -- we've got the dates of that so we know that he came
- 14 to live with you in 1979?
- 15 A. Mm-hmm.
- 16 Q. And that he was adopted in 1980.
- 17 Then I think you had another boy came to live with
- 18 you later on?
- 19 A. Yes. In 1982.
- 20 Q. Okay. Was he fostered to begin with as well?
- 21 A. Yeah. He came -- what they called fostering with a view
- 22 to adoption.
- 23 Q. Okay.
- 24 A. They knew he wasn't going back to any natural family.
- 25 Q. Okay. Did you also adopt him?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And when was that?
- 3 A. (Pause). It would be about 1983, 1984. All quite
- 4 a close timescale.
- 5 Q. Okay, that's fine. Thank you.
- 6 So if we look at paragraph 12 of your statement, you
- 7 talk about 'Kevin' coming to live with you and how was
- 8 it that you met him first?
- 9 A. Through the primary school and our son was in the same
- 10 year as 'Kevin' and he used to come up and play. I also
- 11 had quite a lot of contact with Urquhart House because
- 12 the local play group, which was set up in Houston, the
- 13 first time they'd ever had a play group, was in the
- 14 dining room at Urquhart House.
- 15 Q. Okay.
- 16 A. Ms Chandler, the matron, gave that facility to run
- a play group and I was quite involved in setting up the
- 18 play group.
- 19 Q. Okay.
- 20 A. Which would be quite early 1970s because it would be
- 21 before our eldest went to school.
- 22 Q. Right, okay.
- 23 A. And so, you know, we had kind of contact with Urquhart
- 24 House.
- 25 Q. And the issue of fostering then arose in relation to

- 1 'Kevin'.
- 2 A. Yeah.
- 3 Q. And can you describe how that --
- 4 A. Yeah.
- 5 Q. -- arose?
- 6 A. There was talk about the possibility. I was unsure from
- 7 the beginning because I didn't really develop a bond
- 8 with him. I had trouble controlling him. And I was
- 9 told after that that actually he didn't like women.
- 10 Q. Mm-hmm.
- 11 A. Because he blamed his natural mother for giving him up.
- But I didn't hear about that till later.
- 13 So we talked about the fostering and we had him up
- 14 quite a lot just for the afternoon or so, and then
- 15 I was -- suddenly we were put under pressure because he
- 16 was getting out of control at Urquhart House. They felt
- 17 he was getting unsettled, and that I could understand,
- 18 he perhaps didn't know -- I don't know how much they
- 19 perhaps said to him that he might come and live with us:
- 20 it's all a wee bit murky, that.
- 21 And then his social worker came up to see us when he
- 22 was actually there one day and she said to me, "I'm just
- going to tell him he's going to come and live here".
- 24 I said, "You can't do that", because we hadn't discussed
- 25 it fully with our own children. So I felt there was

- 1 a lot of pressure there and I kind of knew within myself
- 2 it wasn't right.
- 3 Q. Okay.
- 4 A. He got on really well with
- 5 Q. So did have a different view to you about
- 6 fostering 'Kevin'?
- 7 A. Probably. Probably he didn't realise how reluctant
- 8 I was to start with.
- 9 Q. Okay. And you talk about pressure being put on and you
- 10 mentioned the social worker and what she said at the
- 11 house. Was that Frances Hamilton?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. Or was that somebody else?
- 14 A. That was 'Kevin's' social worker.
- 15 Q. Okay.
- 16 A. I do know her name.
- 17 Q. And did anybody else speak to you about fostering
- 18 'Kevin'?
- 19 A. No. When Ms Chandler at the home, she suddenly changed
- 20 her tone a wee bit and said, "You really need to commit
- 21 because we're having trouble with him", and that should
- 22 have flagged up things with me because they were
- 23 experienced carers.
- 24 Q. Okay. You say at the end of paragraph 13 that the
- 25 social work department and the staff at the children's

- home ignored your doubts?
- 2 A. Yes. They said he'd be much more settled if he just
- 3 came.
- 4 Q. Okay.
- 5 A. Then when we applied to adopt , Frances Hamilton
- 6 just said 'Kevin's' need is greater, you need to adopt
- 7 him first.
- 8 Q. Okay.
- 9 A. And you need to give him your name and love him like
- 10 your own.
- 11 Q. Okay. So Frances Hamilton came in at the stage of
- 12 adoption then?
- 13 A. Mm-hmm. I think that was probably her remit by then.
- 14 Q. Okay. And at paragraph 14 you describe that as being
- 15 almost blackmailed?
- 16 A. Yeah.
- 17 Q. What sort of things was she saying? What you've said or
- 18 anything else?
- 19 A. Well, just what I've said, how she said we needed to
- 20 give him and just love him as a member of the
- 21 family. Now, at this stage I had seen no reports about
- 22 'Kevin'.
- 23 Q. Okay.
- 24 A. Or his behaviours.
- 25 Q. At that point was he living with you? Had you fostered

- 1 him by the time that adoption started to be talked
- 2 about?
- 3 A. Yes, yes.
- 4 Q. Okay. If we look at paragraph 15, you mention
- 5 Frances Hamilton there and you say that you felt you
- 6 were naive at the time?
- 7 A. I was, yeah.
- 8 Q. Yeah?
- 9 A. Yeah.
- 10 Q. And you say you had no experience of children who had
- 11 been abused?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. And the girl that you had fostered, how old was she when
- 14 she came to live with you?
- 15 A. 11 months.
- 16 Q. Okay. And then you say there that you thought the
- 17 social workers were right and knew what they were
- 18 talking about?
- 19 A. (Witness nods). I trusted the professionals.
- 20 Q. Okay. And you say that you feel guilty that you didn't
- love him like you should have?
- 22 A. Mm-hmm.
- 23 Q. Did you love him at all?
- 24 A. Probably not.
- 25 Q. Did you like him?

- 1 A. Not a lot.
- 2 Q. Okay. And did you tell the social work department about
- 3 those issues?
- 4 A. I think I definitely mentioned it when they were saying
- 5 adopt 'Kevin' first and it'll be fine and you give him
- 6 your name.
- 7 Q. Okay.
- 8 LADY SMITH: So this is a boy whom you didn't feel any love
- 9 and you didn't like?
- 10 A. (Witness nods).
- 11 LADY SMITH: Why did you go through with the adoption?
- 12 A. I don't know.
- 13 LADY SMITH: Why did you even carry on --
- 14 A. Because --
- 15 LADY SMITH: Hang on. Why did you even carry on fostering
- 16 him?
- 17 A. I must have been weak. I was weak. I ... followed
- 18 their advice.
- 19 LADY SMITH: You're very frank about how you felt, 'Alice'.
- 20 A. Mm-hmm.
- 21 LADY SMITH: Did it ever occur to you that this little boy
- 22 would pick that up?
- 23 A. Yes. Yes. And -- but he got on well with
- 24 and he got on well with our son. He didn't have much
- 25 time for the girls.

- 1 LADY SMITH: But was away working during the
- 2 working day, I take it?
- 3 A. Mm-hmm.
- 4 LADY SMITH: You were the major carer.
- 5 A. I was the main carer and -- yes.
- 6 LADY SMITH: Ms Innes.
- 7 MS INNES: Thank you, my Lady.
- 8 If we go on to paragraph 16 you talk about fostering
- 9 the other child that you've mentioned already and he
- 10 came to you, you say, just before he turned five?
- 11 A. Mm-hmm.
- 12 Q. And by that stage you had adopted 'Kevin' but you had
- 13 those reservations that you've explained. Why did you
- 14 go down the route of fostering another child?
- 15 A. (Witness shakes head). I've asked that question to
- 16 myself many a time over the last 12 years.
- 17 Q. And again did have a different view to you
- 18 about fostering another child at that point?
- 19 A. No. No, we were in agreement.
- 20 Q. Was one of you sort of the main instigator of that or
- 21 not?
- 22 A. No. I think we were into that together.
- 23 Q. Okay. Now, if we move down the page, if we move down to
- 24 paragraph 18, you say there that the children had their
- 25 own individual social workers.

- 1 A. Mm-hmm.
- 2 Q. And you didn't have any independent support at all?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. So you didn't have a social worker of your own?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. At any point?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. No. And you say that you were given some background
- 9 information in relation to the children's circumstances
- 10 when they came but that was it.
- 11 (Pause).
- 12 Yes, you say there that you were given some
- 13 background information?
- 14 A. Yeah.
- 15 Q. How much were you told about 'Kevin', can you remember,
- 16 before he came to live with you?
- 17 A. I never saw any written reports about 'Kevin'. None at
- 18 all.
- 19 Q. Did you see written reports about the other children you
- 20 fostered?
- 21 A. Not written -- the first girl that came, her social
- 22 worker was very -- quite informative about sort of what
- 23 her background had been and how her family had always
- 24 been involved with social work. And the same with the
- 25 second boy. He had a young male social worker and he

- was frank about the disruptions he'd had in his life.
- 2 He'd had a lot of moving about, and how his previous
- 3 fostering had failed.
- And he'd been the oldest in that family so the one
- 5 insistence he had was that he would be the youngest in
- a family, which he was with us.
- 7 It's not till after we adopted 'Kevin' that we
- 8 actually saw some reports.
- 9 Q. Okay. And were you given verbal information about
- 10 'Kevin's' background?
- 11 A. No. No.
- 12 Q. And --
- 13 A. Not really, no.
- 14 Q. Can you remember if you asked about that or if you
- 15 thought it was something important that you should find
- 16 out about?
- 17 A. I'm not sure. I'm not sure.
- 18 Q. In relation to the social workers, when they came to
- 19 visit, did they speak to you or did they speak to the
- 20 children who were being fostered or was it both?
- 21 A. My memory is it was almost just like a social visit.
- 22 A cup of tea and see everybody and ...
- 23 Q. Okay.
- 24 A. Yeah.
- 25 Q. Can you remember if they ever saw the children on their

- 1 own?
- 2 A. I don't have memory of that.
- 3 Q. Okay. Did you know that they were coming in advance or
- 4 not? Would they arrange it in advance?
- 5 A. The social workers? Yes.
- 6 Q. So they would contact you and arrange a time?
- 7 A. Yeah.
- 8 Q. Okay. Now, if we can move on, please, to the bottom of
- 9 the page, paragraph 20, you talk about the children
- 10 taking your surname and you say:
- 11 "That was insisted upon, which I don't agree with
- 12 now."
- 13 A. Well, I think things have changed and I think perhaps
- 14 they'd have kept some of their identity if they'd kept
- 15 their own names. Looking back on everything and all
- 16 that's happened, I think they all had a bit of a chip on
- 17 their shoulder and didn't really want to be in foster
- 18 care or in care because they blamed their natural
- 19 parents. I feel ... perhaps keeping their own name
- 20 might have been -- but there was no question of it then.
- 21 There was no question of it.
- 22 LADY SMITH: Are you saying that even when the children were
- 23 at the fostering stage you were under pressure to have
- 24 them use second name?
- 25 A. No, not until adoption.

- 1 LADY SMITH: Only on adoption.
- 2 A. Yeah.
- 3 LADY SMITH: Thank you.
- 4 MS INNES: If we move down, please, to the heading
- 5 "Financial support" and paragraph 23, you say that you
- 6 didn't feel employed by the local council, that wasn't
- 7 the way that you regarded being a foster carer?
- 8 A. No, absolutely not.
- 9 Q. And you didn't see fostering as being a means by
- 10 which --
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. -- you would get income?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. Okay. And you say that you got an allowance and you
- 15 think it just -- it formed part of your family income --
- 16 A. Yeah.
- 17 Q. -- that you would have used?
- 18 A. Yeah.
- 19 Q. Okay.
- 20 If we can move on over the page, please, to page 6
- 21 and you talk there about -- at paragraph 27 you talk
- 22 about 'Kevin' coming as a friend to start with, as
- 23 you've described?
- 24 A. Mm-hmm.
- 25 Q. And then, as you've said, you got pushed into thinking

- 1 you could foster 'Kevin' and that it would work.
- 2 A. (Witness nods).
- 3 Q. At paragraph 28, I think the younger boy, he came for
- 4 a couple of visits first --
- 5 A. Mm-hmm.
- 6 Q. -- before he --
- 7 A. Yeah.
- 8 Q. -- was fostered by you; is that right?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Okay.
- 11 A. I had a lot of time for his social worker.
- 12 Q. Okay.
- 13 LADY SMITH: Can I just check. By the time you had reached
- 14 a total of six children, your own children and your
- 15 adopted children, were they all still at primary school
- 16 stage?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 LADY SMITH: I thought that would be --
- 19 A. But they weren't at the primary school together. When
- 20 the youngest started, our eldest had gone into the
- 21 secondary school.
- 22 LADY SMITH: I can see that. But they were all still quite
- 23 young?
- 24 A. (Witness nods).
- 25 LADY SMITH: Thank you.

- 1 MS INNES: If we move over the page, please, to page 7, and
- 2 paragraph 34 where you talk about washing and bathing
- 3 and at paragraph 35 you talk about them having privacy
- 4 when they were older:
- 5 " ... when they were little I would be doing the
- 6 washing for them."
- 7 Now, we've heard evidence from 'Kevin' that
- 8 sometimes he would be taken into the bath with
- 9
- 10 A. (Witness shakes head).
- 11 Q. Can you remember that happening?
- 12 A. Absolutely not.
- 13 Q. Can you remember ever bathing the children?
- 14 Was that something that he did?
- 15 A. Not on a regular basis at all.
- 16 LADY SMITH: If he did, did you leave him to it?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 LADY SMITH: Thank you.
- 19 MS INNES: At paragraph 36 you talk about purchasing clothes
- 20 and you can't remember being given additional funds for
- 21 that sort of thing.
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. You got the allowance and that was that?
- 24 A. And the youngest boy was a sponsored adoption, which was
- 25 quite a new thing at that time.

- 1 Q. Okay.
- 2 A. Which was almost like a continuing foster allowance for
- 3 him.
- 4 Q. So once he was adopted, he carried on getting
- 5 an allowance?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Okay.
- 8 A. But that again would just go into the family budget.
- 9 Q. Okay. And then at page 8 and paragraph 39 you talk
- 10 about eating meals and you did most of the cooking; is
- 11 that right?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Again we've heard evidence from 'Kevin' that if you
- 14 didn't eat something at one mealtime you would get it at
- 15 the next, and sometimes you would get for breakfast what
- 16 you had not eaten the night before.
- 17 A. No. No. Absolutely not, no.
- 18 Q. Okay. What would happen if one of the children didn't
- 19 want to finish their meal?
- 20 A. Didn't want to finish, they'd probably -- that's fair
- 21 enough, but they probably wouldn't get a treat or
- 22 a pudding afterwards.
- 23 Q. Okay.
- 24 A. There was no big pressure. I don't remember any problem
- 25 over eating with any of them.

- 1 Q. If we move on, please, to page 10 and paragraph 53, you
- 2 talk about 'Kevin' being diagnosed with dyslexia and you
- 3 say that probably didn't help him. Can you explain what
- 4 you mean by that?
- 5 A. That was -- well, he struggled academically and then we
- 6 moved his schools.
- 7 O. I see.
- 8 A. The primary school was struggling a wee bit with control
- 9 as well and as a family we were struggling with him so
- 10 we took him out of the primary school and he went to
- 11 Keil School in Dumbarton as a weekly boarder, he came
- 12 home at the weekends. He'd not been long there when
- 13 they said they were closing for financial reasons so we
- 14 did panic a bit because the breather during the week was
- good for us all when he was away at school.
- 16 Q. Okay.
- 17 A. And we wanted to do anything we could to make this work.
- 18 So he then went up to Rannoch School as a full boarder
- 19 and I'm pretty certain that's where the diagnosis was.
- 20 Q. I see, so it was later on he was diagnosed with
- 21 dyslexia?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Okay. And you say it's not something that you would
- 24 have known about at the time?
- 25 A. No.

- 1 Q. Do you mean it's not something you would have been able
- 2 to recognise until it was diagnosed?
- 3 A. No, no. And obviously the primary school didn't pick it
- 4 up.
- 5 Q. Okay.
- 6 Now 'Kevin' in his evidence said that he was always
- 7 made to feel as if because he was struggling he wouldn't
- 8 amount to anything, he wouldn't get anywhere, he needed
- 9 to try harder and that he was lazy. Is that the sort of
- impression that was conveyed to 'Kevin'?
- 11 A. No, but I think that must have been in his mind. This
- 12 is where a little bit it comes up mixing fostering with
- your natural children, which I had never thought about.
- Our own children were quite academic and motivated, and
- perhaps he felt ... a difference.
- 16 Q. Okay.
- 17 A. I don't know. But it's certainly not something we would
- 18 have pushed about. (Witness shakes head).
- 19 Q. Okay. Now, if we go down to paragraph 58 on page 11,
- 20 you say there:
- "We were not given any paperwork for 'Kevin'.
- 22 Nothing at all."
- 23 So is that what you were referring to earlier in
- 24 your evidence?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. That you were given no written information?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Were you given any information about his medical
- 4 history, for example?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. No?
- 7 You mention there that 'Kevin' mentioned bed-wetting
- 8 in his statement?
- 9 A. I don't remember 'Kevin' bed-wetting.
- 10 Q. Okay. So again in his evidence he said that he was
- 11 always made to feel stupid or guilty. It was "look what
- 12 you've done again" said in front of the other children.
- 13 Can you remember that?
- 14 A. That's a possibility but I can't remember
- 15 an individual ...
- 16 Q. Okay.
- 17 A. If they're being constantly out of control and unable to
- 18 discipline, you might well say, "You've done that
- 19 again". You know.
- 20 Q. Okay.
- 21 A. I don't hide the fact of my problems with 'Kevin',
- 22 I never have.
- 23 Q. If we go on to page 12, at paragraph 63 you say that you
- 24 used smacking as a method of discipline both for the
- 25 foster children and for your own children; is that

- 1 right?
- 2 A. (Witness nods).
- 3 Q. Okay. But you would say that wasn't excessive?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. And you talk about:
- 6 "I expected a certain standard of table manners and
- 7 politeness."
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. For example. So if those weren't adhered to would the
- 10 punishment for that be smacking or would it be something
- 11 else?
- 12 A. No, it would be more a timeout and leave the table and
- 13 sit on the naughty step.
- 14 Q. At paragraph 65, at the top of page 13, you say that you
- and would both discipline the children; is
- 16 that right?
- 17 A. (Witness nods).
- 18 Q. And you say it was more a "wait until your father comes
- 19 home" type of thing?
- 20 A. Yeah.
- 21 Q. Can you explain that?
- 22 A. I think certainly -- I mean, I had no control over
- 23 'Kevin' and I was actually quite fearful of him.
- 24 I don't ever remember smacking the children but Graeme
- 25 did -- did at times.

- 1 Q. Okay. So if you thought that the children had behaved
- 2 in a way which required smacking --
- 3 A. Discipline, yeah.
- 4 Q. -- would you say to them, "Wait till your father comes
- 5 home" and then tell him?
- 6 A. Yes, I probably said that. Yes, I'm sure I would say
- 7 that.
- 8 Q. Okay. And you say that you weren't asked to keep any
- 9 records by the social work department in relation to
- 10 discipline?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. No. And were you given any guidance by them as to
- 13 whether it was permissible to smack --
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. -- foster children?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. You say at paragraph 66 that your memory is that you
- 18 were just left to deal with it?
- 19 A. Yeah.
- 20 Q. Okay.
- 21 A. Once they were adopted, we were just dropped.
- 22 Q. Okay.
- 23 You then go on to talk about your relationship with
- 24 the foster children and at paragraph 67 you say that you
- 25 were the main carer, as you've already explained.

- 1 A. Mm-hmm.
- 2 Q. was at home in the evening and weekends and
- 3 you say that he spent more time with 'Kevin' than you
- 4 did?
- 5 A. Yes, yes.
- 6 Q. Where would he go with him or how would he spend time
- 7 with him?
- 8 A. He was the one who would visit him when he was at
- 9 boarding school, when there was a weekend out or
- 10 whatever they got.
- 11 Q. Okay.
- 12 A. And he was the one that would go and often take
- 13 with him.
- 14 Q. Okay. And what about before that, before 'Kevin' went
- 15 away to boarding school?
- 16 A. He would take him -- sometimes even if he was having
- a day's work away, he travelled a wee bit around the
- 18 local farms and stuff and he would take him with him and
- 19 take him out to lunch.
- 20 Q. Okay.
- 21 A. Just give a breather because we were all struggling with
- 22 him.
- 23 Q. And I think we've heard evidence from 'Kevin' that
- 24 sometimes he would be taken to office, to
- 25 where he worked. Is that something that might have

- 1 happened?
- 2 A. Might well have gone to -- yes, he probably did, because
- 3 he worked on Saturday morning at the office.
- 4 Q. Okay.
- 5 A. So they all got a kind of turn at doing that.
- 6 Q. Okay. You've mentioned already the way you felt towards
- 7 'Kevin'.
- 8 A. Yeah.
- 9 Q. And again we've heard from 'Kevin' in his evidence that
- 10 he felt there was a difference in treatment between him
- 11 and the other children and he said that he felt this
- 12 because of the way that you were, the natural children
- 13 would get the affectionate side, he says. Is that
- 14 correct?
- 15 A. Yeah, that's probably fair.
- 16 Q. Okay.
- 17 A. But the other adopted children would get the affection
- 18 as well, not just our own children.
- 19 Q. Okay.
- 20 A. I need to mention that our own children were amazing
- 21 with these adopted children. Absolutely amazing.
- 22 Q. Now, if we can move on, please, to page 15 and
- 23 paragraph 78, you say that you don't remember there
- 24 being a care plan or any kind of review and you say you
- 25 found it extraordinary that there wouldn't be a review

- of some sort. Can you explain that, please?
- 2 A. Well, certainly once they were adopted I don't remember
- 3 anything. I don't remember contact with the social work
- 4 department in any way.
- 5 Q. And what about prior to the adoption?
- 6 A. I honestly don't remember if there was a -- an annual
- 7 review makes sense. I think they had an annual medical,
- 8 so you would think that might be tied up with a review,
- 9 but I honestly don't have a memory of it.
- 10 Q. Okay. At paragraph 79 you say that 'Kevin's' social
- 11 worker was useless and not truthful about 'Kevin's'
- 12 background.
- 13 A. Mm-hmm.
- 14 Q. Can you explain what they weren't truthful about?
- 15 A. Because we since discovered, when we saw some background
- information, that it had been suggested that no one
- 17 adult should ever be left to look after him.
- 18 Q. And can you remember --
- 19 A. Because he was so disruptive.
- 20 Q. Can you remember --
- 21 A. And yet I was left.
- 22 Q. Okay. Can you remember -- well, first of all, when did
- you see that information? Was that when he was a child
- 24 or later?
- 25 A. I don't remember exactly. I think it was after

- 1 adoption. But I don't know why -- I honestly can't say.
- 2 I don't remember.
- 3 Q. Do you have any memory of --
- 4 A. Oh, sorry, I do remember.
- 5 Q. Okay.
- 6 A. It's when he went to the psychiatric hospital.
- 7 Q. Right, okay. And that was later?
- 8 A. But it kind of backs up what I'm saying that we were
- 9 struggling enough that he had to go for six weeks to
- 10 a psychiatric hospital.
- 11 Q. And the information that no one adult should be left to
- 12 look after him on his own --
- 13 A. That was in a report about him.
- 14 Q. And was that when he was at Urquhart House or somewhere
- 15 else, do you know?
- 16 A. No, I think that would be written about when he was at
- 17 Urquhart House, but I don't think it came to light until
- 18 he went to the psychiatric hospital.
- 19 Q. Okay. Now, if we move on again, please, to another --
- 20 oh, sorry, at page 16 and paragraph 84, you say there
- 21 that you never saw any of his background papers until
- 22 years later after you adopted him.
- 23 A. (Witness nods).
- 24 Q. And again you say that you got much more information for
- 25 the other children?

- 1 A. Yeah.
- 2 Q. I'd like to move on now to page 19 and to your awareness
- 3 of allegations of abuse that have been made. At
- 4 paragraph 100, you talk about criminal proceedings and
- 5 there being a criminal trial.
- 6 A. (Witness nods).
- 7 Q. So you say there that your foster children made
- 8 allegations to the police against and there
- 9 was a trial?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Okay. And you say -- and it was all three children made
- 12 allegations; is that right?
- 13 A. Yes. And that is something I -- when the allegations
- 14 were made we got a lot of help from Barnardo's, who were
- in charge of adoption and fostering at the time, and one
- of the first things they said to us before we'd even
- 17 said anything, "Don't worry that it was all three of
- 18 them because that's what they would do to try and
- 19 corroborate".
- 20 Q. Were you involved with Barnardo's or did you seek help
- 21 from the at the time that they --
- 22 A. We sought help from them. We had had ... we had had one
- 23 contact with them when the girl that we adopted was
- 24 obviously dabbling in drugs and things and we went to
- 25 them for advice, but it's them we went to, not the

- 1 social work department.
- 2 Q. So why did you go to them and not the social work
- 3 department?
- 4 A. I don't know, but I can't praise them highly enough.
- 5 Q. Okay. So you then go on in this paragraph to say:
- 6 "The police before the criminal trial were less than
- 7 honest and didn't investigate properly."
- 8 A. Yeah.
- 9 Q. Can you tell us why you think they were less than
- 10 honest?
- 11 A. This is one of the biggest frustrations I have about the
- 12 unfairness of it. They came and of course it was all
- 13 totally out of the blue and then they came -- they
- 14 wouldn't even acknowledge that we had our two daughters,
- 15 who had lived as a family with these children. They --
- 16 they kept refusing to interview them.
- 17 Q. Okay.
- 18 A. And we pushed for that and said, "You have to", and then
- 19 they talked about there were no family photos in the
- 20 house. Well, our house is filled with family photos of
- 21 all the children. I admit not now, but ... I just ...
- 22 the ... (Witness shakes head).
- 23 They were unfair. They were -- they -- there were
- 24 allegations made that had taken photos and
- 25 developed them. But they never asked to -- how we

- developed them. All our family photos went to Boots the
- 2 Chemist in those days, there was nothing digital. They
- 3 didn't ask to look at our computers. They didn't look
- 4 through our phones. You know. Is that not an obvious
- 5 thing to do if there's ...
- I just felt they weren't fair to us.
- 7 Q. Okay. And then you say:
- 8 "After the conviction, the police who looked after
- 9 us were brilliant."
- 10 A. Yeah, they were really nice and helpful. I don't know
- 11 what you call them.
- 12 Q. Is it maybe a --
- 13 A. They were ... they were there to look after us post
- 14 conviction.
- 15 Q. Okay. And was that somebody from the police?
- 16 A. Yes, two police officers.
- 17 Q. And as you say, was convicted of lewd and
- 18 libidinous practices against the other two children and
- 19 not proven against 'Kevin'.
- 20 A. Mm-hmm.
- 21 Q. And you talk about the sentence.
- 22 A. Yeah.
- 23 Q. And you say:
- 24 "I lost my faith in the jury system after this."
- 25 A. Well, I -- I couldn't understand why we couldn't have

- any character witnesses. Loads of people had offered
- because we had both been involved in all the youth
- 3 organisations in the village, like the Boys' Brigade and
- 4 the Guides and all that, and they all wanted to speak up
- 5 for us. They didn't know the backgrounds of the
- 6 children. So what were they to think?
- 7 I just feel -- I don't know, is it the Moorov
- 8 document or something?
- 9 LADY SMITH: Moorov is to do with corroboration, that's why
- 10 you need two sources of evidence. It doesn't need to be
- 11 two witnesses but two independent sources of evidence.
- 12 A. Okay, I just remember --
- 13 LADY SMITH: It could be two people speaking to events that
- 14 had such a link in terms of similarity and timing and
- 15 conduct --
- 16 A. Okay.
- 17 LADY SMITH: -- that they support each other as
- 18 corroboration. It's a doctrine that dates back to 1932.
- 19 A. So I can't remember, was that then not allowed at the
- 20 trial? There was a separate hearing about it, but ...
- 21 LADY SMITH: Well, Moorov --
- 22 A. By then my heading was buzzing --
- 23 LADY SMITH: It's not Moorov that would prevent character
- 24 evidence, for example.
- 25 A. No, I realise that.

- 1 LADY SMITH: Or evidence trying to blacken the character of
- 2 complainers. What would rule that evidence out is that
- 3 it's not relevant.
- 4 A. I know, but then to me ... (Witness shakes head).
- 5 MS INNES: Okay, I think what you're saying is you felt that
- 6 character evidence was relevant?
- 7 A. Well, I felt more that the jury had no idea of the
- 8 troubled backgrounds these children had had.
- 9 Q. Okay, so in terms of the background of the children, one
- 10 of them I think you said had come at the age of 11
- 11 months --
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. -- to you, so are you saying that her background was
- 14 relevant?
- 15 A. She ... well, obviously not so much because she was
- 16 11 months, but they did say she was quite traumatised,
- 17 she was quite behind for lack of stimulation. She
- 18 couldn't even -- she couldn't sit up or anything. She
- 19 was -- she had been moved around a lot within family
- 20 members, I think with an aunt and things. So she'd had
- 21 a disruptive time. And my understanding was when --
- 22 sorry, but I'm digressing. When I say we sought the
- 23 help of a family psychiatrist at Yorkhill Hospital in
- 24 desperation to get some answers, and he said that by six
- 25 months she would -- she would be quite traumatised.

- 1 Q. And when you say you sought that help, was that at the
- 2 time of the criminal investigation or earlier?
- 3 A. No, no, way back when we were trying to make 'Kevin's'
- 4 adoption work.
- 5 Q. Right, okay.
- 6 A. We went along.
- 7 Q. If we can go on over the page, please, to page 20, you
- 8 say that the day after the sentencing you got a text
- 9 from 'Kevin' saying he was so sorry and it wasn't meant
- 10 to have gone this far.
- 11 A. (Witness nods). And we showed that to the defence
- 12 barrister.
- 13 Q. Sorry?
- 14 A. I showed that to our defence barrister.
- 15 Q. Right.
- 16 A. And he also said that, "I'm glad you didn't go to jail".
- 17 Q. We've heard evidence from 'Kevin' that he didn't want
- 18 to go to jail, I think we've heard that
- 19 evidence from him.
- 20 In terms of the message, I suppose he could have
- 21 meant it wasn't meant to have gone this far because it
- 22 should have been admitted at an earlier stage?
- 23 A. I didn't read that into it at all.
- 24 Q. Okay. Or that it should never have happened?
- 25 A. Mm-hmm.

- 1 Q. Did give evidence at the trial?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Yes. And did you give evidence?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Okay. And your daughters as well?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Okay. And therefore I assume that you didn't see
- 8 'Kevin' or the other children giving evidence --
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. -- because you would be waiting to give your own
- 11 evidence?
- 12 A. (Witness nods).
- 13 Q. Okay. If I can move on, please, to paragraph 105, and
- 14 at the bottom of the page we start looking at some of
- 15 the allegations that were made and going on to the top
- of the page there's reference to off-the-shelf children,
- 17 this phrase "off-the-shelf children".
- 18 If we go to paragraph 106, you say that the phrase
- 19 "off-the-shelf children" was a bit a family banter?
- 20 A. Mm-hmm.
- 21 Q. Is that something that was used openly in the household?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Was that --
- 24 A. Not on a regular basis, but I do remember -- and I think
- 25 it was more amongst the children.

- 1 Q. Okay. And was it used in front of the foster children,
- 2 do you know?
- 3 A. (Witness nods).
- 4 Q. Yes? Okay.
- 5 Do you think that using that sort of phrase might
- 6 make them feel different to your own children?
- 7 A. Yeah, well, we did also say that they were chosen, you
- 8 know. We would joke and say we were stuck with the
- 9 other three.
- 10 Q. Okay.
- 11 A. I always tried to make each child feel individual.
- 12 Q. If we go on over the page, please, to page 22 and
- 13 paragraph 109, you say that your view is that the
- 14 youngest boy was the instigator of all of this?
- 15 A. Yes. He was the instigator of the allegations.
- 16 Q. And why do you think that?
- 17 A. Because the girl told me that it was him and his wife
- 18 had ... I think he was having memories of things.
- 19 Q. Okay.
- 20 A. But he was five when he came to us.
- 21 Q. And you go on to say at paragraph 110 that you think
- 22 a lot of this has come from drunken evenings in the
- 23 pub --
- 24 A. Yeah.
- 25 Q. -- between the three of them?

- 1 A. Mm-hmm.
- 2 Q. So you're suggesting that they got together, the three
- 3 of them --
- 4 A. Mm-hmm.
- 5 Q. -- and made this up?
- 6 A. Mm-hmm.
- 7 Q. Okay.
- 8 A. Or had false memories of it or ...
- 9 Q. Okay, we'll come back to that in a moment. If we look
- 10 down to paragraph 111, you see that that's the
- 11 allegation that be 'Kevin' makes that he was hit by you
- 12 with a riding crop.
- 13 A. (Witness shakes head).
- 14 Q. And you say that you have no memory of that?
- 15 A. Absolutely not. No.
- 16 Q. So in his evidence he told us that one of your
- daughters, I think, was on a horse. He hit the horse
- and the horse ran off with her, and then you hit him
- 19 a few times with the riding crop.
- 20 A. I have no memory of that.
- 21 Q. Okay. Is it possible that it happened?
- 22 A. It sounds like a scenario that he might have hit the
- 23 horse and it run off, but it was just an old pony.
- 24 LADY SMITH: Did you ride?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 LADY SMITH: And your daughters, did they ride?
- 2 A. Not seriously. We had an old pony that they all just
- 3 played around on.
- 4 LADY SMITH: Did you have a riding crop?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 LADY SMITH: Thank you.
- 7 MS INNES: Okay.
- 8 At paragraph 113 you talk there about the issue of
- 9 physical affection and you say that you wouldn't have
- 10 been as physical with him as you were with the others.
- 11 A. No, I wasn't. I wasn't.
- 12 Q. I think he only has a couple of memories --
- 13 A. Yeah.
- 14 Q. -- of you giving him a hug. Is that probably right?
- 15 A. Probably. I wasn't affectionate with him.
- 16 Q. Okay. And then you see at paragraph 114 that that's
- 17 a quote from 'Kevin's' statement where he talks about
- 18 being smacked, I think either with a hand or with
- 19 a brush handle. Can you remember that being used?
- 20 A. I have no memory of that. I don't remember smacking
- 21 'Kevin'.
- 22 Q. Okay. So it would have been --
- 23 A. Yeah.
- 24 Q. -- that would have done that, okay.
- 25 LADY SMITH: Am I right in thinking what you do have

- a general memory of, though, is being angry with 'Kevin'
- 2 and, as you said, sometimes scared of him?
- 3 A. Yes. I would be angry with him.
- 4 LADY SMITH: Did you lose your temper with him?
- 5 A. Possibly, but I don't have a great temper, but ... yeah,
- 6 probably, if you're angry, you -- I ... couldn't make it
- 7 a success with him. I wasn't ... and that -- I always
- 8 feel bad about that.
- 9 LADY SMITH: You've been very fair and frank about that,
- 10 'Alice'. I appreciate that.
- 11 MS INNES: And then you see that in this paragraph 'Kevin'
- 12 refers to something that would do, that he
- 13 would tie him up with no clothes on.
- 14 A. No. No.
- 15 Q. Did you ever see that happening?
- 16 A. No. No.
- 17 Q. Did you ever see him being tied up with clothes on?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. Okay. So in 'Kevin's' evidence I think he talked about
- 20 it beginning with a sort of game of being tied up and
- 21 having to release himself. Initially with clothes on,
- 22 and then without. Is that something that you can
- 23 remember happening?
- 24 A. Well, I mean -- no.
- 25 Q. Okay.

- 1 A. But they might play with ropes and things because we
- 2 lived in an old farmhouse with a tree house and a zip
- 3 line and there were ropes and things. But there's no
- 4 way he would do that.
- 5 Q. Okay. And then there's mention, I think, of photographs
- 6 being taken?
- 7 A. Yes. (Witness shakes head).
- 8 Q. Did have a polaroid camera?
- 9 A. Not at that stage. He had one years later for work.
- 10 Q. Would have had a camera for home and
- 11 a camera for work, for example?
- 12 A. No. He got a polaroid camera for work but much later
- 13 on.
- 14 Q. Right.
- 15 A. When he was doing a different type of job. But no, we
- 16 just had a family camera.
- 17 Q. Right, okay.
- 18 Now, if we move on to paragraph 116, you say there
- 19 that you know in your heart of hearts that there was
- 20 no -- that 'Kevin' wasn't abused by
- 21 A. Mm.
- 22 Q. And you say that 'Kevin's' allegations have changed
- 23 since the trial, there is a mixture coming in now. Can
- 24 you explain that, please?
- 25 A. Well, yeah. There were things he talked about at the

- trial that he hasn't brought up.
- 2 Q. Okay.
- 3 A. And then ... and then there's things there that I saw
- 4 for the first time when I saw his statement.
- 5 Q. I see.
- 6 A. So, you know, they are changing a bit.
- 7 Q. And then you talk at paragraph 117 about the issue that
- 8 you've already mentioned --
- 9 A. Yeah.
- 10 Q. -- about the children's backgrounds. You talk there
- 11 about finding out later that 'Kevin' had scars on his
- 12 penis and burns before he was taken into care.
- 13 A. (Witness nods).
- 14 Q. And I think we know that 'Kevin' was taken into care
- just before he was two.
- 16 A. Mm-hmm.
- 17 Q. Is that something that you were aware of?
- 18 A. I couldn't -- if you'd asked me outright today what age
- 19 he went into care I couldn't have told you. I knew he
- 20 was young and I knew he'd been at Urquhart House for
- 21 a while.
- 22 Q. Okay. And you say that you discovered that he had these
- 23 injuries before he was taken into care, when he was
- 24 a young child?
- 25 A. Yes. And that would come out in the reports for the

- 1 psychiatric hospital.
- 2 Q. Okay. Right. You talk at paragraph 117 about something
- 3 you've already mentioned, so false memory.
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. You say that you had expert witnesses on false memory at
- 6 the trial?
- 7 A. Yeah. Yeah.
- 8 Q. Can you tell us a little bit about that? You say that
- 9 they gave evidence and it all made sense.
- 10 A. Yeah. I think our barrister investigated this false
- 11 memory, because I didn't know anything about it before
- then, and there was a lady down south and she was given
- 13 all the relevant paperwork and she wrote this report
- 14 about it and sent it to the barrister. And I could see
- 15 what she was saying made sense about them all getting
- 16 together and -- there was a lot of drink went on between
- 17 the lot -- drunken evenings in the pub and -- that they
- 18 would talk about all these things and the memories would
- 19 get ... mixed up.
- 20 Q. Okay.
- 21 A. But when she came to speak at the trial, she was not
- good. She was very waffly and very posh-sounding and it
- 23 didn't go down well in Paisley, I suspect. But the
- 24 other guy we had was excellent and I can't remember his
- 25 surname.

- 1 Q. Was he also an expert in relation to false memory?
- 2 A. Yes, yes.
- 3 Q. Okay.
- 4 A. And he was very supportive to us.
- 5 Q. Okay. Now, if we look at paragraph 118, I think you
- 6 conclude by saying:
- 7 "It is absolute nonsense."
- 8 A. Yeah.
- 9 Q. At the end of that paragraph you say that:
- "All of this has come about because 'Kevin's'
- 11 allegations were found not proven at the trial."
- 12 A. Well, I suspect that may be why he's not managed to drop
- it or move on or ... whereas the others seem to have.
- 14 I don't know.
- 15 Q. If we go on over the page to page 24, you talk about
- 16 some lessons to be learned. And you talk at
- 17 paragraph 119 about an issue that you've already
- 18 mentioned. You say:
- 19 "There should be a lot more discussion around mixing
- 20 foster children with your natural family ..."
- 21 Can you tell us your thoughts about that?
- 22 A. Yeah. I think I wonder if they automatically feel that
- 23 they're having to keep up with them or they're being
- 24 tested against them or something. I just feel it was
- 25 perhaps harder for them than if they'd been in a family

- 1 without the natural children. And yet they were so good
- 2 with them. They were -- the natural children, our
- 3 natural children were amazing with them. Especially
- with 'Kevin'. But we tried to -- with the -- the
- 5 girl that we fostered, we switched schools with her as
- 6 well so she wasn't -- she was very close in age to our
- 7 youngest and they were both girls and I think the school
- 8 was a bit guilty of comparisons and stuff, so we moved
- 9 her to a private school where she could develop her
- 10 athletics and her music, which she was good at.
- 11 Q. Okay. At paragraph 120 you say that you "don't think
- 12 there was any discussion in depth from the children's
- 13 homes or social work department when we were fostering
- 14 and adopting. There should have been a lot more."
- 15 Discussion with whom?
- 16 A. Well, I suppose it's down to the social work department,
- 17 isn't it?
- 18 Q. Okay.
- 19 A. To ...
- 20 Q. So do you mean they should have been having more
- 21 discussions with you or discussions internally?
- 22 A. There should have been a lot more discussions, training,
- 23 whatever, in taking on disruptive -- children that were
- 24 not just disruptive but with a lot of problems. I know
- 25 you expect some problems, but we were just constantly

- told: they just need a stable home and to be loved.
- 2 Well, we gave them a stable home for 30 years. And our
- 3 children shared it with them and did all they could.
- 4 And it's like a massive bereavement --
- 5 Q. Yes.
- 6 A. -- when they left.
- 7 Q. I think you say that.
- 8 A. Yeah, a massive bereavement to us to lose contact with
- 9 their children, because, as I do mention, they quite
- 10 often asked us to look after them and for two of them we
- 11 were their main carers for a while because their mother
- 12 was out of it. She -- you know, it was -- we were their
- 13 stability for a while and suddenly ... they were gone.
- 14 Q. Okay.
- 15 At paragraph 121 you say there should have been red
- 16 flags when placing the children with you. What sort of
- 17 red flags?
- 18 A. Yeah, a lot more information about their background.
- 19 Q. I see.
- 20 A. At the beginning.
- 21 Q. So you're meaning there that they should have been
- 22 flagging up things to you?
- 23 A. Yes. Things that they knew, that we weren't getting
- 24 information of.
- 25 Q. And you feel there should have been more of a constant

- 1 ---
- 2 A. And I will admit, we were naive. We -- they -- they
- 3 took us to a large extent, the children, into a world we
- 4 didn't know about.
- 5 Q. Okay.
- 6 A. And we just tried to cope with it all as it happened.
- 7 Q. You talk about the same issue at paragraph 122. If we
- 8 move to paragraph 123, you say:
- 9 "There has to be better training for safeguarding
- 10 children and better awareness of damaged children."
- 11 A. Mm-hmm.
- 12 Q. And again I think you've already mentioned that in your
- 13 evidence, that more training would have been --
- 14 A. Yeah, and more awareness for the safety of the foster
- 15 carers.
- 16 Q. Okay.
- Now, if we move on to the final page of your
- 18 statement at paragraph 126 at the bottom of page 25, you
- 19 have a quote from Eartha Kitt which says:
- 20 "If your mother gives you away, for whatever reason
- 21 she did not want you, why would anyone want you, if the
- 22 most important person in your life didn't want you."
- 23 A. Mm-hmm.
- 24 Q. Can you explain why you made reference to that quote?
- 25 A. Well, I just read it and I thought that's exactly how

- they felt later -- how they felt that they had been
- 2 rejected, and they never got over that, obviously. And
- 3 I feel we were the scapegoats.
- 4 Q. Okay.
- 5 A. I feel they never got over ... we did our best.
- 6 MS INNES: Okay. 'Alice', I have no more questions for you
- 7 and there are no applications, my Lady.
- 8 LADY SMITH: Thank you.
- 9 Are there any outstanding applications for questions
- 10 of 'Alice'?
- 11 'Alice', that does complete the questions we have
- for you this morning. Can I just thank you again for
- 13 your written statement and the detail you've given us
- 14 there and for coming along today to talk so openly and
- 15 frankly about your memories of being a foster carer and
- 16 then an adopter. It's very helpful to me to have heard
- 17 that.
- 18 A. Okay.
- 19 LADY SMITH: So now I'm able to let you go and free you to,
- I hope, relax for the rest of the day. Thank you.
- 21 A. (Witness nods).
- 22 (The witness withdrew)
- 23 LADY SMITH: Well, time for the morning break, I think,
- 24 Ms Innes, yes?
- 25 MS INNES: Yes, my Lady. We have another oral witness next.

- 1 LADY SMITH: I'll take the break now.
- 2 (11.33 am)
- 3 (A short break)
- 4 (11.53 am)
- 5 LADY SMITH: Ms Innes. Is the next witness ready?
- 6 MS INNES: Yes, he is. The next witness is anonymous and
- 7 has chosen the pseudonym 'Bob'. 'Bob' together with his
- 8 wife were foster carers with Strathclyde Regional
- 9 Council. An applicant using the pseudonym 'John-Paul'
- 10 was placed by Strathclyde with 'Bob' and his wife from
- 11 1983 until 1983.
- 12 'John-Paul's' statement is at WIT-1-000000622 and
- his evidence was read in on Day 311, 9 August 2022.
- 14 Our understanding is that the current responsible
- 15 authority is North Lanarkshire Council.
- 16 LADY SMITH: Thank you.
- 17 'Bob' (affirmed)
- 18 LADY SMITH: 'Bob', I'd ask you to stay in a good position
- 19 for that microphone because it'll help you and it also
- 20 helps us to hear you probably.
- 21 The red folder has a typed version of your statement
- in it and you'll be taken to that in a moment.
- 23 A. Mm-hmm.
- 24 LADY SMITH: You'll also see your statement coming up on
- 25 screen. You might find that helpful so feel free to use

- 1 either or neither if you like.
- 2 A. Right.
- 3 LADY SMITH: But 'Bob', before I hand you over to Ms Innes,
- 4 a couple of things.
- 5 Could I just assure you that I know what we're
- 6 asking you to do this morning isn't easy and it's hard
- 7 coming into a strange environment like this to be asked
- 8 about events that happened long ago when you were
- 9 involved in fostering children, particularly when you
- 10 know you're going to be asked some difficult questions
- 11 about that. I do understand. I understand it can be
- 12 stressful, it can get emotional.
- 13 If at any time you want a break, whether here or out
- of the room, that's fine by me. If there's anything
- 15 else I can do to help you give your evidence as clearly
- 16 and as well as you can, you must let me know. Do you
- 17 understand that?
- 18 A. Thank you, yes.
- 19 LADY SMITH: And finally it's possible during your evidence,
- 'Bob', you'll be asked questions the answers to which
- 21 could incriminate you. Now, this isn't a court, it's
- 22 a public inquiry, but you're entitled to exactly the
- 23 same protections as you would be entitled to in
- 24 a courtroom, because, for example, there's a record
- 25 being made of your evidence, it's being transcribed, it

- 1 could be looked at later, and you're giving evidence in
- 2 public, of course.
- 3 So please bear in mind if you are asked any such
- 4 questions, you don't have to answer them. It's your
- 5 choice. But of course if you do, you must answer them
- fully. Do you understand?
- 7 A. Okay.
- 8 LADY SMITH: If you're ready, I'll hand over to Ms Innes and
- 9 she'll take it from there.
- 10 A. Yes, I'm ready.
- 11 LADY SMITH: Thank you. Ms Innes.
- 12 MS INNES: Thank you, my Lady.
- 13 Ouestions from Ms Innes
- 14 MS INNES: 'Bob', we understand that you were born in 1942?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- 16 Q. I'm going to refer to your statement. We give it the
- 17 reference WIT-1-000001047. If we can look, please, at
- 18 the final page of that statement, you can look at it in
- 19 the folder or on the screen, and at paragraph 75 it says
- 20 there:
- 21 "I have no objection to my witness statement being
- 22 published as part of the evidence to the Inquiry.
- 23 I believe the facts stated in this witness statement are
- 24 true."
- 25 I think you signed the statement on 3 August of this

- 1 year, 2022; is that right?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 Q. Okay, thank you.
- 4 If we can go back to the beginning of your
- 5 statement, please, and to paragraph 38 -- sorry, I'm
- 6 jumping ahead of myself. If we go to paragraph 3,
- 7 please, you tell us that you were in the Navy and then
- 8 I think you worked in the prison service in various
- 9 locations?
- 10 A. I did.
- 11 Q. At the bottom of this page at paragraph 5 you talk about
- 12 you and your wife starting to foster children. Why was
- 13 it that you and your wife decided to become foster
- 14 parents?
- 15 A. We didn't actually decide originally to be foster
- 16 parents. When my wife lost her baby she'd said that she
- 17 wanted to adopt.
- 18 Q. I see.
- 19 A. I wasn't keen on the idea of adopting on the rebound, if
- 20 you understand what I mean, so when I'd moved from
- down to another prison, we knew someone that
- 22 did foster and we thought we'd check up on that to see
- 23 if that was a viable proposition.
- 24 Q. Okay. Now, if we go on to the next page you talk about
- 25 that and at paragraph 6 you say that you started,

- 1 I think, by making some enquiries with the local
- 2 council; is that right?
- 3 A. Yeah.
- 4 Q. You remember a lady, I think, called Grace Thompson who
- 5 came to visit you?
- 6 A. That's the name that sticks in my mind. The first name
- 7 Grace. The surname Thompson stuck in my mind and it's
- 8 stuck in my wife's mind as well.
- 9 Q. And was she somebody who looked at your application to
- 10 become foster parents or was she just talking to you
- 11 about the possibility of fostering, do you know?
- 12 A. I'm not sure what her exact role was, but, as I say, she
- 13 spoke to us and she spoke to our own children, so
- 14 I should imagine she was -- that was part and parcel of
- 15 her job.
- 16 Q. So you say that she spoke to your own children. At that
- 17 time, how many children did you have and how old were
- 18 they?
- 19 A. Three. Three children, yeah.
- 20 Q. And what sort of ages were they?
- 21 A. Well ...
- 22 Q. Just roughly is fine.
- 23 A. 15 -- I think my daughter would have been -- my eldest
- 24 daughter would have been about 14 or 15.
- 25 Q. Okay. And the youngest, would they still have been at

- 1 primary school?
- 2 A. Yeah,
- 3 Q. Would he still --
- 4 A. Then . , and and . , in
- 5 1968 she was born, so she would only have been about
- 6 eight or nine years old.
- 7 Q. So you can remember speaking to your children about what
- 8 they thought?
- 9 A. Yeah.
- 10 Q. And what was their response?
- 11 A. They were all for it.
- 12 Q. You then say at paragraph 7 that the whole process took
- about a year, so that would be the process of applying
- 14 and being registered as foster parents?
- 15 A. As far as I can remember, that was roughly a year, yeah.
- 16 Q. You say that you fostered a child in 1979 when he was
- 17 three months old.
- 18 A. That's right.
- 19 Q. And when he came to you, was that on the basis that he
- 20 was going to stay with you for the rest of his --
- 21 A. No, he was with us with a view to being adopted, not by
- 22 us but he was up for adoption.
- 23 Q. I see.
- 24 A. He wasn't just for fostering, he was up for adoption.
- 25 Q. Okay. But then it turned out that he stayed with you

- 1 and you adopted him?
- 2 A. He stayed with us, as I say, until we finally adopted
- 3 him, yeah.
- 4 Q. You say at paragraph 7 that you stopped fostering
- 5 children at the time that you adopted your son, so am
- 6 I right in thinking that between 1979 and 1984 you were
- 7 fostering other children?
- 8 A. Yeah.
- 9 Q. Okay. We'll come back to that in a moment.
- 10 You say at paragraph 8 that you didn't need to have
- 11 any qualifications to be foster parents but you remember
- 12 that you had to answer numerous questions?
- 13 A. About what would be involved in fostering, yeah.
- 14 Q. And who was asking you those questions?
- 15 A. Well, the social worker that came.
- 16 Q. Okay.
- 17 A. I think maybe once or twice someone else was with her at
- 18 the same time, but most of the questions were directed
- 19 at my wife.
- 20 Q. Okay. And at that point were you working?
- 21 A. Yeah.
- 22 Q. Full time?
- 23 A. I was working, yeah.
- 24 Q. And were you working full time?
- 25 A. I was still in the prison service.

- 1 Q. And was your wife working?
- 2 A. Sometimes she worked, if we didn't have children, she
- 3 used to go and look for a wee job.
- 4 Q. So was the plan that your wife was going to be the main
- 5 carer for the foster children?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Okay. And then you say at paragraph 9 that you don't
- 8 know if there were any ongoing checks during your time
- 9 as foster parents. Did social workers come out to the
- 10 house to see you when you were fostering?
- 11 A. If we had children -- well, a child or a number of
- 12 children, then we would be in contact with social
- 13 workers. Apart from that, we didn't have any other
- 14 contact.
- 15 Q. Okay. If we look down to paragraph 11, you say that you
- 16 weren't given any training?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. So no training before the first child was placed with
- 19 you?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. And no training after that?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. Do you think that would have been helpful to have some
- 24 training?
- 25 A. My own personal view is that people who want to look

- after children, it would be beneficial to them if they
- 2 had experience of looking after children of their own,
- 3 their own children, because they understood the needs of
- a child. As regards the training, I don't think you
- 5 could actually give anyone training. You could give
- 6 them advice, but training, I don't think -- it's not
- 7 like learning at school or in a factory or something.
- 8 Q. You referred there to your experience in bringing up
- 9 your own children. Children that were placed with you
- 10 as foster children would have been taken into care and
- 11 taken away from their birth parents and they might have
- 12 been in homes or maybe in other foster homes. Do you
- 13 think it would have been useful to have been given some
- 14 advice as to the particular needs that they had?
- 15 A. It would have been, yeah. Yeah.
- 16 Q. If we go on over the page, please, to page 3 and
- 17 paragraph 12, you say there that you didn't see
- 18 fostering as a job?
- 19 A. That's right.
- 20 Q. So it wasn't something that you were doing to get
- 21 an income?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. You say:
- "We didn't choose what children we fostered and
- 25 simply looked after whoever we were asked to."

- 1 A. That's correct.
- 2 Q. Can you explain how it would come about that a foster
- 3 child would be placed with you?
- 4 A. We'd get a phone call saying that they had a child they
- 5 needed to have a placement for, nine times out of ten
- 6 they knew if we had a space, and arrangements were made
- 7 for them to bring the child to the house.
- 8 Q. Can you remember if you ever met the foster children in
- 9 advance?
- 10 A. Never.
- 11 Q. Never?
- 12 A. (Witness shakes head).
- 13 Q. And when you were phoned up, if you had a space, was
- there an option to say, "No, we're not going to take
- 15 that child"?
- 16 A. The option would have been there, yes, but ...
- 17 Q. Okay. And were you given any information about the
- 18 child or the background that the child had?
- 19 A. Never given information other than some of them were on
- 20 place of safety orders, which in my own mind speaks for
- 21 itself.
- 22 Q. Okay. You say at paragraph 12 that the most you ever
- 23 fostered at one time was three, who were three siblings
- 24 that you looked after?
- 25 A. That's right.

- 1 Q. So would you have had three children of your own, the
- 2 first foster child that you had that you then adopted,
- 3 and then another three children, so seven children in
- 4 the house at the one time?
- 5 A. No, my son had moved away down south.
- 6 Q. I see, so your oldest had moved away?
- 7 A. Well, he was the middle child,
- 8 Q. Okay.
- 9 A. We had my own two girls, then , and then the other
- 10 three.
- 11 Q. Okay. And did you have sufficient accommodation for
- 12 that many children?
- 13 A. We had sufficient accommodation because the dining room
- 14 had been converted into a bedroom.
- 15 Q. I see.
- 16 A. We had three large bedrooms and we converted the dining
- 17 room into a bedroom.
- 18 Q. Okay. So that then gave you four bedrooms that you
- 19 could --
- 20 A. There were the four bedrooms, yeah.
- 21 Q. You say at paragraph 13 that, as you've said, you
- 22 weren't given that much information and you say quite
- often you weren't told why the children were in care but
- 24 the children themselves might tell you about their
- 25 background?

- 1 A. Some of them would give us an idea.
- 2 Q. The first child you've mentioned came to you when he was
- 3 very young, the child that you adopted, but I'm assuming
- 4 from what you say here that some of the other children
- 5 that you fostered were older?
- 6 A. They were older than , yes.
- 7 Q. Okay. And were some of them teenagers or ages with your
- 8 own children?
- 9 A. We never had any that were classed as teenagers as such.
- 10 The eldest of the three siblings that we had, she was
- 11 around 11 or 12.
- 12 Q. Okay. So that was the oldest child that you had?
- 13 A. That was around the oldest of the children that we had.
- 14 Q. You say that most of the children weren't with you very
- 15 long. So it would be a shorter period of time. Are you
- 16 talking weeks or months?
- 17 A. Some of them were only days.
- 18 Q. I see, okay. And you mention some of the children you
- 19 had no contact with whatsoever because you were away at
- 20 the time?
- 21 A. On detached duties at another prison, yeah.
- 22 Q. Okay. You say at paragraph 14 that sometimes a child
- 23 would only be with you for a day or so but you were
- 24 always prepared for such a scenario.
- 25 A. Yeah.

- 1 Q. What do you mean by that? Would you have --
- 2 A. Well, prepared to take a child in if it was for one day,
- 3 one week, one month, whatever was necessary, then that
- 4 was the period of time we'd be prepared to do it.
- 5 LADY SMITH: Just going back to these times that you've
- 6 mentioned when you weren't there at all because work
- 7 required you to be somewhere else, did the social
- 8 workers know that?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 LADY SMITH: How did they know that?
- 11 A. Well, my wife would have told them, if they had phoned
- 12 up and said, "Can you take a child, what would your
- husband say about it?" "Well, my husband's on detached
- 14 duties at another prison."
- 15 LADY SMITH: Do you know whether she told them that?
- 16 A. Not offhand, no, not unless I was to ask her, but I had
- 17 no reason to ask her.
- 18 LADY SMITH: Thank you.
- 19 MS INNES: You say at paragraph 14 that each of the children
- 20 had social workers dedicated to them, but you and your
- 21 wife didn't have a social worker yourselves.
- 22 A. Not a designated social worker, no.
- 23 Q. So if problems arose, who would you contact?
- 24 A. The social work department.
- 25 Q. Okay. So did they all come from the local social work

- department?
- 2 A. There was a -- some of the social workers that came, if
- 3 the children came from the Glasgow area, it would have
- 4 been a Glasgow social worker, designated social worker
- 5 for the child.
- 6 Q. So if there was a problem, you would phone the social
- 7 worker for the child?
- 8 A. Well, we never had to do that.
- 9 Q. Right, okay.
- 10 If we go down to paragraph 15, you talk about again
- 11 that you saw fostering as a vocation and it was
- 12 something that you did because you wanted to. You say:
- 13 "Any financial aid we did receive came via social
- 14 workers and often the grants were made out to certain
- 15 shops which were the only ones where we could use them."
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 Q. Can you tell us a little about that, how the financial
- 18 arrangements worked?
- 19 A. Well, my wife dealt with all the finances but I know
- 20 that when the child came, if they didn't have what they
- 21 needed, a grant was given, we had a -- a docket,
- 22 whatever they call it, and you could go to a certain
- shop and say you've got £25, £40, whatever, to spend on
- 24 clothes for the child or shoes or whatever. Whatever
- 25 they required, it was paid for by the social work.

- 1 Q. And that was through designated shops?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Okay. And you say at paragraph 17 at the top of the
- 4 next page that sometimes you had to pay out of your own
- 5 money for things that the children needed?
- 6 A. Sometimes the grant -- the paperwork wasn't -- if it may
- 7 be on a Saturday night or a Friday night or Sunday when
- 8 their departments weren't open so that the dockets could
- 9 be made out, we would purchase it ourselves and get --
- 10 the money would be refunded to us, provided we gave them
- 11 the receipts.
- 12 Q. You go on at paragraph 18 to talk about a meeting that
- 13 you remember going to with Malcolm Day who was the head
- 14 of social work in Wishaw.
- 15 You say something that you talked to him about at
- 16 the meeting, that you raised at the meeting.
- 17 A. Yeah.
- 18 Q. And what was that?
- 19 A. A couple of comments had already been made -- there was
- 20 a question and answer meeting --
- 21 Q. I see.
- 22 A. -- with other social workers and other foster parents
- 23 there as well, and a couple of comments had been made
- 24 and I said, "Well, if you think everything runs as
- 25 smooth as you seem to think it does, it's not -- it

- doesn't run -- it's not hunky dory all the time. Things
- 2 do go wrong and there's times when emergency
- 3 arrangements have to be made."
- 4 Q. Okay.
- 5 A. I don't think it went down too well, but it was just my
- 6 answer to the way they were talking, the questions and
- 7 comments they were making.
- 8 Q. I think the particular issue that you were raising was
- 9 a child might come without things?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And then you would have to acquire them?
- 12 A. Not everyone was in the same sort of position as we were
- 13 financially, that we were able to purchase what was
- 14 required, and if someone had been living hand-to-mouth
- and had to try and use their own money then it would
- 16 have been embarrassing for some of them.
- 17 Q. Okay.
- 18 If we can go on over the page, please, to page 5 and
- 19 paragraph 22, you say that you couldn't guess at how
- 20 many children you and your wife fostered, particularly
- 21 because, as you've said, some of them were only with you
- 22 for a day or two.
- 23 A. Yeah.
- 24 Q. But it appears from what you've said that you had
- a number of children with you over the period 1979 to

- 1 1984?
- 2 A. Yeah.
- 3 Q. For varying periods of time. Okay.
- 4 And then at paragraph 23 you talk about the notice
- 5 period and you've already told us that you would get
- 6 a phone call and you say sometimes the notice could be
- 7 as little as an hour?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. Would they bring the child to your house then?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. I see. And would that be where there was some kind of
- 12 emergency?
- 13 A. I should imagine so. Sometimes if I was on night shift
- in the prison, I could see the lights in my house go on
- in the bathroom, be on for an hour or so, and I would
- 16 know that they'd brought a child because my wife always
- made sure they were washed and bathed and clean clothes
- 18 before she put them into their beds.
- 19 Q. So you can remember them coming, essentially, overnight?
- 20 A. They could. It didn't happen very often that time of
- 21 night, but it had happened.
- 22 Q. At paragraph 24 you talk about what you've already
- 23 mentioned, that sometimes your wife would be told that
- 24 the children were what was called a place of safety?
- 25 A. (Overspeaking).

- 1 Q. That was the basis upon which they were in care?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Okay. At paragraph 25 you say:
- 4 "It wasn't always troubled children we looked after,
- 5 as on a rare occasion we would be asked to look after
- 6 a child whose parent or parents were in hospital and
- 7 there was nobody else to look after them."
- 8 So when you say "troubled children", what do you
- 9 mean?
- 10 A. A lot of children were very angry and upset when they
- 11 came. I class that as a troubled child, that had
- 12 problems adjusting to being taken away from their home
- or their parents or their brothers and sisters.
- 14 Q. Okay. And then other times you say you would have to
- 15 look after a child who the parent was maybe in hospital
- 16 and not able to --
- 17 A. I remember one occasion they said the mother had had to
- 18 go in for surgery and she would be in the hospital for
- 19 a week or ten days, so not to expect it to be
- 20 a long-term placement. So ...
- 21 Q. Okay. At paragraph 26 you say that sometimes it could
- 22 be hard work.
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Can you explain or describe what would make it hard
- 25 work?

- 1 A. If the child was being very sullen and didn't want to do
- 2 what he was asked to do or -- I'm saying "he", it could
- 3 have been a girl, but very often until they actually
- 4 settled, they were very anti-social.
- 5 Q. Okay. And you say that the older children knew what was
- 6 happening and the younger ones were usually happy once
- 7 they got something to eat and drink and were
- 8 comfortable.
- 9 A. Mm-hmm.
- 10 Q. Do you mean that the older children took longer to
- 11 settle down?
- 12 A. No, the older children understood what was going on.
- 13 The younger children didn't really understand what was
- 14 going on, but as long as everything was going for them,
- 15 if you understand what I mean, then they were quite
- 16 happy.
- 17 Q. So you might have to adopt a different strategy in terms
- 18 of helping them to settle in, depending on their age?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Okay. And you refer to your own children and say that
- 21 they were a great help in helping them settle in.
- 22 A. They were indeed, yeah.
- 23 Q. If we go on over the page at paragraph 27, you say that
- 24 the routine that you had was exactly the same whether
- 25 you had children or not. Your family routine was the

- 1 same?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Okay. So getting up, going to school and such like, and
- 4 then I think you mention that sometimes at the weekends
- 5 you would go stock car racing at the weekends?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 Q. And that's something that you did with the foster
- 8 children as well?
- 9 A. As well, yeah.
- 10 Q. Is that something they enjoyed?
- 11 A. It was a great leveller. They enjoyed helping me fix
- 12 the car, paint the car with a paint brush, get covered
- in paint and oil.
- 14 Q. Okay.
- 15 A. Something totally different to their normal thing that
- 16 happened to them.
- 17 Q. Okay. At paragraph 29 you talk about wetting the bed.
- 18 A. Mm-hmm.
- 19 Q. You know that an applicant who has the pseudonym
- 20 'John-Paul' has given a statement to the Inquiry and
- 21 I think he talks in his statement about wetting the bed
- 22 occasionally and that you would tell him that he was too
- 23 old to be doing that?
- 24 A. (Witness shakes head). We only ever had the one child
- 25 that wet the bed and that was the eldest girl of the

- 1 three siblings we had.
- 2 Q. So you have no recollection of 'John-Paul' wetting the
- 3 bed?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. Okay.
- 6 At paragraph 30 you talk about again having supplies
- 7 for the children and you say that you had a stock of
- 8 clothes that you would use in emergencies?
- 9 A. That's right.
- 10 Q. So you had set those aside from your own children's
- 11 clothes and --
- 12 A. Some of them were hand-me-downs from my own children.
- 13 My youngest one was around the age of some of the
- 14 children that we were getting in.
- 15 Q. If we can move on to page 8 and paragraph 36, you refer
- there to schooling and you say that only one child you
- 17 fostered went to school?
- 18 A. There's -- sorry.
- 19 Q. And was that 'John-Paul' that went to school --
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. -- in , okay. You say then I think that you were
- 22 quite often looking after the children during the summer
- 23 holidays and schooling didn't come into the equation.
- 24 A. That's right.
- 25 Q. So although you had some older children, you mentioned

- the three siblings, they weren't going to school because
- 2 of the time of year it was?
- 3 A. As far as I remember, yes.
- 4 Q. Okay. And then in terms of the length of time that
- 5 children were with you, at paragraph 37, obviously
- 6 there's the first child that you had that you then
- 7 adopted. You then remember there was another child that
- 8 was with you for 11 months, but all of the other
- 9 children were with you for shorter periods of time?
- 10 A. Shorter periods.
- 11 Q. Okay. If I can move on, please, to page 9 and
- 12 paragraph 41, you talk there about discipline. How
- 13 would you deal with discipline if the children
- 14 misbehaved?
- 15 A. Depended on the circumstances. A lot of the times they
- 16 would squabble between themselves and squabble with my
- own children or just didn't want to do what they were
- 18 asked to do, so my wife would either say, "You can go to
- 19 your room" or, "You won't have your television, you
- 20 won't get to go and play with your toys". As I say,
- 21 nine times out of ten, if there was a dispute I wasn't
- 22 there, I was at work.
- 23 Q. Okay. And when you came home from work, were there
- 24 occasions where your wife said that somebody had
- 25 misbehaved and that --

- 1 A. She had had to tell them off or whatever.
- 2 Q. And would she ask you to step in and discipline them?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. Did you ever smack the children?
- 5 A. Never. Never smacked my own children either.
- 6 Q. You say at paragraph 42 that having said what you've
- 7 said in paragraph 41, you say that you rarely had
- 8 problems with the children.
- 9 A. That's right.
- 10 Q. You can't really remember --
- 11 A. Very rarely had any upsets at all.
- 12 Q. Okay. At paragraph 43, this is asking you about your
- 13 relationship with the foster children, and you say that
- 14 you feel that the children saw you as the man of the
- 15 house?
- 16 A. Well, they accepted that I was the father of my own
- 17 children.
- 18 Q. And you felt that they respected your place in the
- 19 household?
- 20 A. I was never told any different.
- 21 Q. Okay. And you say there that you would say that you
- 22 showed the children affection. If they were upset about
- 23 something, you would try to help them or point them to
- 24 your wife?
- 25 A. Yeah.

- 1 Q. Okay. If we go on over the page to page 10 and
- 2 paragraph 45 --
- 3 LADY SMITH: Just before we go on to paragraph 45, 'Bob',
- I see that you tell us the children tended to see you as
- 5 the "man of the house". What do you mean by that?
- 6 A. I was the only adult male in the house.
- 7 LADY SMITH: I get that, I just wondered whether you were
- 8 trying to convey something more than as a matter of fact
- 9 you were the only man there?
- 10 A. No. No, no.
- 11 LADY SMITH: And when you go on and say you felt they
- 12 respected that, what do you mean?
- 13 A. That they knew that it was our house, they were living
- in our house, and I was my own children's father and my
- 15 children treated me with the same respect as I treated
- 16 my parents with.
- 17 LADY SMITH: Did you feel they knew you were in charge?
- 18 A. I wouldn't say in charge, no.
- 19 LADY SMITH: Were you in charge?
- 20 A. No, my wife's in charge of the house.
- 21 LADY SMITH: All right. Thank you.
- 22 MS INNES: So looking at page 10 and paragraph 45, you talk
- 23 about contact between the foster children and their
- 24 parents.
- 25 A. Mm-hmm.

- 1 Q. How was that arranged?
- 2 A. Well, the social workers -- if there was any contact at
- 3 all, social workers would have arranged it. We took
- 4 some children down to the social work centre in Shotts
- 5 and left them there and went back an hour and a half,
- 6 two hours. The parents had had contact with their
- 7 children.
- 8 Q. Okay, so sometimes the social worker would take them to
- 9 the local social work office --
- 10 A. In Shotts, yeah.
- 11 Q. -- for contact. Did parents ever come to your house for
- 12 contact?
- 13 A. Only once was a parent brought to our front door. We
- 14 weren't happy with it because as far as we were
- 15 concerned it was a place -- a place of safety order.
- 16 Q. Right.
- 17 A. And apparently the parent had been giving the social
- 18 workers some upset and he said, "I'll take you to see
- 19 the children", and he spoke to the child and walked away
- 20 again quite happy and we never had any contact with
- 21 anyone after that.
- 22 Q. So that was a parent who had come to the door --
- 23 A. With a social worker.
- 24 Q. -- with a social worker?
- 25 A. Yeah.

- 1 Q. Other than that, did you have any direct contact with
- 2 any parents?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. And any contact that the children had was arranged by
- 5 the social work --
- 6 A. There was only one time they were allowed to make
- 7 a phone call but they weren't allowed to know the number
- 8 they were calling from or calling to, but they spoke to
- 9 their parents.
- 10 Q. Okay. And from what you say in your statement, 'Bob',
- 11 it sounds like most of the children that you had had
- 12 fairly limited contact with their parents, if any?
- 13 A. Well, when they were with us, very limited contact. As
- I say, if they were only here for a day or two, they
- 15 wouldn't have had a chance to contact them anyway.
- 16 Q. Okay. And then at paragraph 49 you mention siblings
- 17 that lived with you that you've already mentioned. Can
- 18 you remember children in your care having contact with
- 19 siblings who were perhaps in other care settings?
- 20 A. No, as far as I believed, these three children were
- 21 just -- the only three children in that family.
- 22 Q. Okay. But what about other children that were placed
- 23 with you? So 'John-Paul', who we'll come on to speak
- 24 about a little bit more, I think we know that his
- 25 brother, for example, was living somewhere else at the

- 1 time.
- 2 A. We didn't know that at the time.
- 3 Q. I see. Okay. And then at paragraph 50 you talk about
- 4 visits from social workers and you say that they came
- 5 roughly every fortnight?
- 6 A. Something like that. In my memory it's a fortnight that
- 7 sort of sticks in my brain.
- 8 Q. Okay. Obviously if the children were there long enough?
- 9 A. Long enough, yeah.
- 10 Q. And you say that you would either let them see the
- 11 children alone in their room or sometimes the social
- 12 workers would sit with them in their car?
- 13 A. I can't remember them sitting in their car, to be honest
- 14 with you.
- 15 Q. Okay.
- 16 A. It's the opportunity to sit in the living room and talk
- 17 to the kids by themselves or up in the bedroom by
- 18 themselves or go for a walk by themselves. It was --
- 19 everything was there. We had no limitations on how they
- 20 saw the children.
- 21 Q. Okay. And I appreciate that you may have been working
- 22 some of the times that the social workers came, but on
- any times that you can remember, can you remember the
- 24 social worker taking them out for a walk or going to
- 25 their bedroom and talking to them separately?

- 1 A. No, no, they'd sit in the living room and talk to them
- 2 and we always kept out the way.
- 3 Q. Okay. At paragraph 51 you say that you can't remember
- 4 any reviews or inspections being carried out?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. So you did the original application and after that you
- 7 obviously saw social workers when they came to visit the
- 8 children but you didn't have any kind of review of
- 9 yourselves as foster parents?
- 10 A. No, not at all.
- 11 Q. You say at paragraph 52 that it's hard to say if the
- 12 children were listened to and you say:
- "... many of them didn't want to be where they were
- 14 and tended to be against [you] when they arrived."
- 15 A. That's correct.
- 16 Q. Why were they against you when they arrived?
- 17 A. I don't know whether they saw us as being the reason why
- 18 they'd been taken away from their parents. It's hard to
- 19 say what would go through a child's mind at that time,
- 20 but like I said in my statement, they would tend to
- 21 settle after -- once they realised that life was as good
- as, if not better, than they'd come from, then they
- 23 settled down.
- 24 Q. You say that some of them -- well, they often didn't
- 25 want to leave?

- 1 A. I know one or two didn't want to leave, yeah.
- 2 Q. And then you say:
- 3 "Social workers simply told the children what was
- 4 happening and that would be it, so in that sense [you]
- 5 didn't think the children's views were taken into
- 6 account"?
- 7 A. If it was time for them to go back to their parents or
- 8 off to somewhere else, then that's what the social
- 9 worker would say, "We've come to take you to whatever",
- 10 so whether that meant the child wasn't listened to,
- 11 but -- it's hard to say what a child thinks when that
- 12 sort of thing happens.
- 13 Q. Do you know if children were given much explanation as
- 14 to why they were moving and leaving your house, for
- 15 example?
- 16 A. No. We didn't listen to that sort of conversation.
- When the social worker came to see the children, unless
- 18 they asked us to stay, we always vacated the room.
- 19 Q. Okay. So the discussion or explanation about why the
- 20 children would be leaving is something that would be
- 21 dealt with by the social work department?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Rather than yourselves?
- 24 A. Yes. Nothing that -- the children knew it was nothing
- 25 to do with us.

- 1 Q. Okay. Can you remember them ever asking you after
- 2 a social worker had been there, "Why am I leaving?
- 3 Where am I going next?" Asking you and your wife
- 4 questions?
- 5 A. Only once did we have any reason to question what was
- 6 going on. Our hobby was racing stock cars and our
- 7 summer holiday was going around the racing at different
- 8 tracks and the three children we would needed to have
- 9 made arrangements with them to be able to come with us
- 10 and the social worker never gave us an answer, never
- 11 gave us an answer, and then he said to the children one
- day that we didn't want to take them on holiday with us,
- 13 they'd have to go into the children's home and they
- 14 never came back.
- 15 Q. Okay. Did you raise with the social worker the fact
- 16 that you had asked for them to be able to go with you?
- 17 A. The oldest -- the eldest of the three children was in
- 18 contact with my eldest daughter and my youngest daughter
- 19 and 40 years later they're still in contact with each
- other. So that's how we knew what had been said, that
- 21 we didn't want to take them on holiday.
- 22 Q. I see. So at the time you were just told that they were
- 23 moving and you weren't given an explanation?
- 24 A. Just said that it was close to the time that they would
- 25 be moving on anyway.

- 1 Q. I see.
- 2 A. So they wouldn't be coming on holiday with us. They
- 3 didn't say that they wouldn't be coming back from the
- 4 children's home.
- 5 LADY SMITH: You said that you would need to have made
- 6 arrangements, would that be with the social workers, to
- 7 have the children go with you? What arrangements are
- 8 you talking about?
- 9 A. Well, we wanted permission for them to be able to come
- 10 with us when we were going away. We would go away for
- 11 a week to different places up and down the country. And
- 12 we wanted to know that they were going to still be with
- 13 us so that we could make arrangements for accommodation,
- 14 et cetera, things like that.
- 15 LADY SMITH: Sorry, are you talking about the children going
- 16 away on holiday with you?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 LADY SMITH: Or --
- 19 A. We wanted to know from the social work whether they had
- 20 a vision of them moving on before we went on holiday.
- 21 Our holidays were organised weeks in advance with
- 22 camping sites, et cetera, et cetera, and I wanted to
- 23 know if they were going to still with be us and they
- 24 couldn't give us a straight answer.
- 25 LADY SMITH: I see. Thank you.

- 1 MS INNES: And then you say that later in life you
- 2 understand that what the children were told, those
- 3 children were told --
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. -- is that you didn't want to take them on holiday?
- 6 A. We didn't want to take them on holiday with us.
- 7 Q. And your position is that wasn't correct information
- 8 that was given to them?
- 9 A. They loved the stock cars. That was something they
- 10 really looked forward to.
- 11 Q. At paragraph 53 you talk about records and you say that
- 12 you were never asked to keep any records and looking
- 13 back, such things would have been helpful?
- 14 A. Definitely.
- 15 Q. What are your thoughts in relation to that? What sort
- 16 of things would it have been helpful to keep a record
- 17 of?
- 18 A. Having any information on the child that maybe came from
- one home to another -- to another home, what their likes
- 20 and dislikes, what their -- how long their temper
- 21 tantrums would last or whatever. Any information would
- 22 have been handy but it was just ... there you are, there
- 23 are the children, you'll find out for yourself sort of
- 24 thing.
- 25 Q. Then at the time that they were moved on, was there any

- 1 way of you giving information to their next carer about
- 2 things like --
- 3 A. We didn't know -- nine times out of ten we wouldn't have
- 4 known where they were going, whether they were going
- 5 back home or going on to another foster parent or
- 6 whatever.
- 7 Q. If we go on over the page to page 12 and paragraph 54,
- 8 you talk about that sort of thing there where you say
- 9 again you would just get a call from the social worker
- 10 saying they were coming to collect the child.
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Would you be given much notice of that?
- 13 A. Very often it was followed -- you know, "We're coming to
- 14 tomorrow". Sometimes it was, "We're coming in three
- 15 hours or four hours". We didn't know what the
- 16 circumstances were. We never questioned it. We just
- 17 got the child ready or got their belongings together.
- 18 Q. And you say you would get their things together in
- 19 preparation, so would they have suitcases or what would
- you do with their things, do you know?
- 21 A. Co-op bags, things like that.
- 22 Q. Sorry?
- 23 A. Plastic bags.
- 24 Q. Is that what children would come with as well?
- 25 A. Sometimes they never came with anything, so -- and it

- 1 was brought by the social worker maybe the following day
- 2 or whatever.
- 3 Q. Then you say you were never given any information as to
- 4 why the children were moving on or where they were
- 5 going?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. Do you think it might have been useful to have that sort
- 8 of information so that you could have discussed that
- 9 with the child?
- 10 A. Well, to say to the child that, you know, they were
- 11 going away somewhere, if they were going somewhere to
- 12 another foster parent and they would start asking why,
- 13 I wouldn't have an answer to that because as far as we
- 14 were concerned they were only with us until such times
- as they were going back to their parents or -- so to
- answer a child with a, "I don't know" to me wouldn't
- 17 have been fair on the child. It was the social workers'
- 18 responsibility to convey to the child what was
- 19 happening.
- 20 Q. Okay. Do you think the social worker also had
- 21 a responsibility to tell you what was happening, to give
- 22 you that sort of information?
- 23 A. If they think we needed that information, but personally
- 24 I don't think a lot of the times having that information
- 25 would have made any difference at all.

- 1 Q. Okay. Why not?
- 2 A. Because if they were moving on to somewhere, we had no
- 3 input or output into what they were going into. If they
- 4 were going to another foster parent, they were going to
- 5 another foster parent. Obviously the social worker
- 6 would have had a reason for moving them on, whether it
- 7 was going to be a longer-term placement or something,
- 8 I don't know.
- 9 Q. Okay. At paragraph 56 you say that you weren't aware of
- 10 any procedure as such to make any complaints but you had
- 11 the impression that if you said something to a social
- 12 worker when they visited the children it would be
- 13 followed up.
- 14 A. You would have expected it to be followed up, yeah.
- 15 Q. Can you remember if you had any occasion to make any
- 16 complaints?
- 17 A. Well, only one time we said about 'John-Paul's' attitude
- 18 to being in care.
- 19 Q. And what did you say about that, can you remember?
- 20 A. Well, I told the social worker he wasn't happy and if he
- 21 wasn't happy and he's not going to settle, then maybe we
- 22 weren't the right foster parents for him.
- 23 Q. Okay. If we go over the page to page 13 and at
- 24 paragraph 61 you say that the only allegation of abuse
- 25 that you're aware of is the one made by 'John-Paul'; is

- 1 that right?
- 2 A. That's correct, yeah.
- 3 Q. And at paragraph 62, you mention that he made
- 4 a complaint to the police about you a few years ago.
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And how did you become aware that he'd made a complaint
- 7 to the police?
- 8 A. The police come to the door and asked me to go to the
- 9 Coatbridge police station.
- 10 Q. Okay. So you just had to go to the police station
- 11 and --
- 12 A. (Witness nods). We went there there and then, yeah.
- 13 Q. I think you mention there that your wife wasn't
- 14 questioned because the complaint was against you?
- 15 A. Yeah.
- 16 Q. And the matter didn't go any further?
- 17 A. Never heard another word.
- 18 Q. Okay. You say that you had no problems with the way in
- 19 which the matter was handled by the police?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. Okay. Were you being questioned about matters which are
- 22 similar or the same as 'John-Paul' has said in his
- 23 statement to the Inquiry? Or was it different things
- 24 you were being asked about?
- 25 A. No, I was asked about whether I assaulted him with

- 1 a baton.
- 2 Q. Okay. If we go on, please, to paragraph 65, you talk
- 3 there about the length of time that each of you think
- 4 that you were in the placement and I think 'John-Paul'
- 5 in his statement says he was with you for about six
- 6 months. You thought it was a matter of weeks.
- 7 A. It was a matter of weeks rather than months, yeah.
- 8 Q. And I think we know it was about four months, so
- 9 somewhere in between the two, okay. At the time that
- 10 you prepared your statement, you said that you can't
- 11 remember him running away.
- 12 A. Not running away as such. There was one occasion where
- 13 he had gone for a walk -- this is what he told my wife
- once, my wife and another prison officer's wife had gone
- 15 and picked him up, he said he was going to go to -- walk
- 16 to Airdrie and he was going to go and see some of his
- 17 relatives. That was the only time he ever done that.
- 18 Q. Okay. So you can't remember him running away from
- 19 school or from home at any other time?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. Okay. Then at paragraph 67 we see there that he says
- 22 that you "hit him a couple of times a week on the arms,
- 23 the back of the legs and my back with a wooden baton.
- 24 I was bruised and I showed the bruises to the social
- 25 workers and they asked what had happened."

- 1 And then he says that you say that he was in fights
- 2 at school and that's why he was bruised and he says that
- 3 he remembers getting upset and asking the social workers
- 4 why they didn't listen to him.
- 5 So did you ever hit 'John-Paul'?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. Did you ever hit him with a baton?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. Did you have a baton in the house?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. Did you have anything like a baton, like a baseball
- 12 bat --
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. -- or anything like that?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 LADY SMITH: Did you have one at work?
- 17 A. I had one at work, yes.
- 18 LADY SMITH: Thank you.
- 19 MS INNES: Did you ever take that home?
- 20 A. Very, very rarely would it have been still in my pocket
- 21 when I went out the gate up to the house.
- 22 Q. Okay. If we go over the page to paragraph 69, you refer
- 23 to 'John-Paul' saying that you had your baton and
- 24 handcuffs in a cabinet in the house. So if you took the
- 25 baton home with you accidentally, as you've said, would

- 1 you put it into a cabinet in the house?
- 2 A. No. We didn't have a cabinet.
- 3 Q. Okay. Where would you put it?
- 4 A. Nine times out of ten, if it was in my pocket it's when
- 5 I've gone home for a meal, if I was on a day shift and
- 6 gone home for a meal, then it would still be stuck in my
- 7 pocket. But normally when I was going off shift it
- 8 would go into my locker in the prison and when I went on
- 9 shift I would take it out the locker and put it in my
- 10 pocket.
- 11 Q. He also mentions there having handcuffs in the house?
- 12 A. No, prison officers don't have handcuffs. We didn't
- have them in our day. Handcuffs had to be signed for in
- 14 the reception when you were going out somewhere on
- 15 an escort and they had to be signed back in again when
- 16 you came back, so you never had handcuffs in your
- 17 possession.
- 18 Q. So did you ever have handcuffs at the house that you can
- 19 remember?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. Then at paragraph 70 it quotes there from 'John-Paul's'
- 22 statement where it says that were you used to dealing
- 23 with prisoners and you brought that home with you. Do
- 24 you think that's right?
- 25 A. No.

- 1 Q. He says that he was a difficult child and he must have
- 2 annoyed you to a stage where you hit him. So would you
- 3 describe 'John-Paul' as a difficult child?
- 4 A. He was cantankerous.
- 5 Q. And did you get annoyed with him?
- 6 A. I would get upset with him sometimes if he was really
- 7 being anti-social, if you understand what I mean.
- 8 Q. What do you mean by him being anti-social?
- 9 A. He always used to say he didn't want to be there and
- 10 I said, "I've told your social worker that you don't
- 11 want to be here but your social worker thinks that this
- is the place for you to be, so until such times as the
- 13 social worker moves you, I'm afraid this is where you're
- 14 going to stay".
- 15 Q. When you say that sometimes he could be cantankerous and
- 16 you might get annoyed with him, how would you get
- 17 annoyed? What sort of things would you do?
- 18 A. I'd say, "We're trying to help you, not trying to make
- 19 your life uncomfortable, we're trying to help you."
- 20 Q. He says here:
- 21 "I was angry and confused that I had been separated
- 22 from my family and was a bit of a rebel."
- But he says that his view is that you should never
- 24 have been a foster carer.
- 25 A. That's his opinion.

- 1 Q. And he talks about being with you a disappointing start
- 2 to his journey in foster care and he says it had a big
- 3 impact on him. Do you have any comment in relation to
- 4 that?
- 5 A. No. We were only -- all we ever done when we fostered
- 6 was try and help the child go back to normality or
- 7 something as good as we could give them.
- 8 Q. Okay.
- 9 At paragraph 71 you say again there that you never
- 10 raised a hand to him and you say there another thing
- 11 that you would add is that 'John-Paul' said in his
- 12 statement that you referred to prisoners as scumbags.
- 13 Is that a term you would have used?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. Okay. Do you have any idea why 'John-Paul' would say
- 16 these things about you and would have gone to the police
- 17 and made a complaint?
- 18 A. I haven't got a clue why this has been said or the
- 19 allegation was made. I haven't got a clue.
- 20 Q. Okay.
- 21 At paragraph 74 on page 16 you say there that you
- 22 think that records must be kept and you've mentioned
- 23 about records for transition of the child from one
- 24 placement to another and you say:
- 25 "Records must be kept including details of any

- awkward conversations with the children or details of
- 2 any incidents that occurred."
- 3 Can you tell us your thoughts about that?
- 4 A. Well, as I've said before, it would be handy to have had
- 5 records so that you understood what the child was coming
- from or what their quirks were, but as far as, as it
- 7 says, awkward conversations, any first conversation you
- 8 have with the children was awkward because nine times
- 9 out of ten, as I've said, they didn't really want to be
- 10 there and you had to convince them that this was the
- 11 place where -- would help them.
- 12 Q. Okay. And you think it would have been helpful to have
- 13 noted that conversation I think is what you're saying
- 14 here.
- 15 A. Yeah, if the child had been hard to deal with when they
- 16 first came -- I mean, some of the children when they
- 17 came, they were absolutely wonderful when they came in
- 18 the door. They were all smiles and as happy as a child
- should be, but some of them were really really upset,
- 20 which is -- it's understandable a child's going to be
- 21 upset.
- 22 Q. Okay. Now, can I ask you, please, to look at some
- 23 records. They'll come up on the screen in front of you.
- 24 It's NLC-000000203 and if we can start, please, at
- 25 page 5.

- These are from 'John-Paul's' social work records.
- 2 So the date of this is 1983, so I think this is
- 3 after his placement with you had come to an end and it
- 4 says here that:
- 5 "'John-Paul' was placed separate from the other
- 6 children [I think that means his siblings] in a specific
- 7 foster home which would encourage structure and
- 8 discipline."
- 9 And it's referring here to your foster home. So can
- 10 you remember the social workers speaking to you about
- 11 encouraging structure and discipline with 'John-Paul'?
- 12 A. Not so much the discipline, but the -- we understood
- 13 that he was awkward and that it would take a bit of time
- 14 to bring him down to a level where he was willing to
- 15 listen and help himself and be helped rather than "I'll
- do what I want when I want to who I want".
- 17 Q. And how did you go about achieving that goal?
- 18 A. Well, he was actually very interested in helping us with
- 19 the stock cars, he liked the stock car racing, he
- 20 thought it was absolutely wonderful, so that was a great
- 21 leveller with all the children that we ever looked
- 22 after.
- 23 Q. Okay. It goes on in the next sentence to say "he
- 24 resented being placed in care".
- 25 And is that correct?

- 1 A. Oh, he definitely didn't want to be in care.
- 2 Q. And it then says:
- 3 "He resented being placed in care and isolated from
- 4 his friends and resisted attempts to restrict his
- 5 behaviour and upgrade his standards."
- 6 Is that correct?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. It then says:
- 9 "He tried hard to respond to the wishes of the
- 10 social worker but refused to trust or communicate with
- 11 the foster parents."
- 12 Is that your recollection?
- 13 A. Oh, he definitely -- he definitely didn't want to be
- 14 with us and we -- we were the enemy as far as he was
- 15 concerned.
- 16 Q. Okay. It then says:
- 17 "He used school to vent his frustrations and was
- 18 often in trouble with teachers (bullying, stealing)."
- 19 Can you remember him being in trouble at school?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And how did you react to that?
- 22 A. We told him that if he kept on like that, he would
- 23 eventually end up in an awful lot of trouble. He just
- 24 couldn't continue being a bully.
- 25 Q. Then it says:

- 1 "He absconded twice and thwarted planned transfer of
- 2 placements by absconding from school in early June."
- 3 And then I think there's reference that goes on to
- 4 speaking about his maybe going to live with his brother.
- But if we can go back a bit now, please, to page 1,
- 6 this is a minute of a fostering panel on 7 March 1983 in
- 7 Motherwell, and you and your wife were present at this
- 8 meeting. And again just for your information, he was
- 9 placed with you on , so it's after he
- 10 was placed with you.
- If we scroll down, please, to the bottom where it
- 12 says:
- "Areas of concern as indicated in residential review
- 14 form."
- 15 At the bottom of the page.
- 16 A. Mm-hmm. I can just see it.
- 17 Q. So maybe if we scroll down a little bit further please,
- 18 yes, that's fine, thank you. And then it says there
- 19 that you and your wife:
- 20 "... have been experiencing problems with
- John-Paul'. Last Saturday he ran away. [You and your
- 22 wife] alerted the police and he was found later after
- 23 about four hours. A woman saw him crying and took him
- 24 into her house and called the police. When questioned
- 25 about the incident, 'John-Paul' said he was going for

- 1 a walk but later confessed to the social worker
- 2 (Jack Young) that he was trying to get back to Airdrie."
- 3 And I think that's the incident that you mentioned
- 4 in your evidence that you remember?
- 5 A. Yeah.
- 6 Q. Okay. That he was trying to get back to Airdrie maybe
- 7 where his family lived?
- 8 A. Some sort of relatives.
- 9 Q. Okay. And then it says:
- 10 "Since Monday, 1938 when this was all
- 11 discussed, 'John-Paul' has been [at the top of the next
- 12 page] behaving better, for example he tidied up his room
- and made his bed. [You and your wife] gave him money
- 14 which he thanked them for and cuddled [your wife]. This
- is something that must have taken a great deal of effort
- for 'John-Paul' to do. John-Paul told the social worker
- that he is always hungry at [your house]. [Your wife]
- 18 thinks this is probably because he was always used to
- 19 stuffing himself with sweets, crisps and ginger at his
- 20 own home and was probably bagged up by eating this kind
- 21 of thing."
- 22 Do you remember that being an issue when 'John-Paul'
- 23 came to live with you?
- 24 A. Yeah, my wife did actually speak to the doctor about it,
- 25 about -- there seemed to be a smell emanating from his

- skin and wondered why and the doctor said it
- 2 was -- like having strong curries, et cetera, et cetera,
- 3 or garlic, that eventually it would work itself out with
- 4 proper -- proper food, it eventually would come through
- 5 his system.
- 6 Q. And then it talks about you and your wife getting him
- 7 a watch for his birthday?
- 8 A. Mm-hmm.
- 9 Q. Which he thought he had to leave behind when he left.
- 10 A. Yeah, that was a present, so ...
- 11 Q. Okay. Then if we go on to the next page, please, page 3
- and the first section there, so "(, I think this is
- 13 still in . It's a home visit to you and
- 14 it says:
- "It is becoming clear that you and your wife see
- 'John-Paul's' placement as a task to be completed."
- 17 Was that your view?
- 18 A. No. No.
- 19 Q. It says:
- 20 "They do not particularly like him and are finding
- 21 the placement hard work."
- 22 A. Well, the placement was hard work. I wouldn't say we
- 23 didn't like him. That was -- that's not a fair
- 24 statement at all.
- 25 Q. Okay. Then there's reference to the children seeing

- their mother and then at the 23rd it says:
- "Home visit to [you and your wife]. 'John-Paul'
- 3 doesn't like [you and your wife], he does not trust them
- 4 and they don't trust him. They have some justification
- 5 because he refuses to accept responsibility for any
- 6 misbehaviour. Basically 'John-Paul' is unable to
- 7 comprehend the foster parents' demand for limitations on
- 8 his behaviour and is trying to resist or survive being
- 9 forced to accept boundaries and responsibilities."
- 10 Do you think that's accurate?
- 11 A. It's very accurate. I wouldn't say we didn't trust him,
- 12 but we had to be careful what we allowed him to do
- 13 because if he was bullying other children, because of
- 14 where we lived there was a lot of other children there
- as well, so we had to keep an eye on what he was doing.
- 16 Q. And then if we go down to
- 17 "Headmaster at the primary school phoned to say that
- 18 'John-Paul' was involved in a serious fight with a less
- 19 adequate boy and that there were rumours of him bullying
- 20 younger kids for money and sweets. I phoned ..."
- 21 The social worker says he phoned your house and it
- 22 describes you and your wife as being really uptight:
- 23 " ... taking the matter personally as a slight on
- 24 their good name."
- 25 Is that how you felt about the way he was behaving

- 1 at school?
- 2 A. No, I wouldn't say it was a slight on our good name. We
- 3 were upset that he was beginning to behave in our house
- 4 but still misbehaving when he was away from the house.
- 5 Q. Okay. Then it says:
- 6 "Problem is that you use withdrawal of spending
- 7 money as a punishment ..."
- 8 Was that a punishment that you can remember using?
- 9 A. Well, maybe had told him he wasn't going to get
- any pocket money or wasn't going to get any money for
- 11 sweets when the van came that night. As I say, a lot of
- 12 times I wouldn't have been there so I can't make
- 13 a comment on that.
- 14 Q. Then it says that 'John-Paul' seems to always be in
- 15 trouble. It then says, and this is the social worker's
- 16 comment:
- 17 "They also unconsciously withhold their emotional
- 18 support and comfort which leaves 'John-Paul' feeling
- 19 isolated. He cannot relate in the home setting so acts
- 20 up at school."
- 21 Do you have any comment on that?
- 22 A. Well, as I say, he didn't -- he didn't want to get close
- 23 to either of us as a family unit. He understood why we
- 24 were -- you know, that he was there and it was our house
- and we had rules and people, you know, had to abide by

- 1 a certain standard of living, but as far as anything
- 2 else is concerned, he just did not want to be away from
- 3 where he wanted to be and he found it hard to accept.
- 4 Q. Okay. And then the next section is April 1983 and at
- 5 the 21st it says that a Cath Vass visited you. It says:
- 6 "We are trying to extend time between visits to
- 7 allow 'John-Paul' to respond naturally to [you and your
- 8 wife] and also to allow [you and your wife] to exert
- 9 their own influence and authority on the boy."
- 10 So it looks as though the social workers had taken
- 11 a view that they were going to not visit as frequently.
- 12 A. Uh-huh.
- 13 O. And then --
- 14 A. No, that was never explained to us.
- 15 Q. Okay. And then May 1983, the 2nd, this is an office
- 16 discussion between the social workers and the writer
- 17 says:
- "I expressed my concern about 'John-Paul's'
- 19 placement. [You and your wife] have worked hard trying
- 20 to help 'John-Paul' adjust to living within acceptable
- 21 family boundaries and to some extent have succeeded in
- 22 making him aware of the need for rules and the need to
- 23 share. Unfortunately they now seem unable to back off
- 24 and help him overcome his emotional instability and
- 25 feelings of isolation."

- Do you know what the social worker is talking about
- 2 there?
- 3 A. Well, I can see what they're getting at there, but
- 4 I don't see us unable to back off and help him overcome
- 5 his instability. All we ever tried to do was help him
- 6 integrate into our family that he could be happier.
- 7 Q. Yes, so I think we can see there a date, the 11th, so
- 8 it's in the bottom half of the page:
- 9 "Home visit to [you and your wife]. I had a long
- 10 talk and a long walk with 'John-Paul'. He seems to
- 11 understand the need to be in care but does not like [you
- 12 and your wife] and wants to be with his brother."
- 13 Is that --
- 14 A. That's virtually hitting the nail on the head, yeah.
- 15 Q. And then there's -- on the 17th it refers to there being
- an emergency home visit because he had absconded and
- 17 then it says that you and your wife were at the end of
- 18 your tether.
- 19 "I explained to 'John-Paul' that I was searching for
- 20 suitable foster parents for him but that he had to show
- 21 some signs of effort with [you and your wife]."
- 22 And then there's reference to an issue with school.
- 23 If we can go over the page, please, on 24 May:
- 24 "Home visit. Took 'John-Paul' to see his brother.
- This gave him a boost. [You and your wife] felt that he

- 1 should have been punished."
- I don't know what that's referring to.
- 3 A. (Witness shakes head). No. Maybe I would have said
- 4 that because he's playing up, he's being given
- 5 everything he wants so by playing up he's getting what
- 6 he wants so maybe he should be deprived of -- statements
- 7 we used to say, "You're not getting pocket money" or
- 8 whatever, so if you've been told because of your
- 9 behaviour you're not going to go and see your brother or
- 10 you'll have to wait another week or whatever, I might
- 11 have said something like that, but to be quite honest,
- 12 that surprised me, saying that.
- 13 Q. Okay. And then at 1983 on the there's an entry
- 14 there and it says:
- 15 "'John-Paul' absconded from school because he was
- 16 accused of fighting. Home visit to [you and your wife].
- 17 Mutual agreement that he moves on."
- 18 And then there's reference to him moving and it
- 19 says:
- 20 "Took him to [your home] to collect clothes. He
- 21 made [you and your wife] feel guilty by thanking them
- 22 and giving them a big hug."
- 23 Can you remember him leaving?
- 24 A. Yeah.
- 25 Q. Do you remember him leaving and what happened when he

- 1 left?
- 2 A. I can remember him being -- actually being upset. Well,
- 3 in my view him being upset.
- 4 Q. And then there's reference to the and then it says:
- 5 "Phoned ..."
- 6 An Ann Loyghrin who is described as a social worker
- 7 for you and your wife?
- 8 A. I don't remember that name.
- 9 Q. " ... who has concerns about their suitability as foster
- 10 parents. I told her I was making no complaints but that
- 11 they had carried out good work in disciplining
- 12 'John-Paul', finding difficulty only in readjusting to
- 13 cope with his emotional state."
- 14 So that seems to have been the discussion between
- 15 the social workers after 'John-Paul' had left your care.
- 16 A. I'm quite surprised when it says she has concerns about
- 17 their suitability as foster parents. We never ever had
- 18 anyone question or queried our suitability as foster
- 19 parents.
- 20 Q. Okay.
- 21 MS INNES: Okay. That's all I want to refer to in the
- 22 records and I have no more questions for you, 'Bob', and
- 23 there are no applications, my Lady.
- 24 LADY SMITH: Are there any outstanding applications for
- 25 questions of 'Bob'?

- 1 'Bob', that does complete all the questions we have
- 2 for you today. Thank you for engaging with us as you
- 3 have done, both by recently providing your written
- 4 statement, I have that, I've read it and it's very
- 5 helpful, but also by coming here today and answering our
- 6 questions as patiently as you have done. I'm grateful
- 7 to you for that.
- 8 A. Thank you.
- 9 LADY SMITH: And I'm now able to let you go and I hope you
- 10 have a restful afternoon.
- 11 A. Thank you.
- 12 (The witness withdrew)
- 13 LADY SMITH: I'll stop for the lunch break now and we'll
- 14 return at about 2 o'clock and that's when we'll move to
- 15 a read-in; is that right?
- 16 MS INNES: Yes, we have read-in evidence only this
- 17 afternoon.
- 18 LADY SMITH: Thank you very much.
- 19 (1.08 pm)
- 20 (The luncheon adjournment)
- 21 (2.00 pm)
- 22 LADY SMITH: Ms Rattray.
- 23 MS RATTRAY: Yes, my Lady. This afternoon we start with
- 24 a read-in which is a statement of an applicant who
- 25 wishes to remain anonymous and has the pseudonym

- 1 'Derek'.
- 2 LADY SMITH: Thank you.
- 3 MS RATTRAY: 'Derek's' statement is at WIT-1-000000018.
- 4 'Derek' was in the care of Strathclyde Regional Council.
- 5 He was in three foster placements, the first with Mr and
- 6 Mrs in Stevenston, Ayrshire from 1981
- 7 until 1982, the second with short-term
- 8 foster carers, Mr and Mrs in from
- 9 1982 to 1983, and the third
- 10 placement, which is the focus of his evidence, was with
- 11 William Quigg (WQ) and FHE in Clydebank and then in
- 12 Glasgow, from 1983 to 1988, when
- 'Derek' moved out of the placement but then returned on
- 1988 until the placement was terminated on his
- 15 18th birthday later that year.
- 16 The successor authority is Glasgow City Council.
- 17 LADY SMITH: Thank you.
- 18 'Derek' (read)
- 19 MS RATTRAY: "My name is 'Derek'. I was born in 1970. My
- 20 contact details are known to the Inquiry.
- 21 Most of my knowledge about my life before going into
- 22 care is from my records, which Birthlink provided for
- 23 me. I was born in Renfrew. There were five kids, I was
- 24 the youngest. My brother was a year older than me, my
- 25 sister was two or three years older than me, my other

sister was three or four years older than me and my

other brother is the eldest. He may be eight or ten

years older than me.

I believe there was uncertainty about my father and whether he was my father. My mother and father had a strange relationship. He would work for four weeks in Newcastle and then come up to Scotland for a couple of weekends to be with our family. He had another family in Newcastle. There's another six children down there.

From the reports, five of us children were living with my mother. She was obviously in financial difficulties. My mother found it difficult to cope. Concerns were raised about her parenting skills. She couldn't pay the rent and she was in debt. There were threats of eviction. One day my mother went off for a job interview on the Isle of Lismore and asked a neighbour to watch us children. She didn't come back.

My father had disappeared. There is evidence that my mother put adverts in the newspaper asking him to get in touch and that she was in difficulties with the children. There are also newspaper articles about my mother going off and leaving the kids with a neighbour. Social work asked my mother's mother and father if they would take us on. My grandparents were retired by then and taking five kids on would have been too much. The

1	five of us were put into care at Atholl House."
2	From paragraphs 6 to 19 'Derek' speaks of his
3	experiences in Atholl House in Glasgow, Secondary Institutions - to
4	Secondary Institutions - to be published later
5	Secondary Institutions - to be publis
6	- Cocondary materialist to be publis
7	From paragraphs 20 to 58 he tells of being separated
8	from his siblings and sent to Barrholm Children's Home
9	in Largs Secondary Institutions - to be published later
10	Secondary Institutions - to be published later
11	
12	From paragraph 59, 'Derek' speaks of being returned
13	to his mother and her husband for a year between the
14	ages of six or seven, and where he was subjected to
15	abuse. He says it was horrendous. The abuse and
16	neglect was constant.
17	Then he recalls his mother dumping him at the door
18	of the social work department and saying that she didn't
19	want him back. 'Derek' was returned to Barrholm
20	Children's Home.
21	Moving now to paragraph 80 on page 17:
22	"The authorities were wanting to close children's
23	homes. Anyone who had been in a home for a long period
24	of time was moved out. I was 10 or 11 years old when

I was moved to the family in Stevenson.

- The soldest daughter worked in the amusement arcade in Largs. We struck up a friendship. For some reason John Duffy placed me with her."
- My Lady, earlier in his statement, 'Derek' says that

 John Duffy was his social worker and he was nice but

 ineffectual. John Duffy had never taken 'Derek's' view

 which was that 'Derek' wanted to be adopted and not

 fostered.

- "I was 10 or 11 years old. In the house were the oldest daughter, her sister, her brother and her mum and dad. The children were all in their late teens. Mr and Mrs were elderly. Mr was dying of lung cancer.
 - Social work placed me very inappropriately. I was very young. Mr and Mrs couldn't handle having an 11-year-old. There was no help or assistance given to Mr and Mrs I was very much that I was at the 's by their grace so I had better appreciate it. You were not part of the family. You were made to feel that. The school at Stevenston was nice. I enjoyed being there.
 - One day the younger daughter and I were sitting in the kitchen. I said to her, 'Shut up Mrs Sneezy'. The next minute my bags were packed and John Duffy arrived. I'd been there for about eight months.

I went to a home in Paisley near the Mills. Secondary Institutions - to be published later

Secondary Institutions - to be published later

Now 'Derek' speaks about his second placement with Mr and Mrs in ::

"There was a woman, a man, their son and their daughter. The woman and man were horrible, just nasty. Their son was a year above or below me at school. Their daughter was slightly older. The woman and man were both alcoholics. I should never have been placed with them. I ended up doing the housework and looking after everybody.

The mother eventually took her two children and went down south for three months. It's in my social work records that social work accepted this was a holiday.

I was left to look after this grown man in his late 40s.

The man would have partners round and have sex. They would drink. The family had a dog that pooed on a tiny balcony they had.

There was no abuse but it was absolute neglect. The man and woman had no interest in me as a child, they were just getting in the cheque for fostering.

John Duffy visited me several times and said that this was the best they had. Even when the woman left and

- I had just gone to high school. It was a long walk

 to get there. The science teacher said to take a big

 sniff from a bottle he had. It was ammonia, which burnt

 the lining of my nose. My eyes and nose were streaming

 for weeks.
- Again out of the blue John Duffy came and said he had a foster care placement with WQ-FHE.

10

11

12

13

14

15

- I was 11 or 12 years old. Living in the house were

 FHE , William Quigg and William Quigg's

 adopted son. FHE was not his adopted

 parent. William Quigg had adopted him and another

 child, a boy. The adopted son was in his late teens.

 He had left school. The other boy had left the house.
- Both of WQ-FHE worked. FHE was the chief
 accountant for an assurance company. William was in
 charge of the Youth Training Scheme in Dumbarton.

 Later, FHE was one of the managing directors.
- William went from the Youth Training Scheme to the
 Bearsden Courier and ended up being the editor. It was
 a two or three man operation. He then worked in
 a children's home. Before that, he had worked in
- Quarriers and in a children's home in Jersey or
- 25 Guernsey. He finished up doing property development.

1 WQ-FHE had been engaged for 25 or 30 years and
2 had only married three weeks before I arrived. WQ-FHE
3 WQ-FHE told me that they married because the law had
4 been changed and a single male could no longer foster
5 children, it had to be a couple. William Quigg was
6 about 47 years old.

The house was a semi-detached house which had been subdivided. WO-FHE lived in the upper villa. You went straight in the door and upstairs. On the ground floor was the original sitting room, a small bedroom, a rear sitting room with a dining area, a kitchen which had stairs going out the back and a bathroom. The small bedroom was the first bedroom I was in. Upstairs it had an open landing and a bedroom behind.

I had been taken to WQ-FHE by John Duffy on a couple of visits. The first visit was for the day.

I spent three or four hours with them while John Duffy was still there. I had one weekend visit with them.

Within a matter of weeks, I moved in.

At the first visit, I thought I'd hit the jackpot.

WQ-FHE seemed fun and interesting. William Quigg

was a Scout Commissioner and FHE was involved in

the Scouts too. Comparative to my existence, they were

affluent. I thought it would be nice. I was getting my

own room and there was only one other guy in the house.

1 On the weekend of the overnight visit, WQ-FHE 2 had taken me out shopping and we had done some bits and 3 bobs. William was the main carer, FHE stood back. After the overnight stay, I asked John Duffy if there 5 was any alternative. John Duffy said because of my age no one else was going to take me so it was this or 6 7 nothing. I said could I not go back to Barrholm? 8 John Duffy said that Barrholm was closing, all the children's homes were being closed down and this was my 9 10 only alternative. 11 There is also this wanting to have a family. I was 12 drawn to FHE , in seeking a mother. I could tell very quickly, even in those first few visits, that she 13 14 didn't want that. It was very clear FHE 15 fostering me because William wanted to. She seemed subservient to William. He set the tone and direction 16 17 of everything. WQ-FHE was a mixed bag. If I'd still been in Largs rather than at the ..., I'd 18 have insisted I stayed in Largs. 19 20 The second day I was there, FHE had gone off 21 to do shopping. The adopted son and I were in the 22 lounge with William on our own. William made an improper suggestion. He was doing the usual, 23 24 tickling and playing with me on his knee. He started to 25 be inappropriate in terms of touching me in

- inappropriate areas under my clothing. He asked his son
 to come over and expose himself.
- His son came over and exposed himself. William
 asked me to touch his son's penis. I said no. William
 brushed it off as a joke and being funny. William gave
 me the heebie-jeebies. Even at that stage I knew there
 was something not right. I didn't want to go there. If
 there had been any alternatives, I would have taken
- 9 them.

I went to St Andrew's high school, which was around the corner. FHE took a back seat from the word go. William did all the day-to-day tasks. There was no respite from William Quigg because he was the main carer. The only respite I had from the abuse was going to school. The school was tough. I was timid. I was being abused at home and I was clever. Instead of going home after school, I'd walk around the streets, anything rather than go back home. I tried to avoid going to the house but you can't avoid going home for your dinner or going to sleep.

- Christmas was usually Bill, ____, the son and myself. I called them FHE and Bill.
- 23 What I'm about to tell you is a tiny bit of what
 24 I can remember. The bit I can remember is a tiny bit of
 25 what actually happened. The abuse wasn't a one-off. It

happened over six years. It wasn't like being in
a football club and when you were there someone abused
you but the rest of the time you were okay. This abuse
was all the time.

The abuse started almost immediately. The abuse was daily. Bill would put me to bed at night. He would say 'we'll get you in the bath' and he'd wash me. There was inappropriate touching. That is as much detail as I wish to give about that. That soon escalated. When Bill was drying me, he'd be touching my private parts, front and back, trying to put his fingers inside me.

Bill would tuck me in bed at night. It started with him touching me inappropriately. That is as much detail as I wish to give about that. I would try and turn over and move away. It was always part of some sort of game, tickling and being silly. Even to this day it always surprises me about FHE. For me as a parent, if one parent was always putting the children to bed and there was always a bit of a ruckus, I would start to ask questions. I was always unsure why she never asked questions. I believe now that this was because she knew what was going on.

When Bill was putting me to bed, FHE would be in the lounge or the kitchen. would never put me to bed or say goodnight. I would go into wherever

she was and say goodnight. I became anxious about going
to bed and WQ-FHE then had a challenge about me
going to bed.

The first overt thing Bill did was one night when he was putting me to bed. I don't know if was even in the house. Bill touched me inappropriately while he masturbated himself. He completed the action. He walked out of the room and said I'd better clean myself up. I wondered if there was something I could do to call him out, to catch him. That type of abuse became a regular thing.

The abuse became more severe. The first Christmas

I spent at WO-FHE Bill started not just touching but
trying to insert his fingers into my backside. That had
a physical effect, I have fissures. When I poo, it's
sore and unpleasant. I became constipated because I was
holding it in, not wanting to go to the toilet. Going
to the toilet reminded me of what was going on. I knew
there was an escalation in the abuse.

Quigg blackmailed me. He said I wouldn't get a BMX bike unless I allowed him to do something else, the next stage. That was to have anal sex, although I didn't realise it at the time. The first Christmas, I never got the BMX bike. It was held off until January. It only arrived because FHE kept asking why I wasn't

- 1 getting the bike.
- 2 The other boy who I had thought had been adopted
- 3 came up on a visit. It was very clear he had been
- 4 sexually abused by Bill. He said to me, 'Has Quigg
- fucked you yet?" I was astounded. I didn't really know
- 6 what that meant.
- 7 I had a couple of wee pals, two boys. I didn't
- 8 realise at the time but Bill was grooming me to bring
- 9 them to him. They stopped coming to the house. I could
- 10 never understand why. I asked them and one boy said
- Bill was an old perve and had tried to fiddle with him.
- 12 The other boy never told me. I asked him years later
- and he said Quigg had sexually assaulted him on a couple
- 14 of occasions.
- I told Quigg about my brother. He asked if I wanted
- 16 him to visit and said maybe he could foster him as well.
- 17 My brother came on a visit. It was a one-off. Years
- 18 later I met him. The first question he asked was,
- "Quigg was a paedo, wasn't he?" My brother said Quigg
- 20 tried it on with him and that was why he didn't stay.
- One day very early on I thought there was nobody in
- 22 the house. I was looking for people and went from room
- 23 to room. I went upstairs. There was an open landing
- 24 area. I saw William Quigg on top of his adopted son.
- 25 The first time I brought up the abuse with FHE

```
FHE and John Duffy was very early on. It was Guy
1
2
        Fawkes night and the neighbours had made a big bonfire.
 3
        I was very uncomfortable being at WQ-FHE
                                                   and I had
        seen the son being abused. FHE asked me how
 5
        things were going and did I like being there. I said to
        her that I didn't like it and I wanted to go. I said
 6
7
        Bill was strange and I didn't want him to put me to bed.
8
            As an adult, if one of my kids said that to me, I'd
        immediately be suspicious, thinking what was going on.
 9
        However, went into a strange mode. She said
10
11
        it was my only chance to be part of a family. FHE
12
        made out it was me, I wasn't a perfect child. I was
        confused. I asked to let John Duffy know.
13
14
        FHE said she would phone John Duffy and tell him
15
        to take me. The fear of losing a family and all the
        rest of it kicked in and I said no, don't.
16
17
                    must have phoned John Duffy because very
        soon afterwards John Duffy came to WQ-FHE
18
                                                   . He took
19
        me out in his car. I told John Duffy I didn't like
20
        Bill Quigg and I didn't want to be there. John Duffy
21
        hammered home that the bottom line was: this is it,
22
        you're 11 or 12 years old and nobody else is going to
23
        take you. It was either WQ-FHE or back into a home.
        I ended up staying with John Duffy in his family home
24
25
        for a weekend after a few episodes like this.
```

John Duffy did ask why I didn't want to be at the 1 2 WQ-FHE . I was reasonably explicit, the more times 3 I met him. The weekend I went to stay in his house 4 I was very clear, as clear as a child could be. I told 5 John Duffy that Bill was being inappropriate with me, that he was touching me and I didn't like it. I said 6 7 I didn't like Bill putting me to bed. Anybody with some 8 semblance of common sense would know what all that meant. That weekend, John Duffy said to me that it was 9 the price you paid to have a family. He seeded this 10 11 doubt in my head, maybe this was the price you had to 12 pay for the other bits, knowing where you were going to sleep and not having to fight for your socks. 13 14 For the first time, I felt absolutely destitute, more so than I had with my birth mother. I thought: 15 this is it, this is what I need to put up with. There's 16 17 no way FHE could not know what Bill was doing to the son and I. 18 19 At high school there was a priest who did pupil 20

At high school there was a priest who did pupil guidance. I told him what Bill was doing to me. The priest never sexually exploited or abused me but became very inappropriate. He saw it as a green light.

I can't remember his name.

21

22

23

24

25

When I was about 13 years old, we moved to a small, two-bedroomed house. Downstairs was the living room,

- 1 kitchen and bathroom. Upstairs were two bedrooms back
- 2 to back. We didn't stay there long.
- 3 I had a terrible time at school there. I was really
- 4 bullied and had the crap beaten out of me. I was
- 5 a wreck by then.
- 6 It was harder for Bill to abuse because we were all
- 7 in close proximity but he still did. Every time I got
- 8 changed he would be there. When I got changed into my
- 9 pyjamas at night he would be there. There was
- 10 inappropriate touching of me at the front and trying to
- 11 put his fingers in my backside. Bill would expose
- 12 himself and rub himself.
- 13 That was the first experience I had of Bill being
- 14 aggressive. I was crying, getting upset and making
- 15 a noise. Bill put his hand over my mouth. He told me
- 16 to shut the fuck up and pushed me against the bunk bed.
- 17 The back of the bed hit my head.
- On at least two occasions the son walked in. He
- 19 just smirked, shut the door and walked back out. It
- 20 wasn't a smirk as in laughing or condoning it, it was
- 21 more an acknowledgement. He was probably thinking he
- 22 was glad it was me and not him.
- I had a big fight with WQ-FHE . I can't remember
- 24 what it was about. I said to FHE I could get Bill
- 25 locked up for a long time. She knew exactly what I was

- talking about. I don't know if Bill and FHE

 about it, but from then on I was marked as
- 3 a troublemaker.
- 4 We all moved to Glasgow. The street is a horseshoe
- 5 shape. There are five houses down each side of the
- 6 horseshoe. The house was Bill's family home. His
- 7 father had died and his mother still lived there.
- 8 FHE stayed initially but subsequently she moved to
- 9 her mother's house in the same street. Her mother still
- 10 lived there.
- 11 When you went into the house the lounge was on the
- 12 right, you went through the lounge to the kitchen and
- 13 through the kitchen to the scullery. There was
- 14 a downstairs bathroom. There was an extension for
- 15 Bill Quigg's mum which had an ensuite bedroom. Upstairs
- 16 was the front room, that was Bill and FHE
- 17 There was another room which was divided. Bill built
- a shelving unit that made one bedroom into two. One
- 19 side was the son's and the other was mine. There was
- 20 another bedroom for Bill and FHE .
- I had to walk to school in Clydebank. It took me
- an hour and a half every day. That never bothered me,
- 23 it was time away from Bill. It was so cold I'd have my
- 24 pyjamas on underneath my school uniform. There was
- 25 never any money for bus fare.

Later, the son found his birth mother so it was just Bill, me and Bill's mother in the house. I stayed in bed and didn't go to school for a while. I could see no way out of it. Sometimes I could see that school and education was the route. All my friends had stopped coming up to the house. This was during the time of AIDS and HIV and all that stuff. I carried the weight of that, thinking 'Jesus, what if I got something like that?' Bill played on that later.

Just after fourth year at school I had a part-time job in Wimpy in Glasgow. Dressed up as Mr Wimpy I walked up and down Sauchiehall Street. Even then, kids beat the shit out of me. They toppled me over with the big feet that were part of the costume. I couldn't get up. That was the story of my life then. I started to see some light, that the worst, getting chucked out and being on the street, was better than what was going on.

It was at the house in Glasgow that the real abuse started. There was most space. It continued that Bill would bath me, change me and organise bedtime. I was getting older so it was more forced. Bill would come into the bathroom when I was in the shower or into the bedroom when I was getting changed.

25 I started to fight back. I reverted to putting

teddy bears in my bed and sleeping down the side of the
bed on the floor. Bill questioned that and I ended up
sleeping in the bed. Bill would come in when I had just
about gone to sleep. I'd feel his hand underneath the
bed clothes. He would start feeling my leg, then my
private areas, my backside and my back. Bill would be
masturbating.

On one of those occasions I was half fighting him off and he grabbed me. Bill forced his penis into my mouth. He forced the action of pulling my head backwards and forwards and ejaculated into my mouth. I got up and started shouting at him. He forced me against the wall with his arm and put his arm against my throat. He was shouting and he threatened me saying he'd make me out to be a liar. The same thing happened regularly. It was a common occurrence.

Another time Bill came into the bedroom and was trying to put his fingers up my rear end and forcing me to perform oral sex. I took a wee craft knife and threatened him with it. It was laughable. He was a huge man, well over six feet tall. There was no real way of fighting him off.

On one occasion Bill forced me onto the bed and sat on my face, front forward. He did the same action that he'd done before, pulling my head backwards and

- forwards. Bill's mother walked in and shouted, asking
- 2 what was going on. Bill didn't even lie or suggest any
- 3 plausible excuse. It was brushed off. He then left.
- 4 I was upset, in tears and physically hurt. I ran down
- 5 to the bathroom. Bill's mother was just standing in the
- 6 hall. I don't know if she was surprised but she knew
- 7 what was going on.
- 8 Sometimes the son was in the room, asleep, or
- 9 downstairs. He said in Bill Quigg's criminal trial that
- 10 by this time he was acquiescing to the abuse of him by
- 11 Bill because he'd been threatened that he'd be put back
- 12 in a care home.
- 13 Quigg tried to normalise all of the abuse. He tried
- 14 to create a wee harem for himself. Supposedly FHE
- and Bill's mother weren't getting on very well so
- 16 FHE had moved across the road to her mother's.
- 17 Bill knew that was him in total charge. After a period
- 18 of time, his mother couldn't really get up the stairs.
- 19 She was down the stairs. She could physically get up
- 20 the stairs but she didn't.
- 21 After the episode where his mother had walked in on
- 22 Bill abusing me. She took an immense dislike to me.
- 23 She made me feel like Oliver in Oliver Twist. She made
- 24 me feel that I should count myself lucky that I was even
- 25 there in her house. There were family weddings and

- 1 celebrations I'd never be invited to, I was left at
- 2 home.
- With FHE gone, things escalated quickly.
- I was 13 or 14 years old. Quigg started to use verbal
- 5 and physical threats, and violence as well. There were
- 6 many times he had me by the throat in the hall or
- 7 dragged me up the stairs by the back of the head. Bill
- 8 pushed me around and kicked me. I was a tiny, thin,
- 9 scrawny teenager. Bill came into my room and threw
- 10 things around.
- 11 John Duffy disappeared from the scene. From leaving
- 12 the first of WQ-FHE homes in Clydebank to leaving
- 13 care, I had no social work visits at all. It is
- 14 documented in my social work records that social work
- 15 made appointments to come and see me but Quigg always
- had a reason or an excuse why they couldn't see me.
- 17 Financially, emotionally and physically, I was
- 18 imprisoned. I had no way out. I couldn't see how
- 19 I could possibly leave. Social work weren't even a part
- 20 of it any longer.
- 21 The first time Quigg raped me, I was heavily
- 22 constipated. I told FHE and she must have told
- 23 Bill. I went into the shower. Bill undid the lock from
- 24 the outside and came into the bathroom. He said he
- 25 heard I was constipated. I said no, it was fine. Quigg

- said he had Fairy Liquid and I had to put it up my bum.
- I said no, I didn't want to.
- 3 The shower was over the bath. Quigg grabbed me by
- 4 the back of the head. I was fighting, kicking and
- 5 shouting. He put me face down in the bath and pulled me
- 6 out. I cut all my arm on the glass shower screen.
- 7 Quigg got me down onto the carpet. The bit I always
- 8 remember is that my head was jammed in behind the back
- 9 of the toilet and the smell of the toilet, the toilet
- 10 fluid and the pee. Quigg put the Fairy Liquid up my
- 11 back passage and his fingers. Then he forced his penis
- 12 in. To begin with, I was shouting, telling him to get
- off. Then I thought the best thing to do was to shut up
- or I might not survive this. I was in fear of my life.
- 15 People always concentrate on the physical aspect of
- 16 rape. They think of the physical pain. The physical
- 17 pain was there. What was difficult for me after that
- 18 was being degraded, being forced into a position like
- 19 that and knowing that he was getting off on me being
- 20 helpless. Quigg wasn't getting off on the sexual act of
- 21 raping me, he was getting off on knowing that I was
- 22 utterly without any power to do anything about it.
- 23 Quigg then got up and left. I sat in the bathroom
- 24 for quite a while. Then I had a shower. When I left
- 25 the bathroom, Quigg's mother was standing there. The

- door to her bedroom was right next to the bathroom door,
- 2 at the bottom of the stairs. She gave me a huge tirade,
- 3 calling me dirty, saying she heard what was going on and
- 4 it was me leading her son on. She was vile. Out of the
- 5 corner of my eye I could see Quigg standing down the
- 6 hall. Even that gave him some sort of gratification.
- 7 I pushed past Quigg's mother and ran up the stairs.
- 8 She was elderly and when I pushed past her, she ended up
- 9 with a black eye. I don't know if she fell over or
- 10 caught her face on the door. Whenever I said or did
- anything after that, that's what they used. WQ-FHE
- 12 would say that they would tell the police I assaulted
- 13 Bill's mother. I was terrified by that.
- 14 It became a situation where I acquiesced to the
- 15 abuse. There was nothing I could do. It almost became
- 16 a regular event for the full time I was there. There
- 17 was no let up, there was no freedom.
- 18 There was an occasion when I was in the shower and
- 19 Quigg opened the door and came in. I told him to fuck
- off. There was more and more verbal confrontation.
- 21 Quigg was getting more physical, holding me against the
- 22 wall and stuff. I fought him off. I had decided that
- on that day I was going to fight him, whichever way it
- 24 came out. If I died, I died, but the abuse would stop.
- 25 I was naked in the shower fighting him.

Quigg dragged me out of the shower and we ended up on the stairs. He had misjudged his footing. Then I was upstairs, naked, kicking Quigg as he came up the stairs. Eventually he got me by the throat, using his arm against me, which he did a lot. Quigg said he was going to kill me. I said to bring it on, I'd die but he'd be in jail. That was the moment he realised the power had shifted. I could see it. I was 16 years old. For a bit, Quigg kept his distance. He would still be inappropriate and would still try, but I could see there was something different.

On several occasions I walked in on Bill performing a sex act on his adopted son. You had to go through the lounge to the kitchen. Sometimes I found Bill on the son or Bill with his private areas forced against his son's face. I asked the son why he didn't do something. I said to him the next time we could cut his balls off. I think he had become resigned to what was going on.

Sometimes the son and Bill knew I had seen them.

Sometimes they acknowledged that I was there. Bill would tell me to get out or shout. Other times I backed out of the room.

Quigg was also involved with other families. I'm sure some of them have now come forward to report abuse. He was involved with a young family in a high rise flat

near old Drumchapel. We would go to their flat. There
was a woman on her own with two sons. I had to wait in
the car and Quigg would go up there for two or three
hours. He helped another woman who was on her own with
a young son.

I went back and forward to FHE 's, still seeking this motherly relationship. When I was around 13 or 14 years old, on a visit to FHE , FHE 's mother asked me if Quigg had ever messed around with me. I told her that Bill was sexually abusing me. I had said that loud enough, with the intent that would hear. I could see that FHE had heard the conversation, she was looking uncomfortable. The conversation took place in the kitchen with the lounge door open. FHE 's mother said I'd better not say anything because it would get FHE into trouble and I didn't want that.

On one occasion I went with FHE to visit her sister who lived in Cumbernauld. We were coming back in the car. FHE wet herself. She had enuresis after a hysterectomy. I don't want to use the word but almost resented and had a hatred for the son and I. We got into a discussion. FHE was jealous that her husband was more into us than her. I couldn't understand why she was jealous of what I was going

- 1 through.
- When we parked, I asked if she realised what was
- 3 actually going on. I said Quigg was abusing the son and
- 4 I. I said he could be locked away for life and she had
- 5 done nothing about it. FHE slapped me right
- 6 across the face. She told me not to say anything like
- 7 that ever again. If I did, they would say I assaulted
- 8 Bill's mother. I said I was going to phone social work.
- 9 The next day they put a lock on the phone. I'm crazy
- 10 with myself that I never followed it up. I wouldn't
- 11 have known who to phone.
- 12 I told a neighbour about the abuse. In the 1980s
- 13 there was a criminal investigation and the police came
- 14 to talk to me in England, where I had moved to.
- 15 Somebody must have told the police. I've never had it
- 16 confirmed but during the criminal trial of Bill Quigg,
- 17 at which I gave evidence, the Advocate Depute said it
- 18 was a neighbour. It must have been the neighbour I had
- 19 told.
- 20 Some time in 1986 there was a big fight. Quigg, his
- 21 mother, his big sister and I were there. Quigg had told
- 22 his sister that I had hit his mother during an argument
- 23 when his mother's eye was accidentally bruised on the
- 24 occasion that he had raped me. I told Quigg's sister
- 25 that he had raped me. Quigg's sister lived in

Johnstone. She was a foster parent. I said Quigg was
a paedophile in front of his mother and sister.

Bill's sister said Bill had told them about it. He had said it only happened twice and it was consensual, I had led him on. I argued back. I asked her if she was stupid. I said I was only 15 years old and he was a 50-year-old man. I thought the adults around me were crazy. Quigg used his mother having a black eye as a threat. I just said, "And?" During the fight Quigg said I'd better get myself checked for HIV and AIDS as I was probably going to have it. In those days you had to wait a month for the result. I was shitting myself. I thought that was an evil thing to do.

After the fight was the first time I saw a social worker from the time I was living at the first of the WQ-FHE homes in Clydebank. The social worker came out to the house. He was from Old Drumchapel. I can't remember his name. Quigg and his mother tried to say it was me, that I was unmanageable. I was very clear why I was leaving. I told the social worker absolutely everything about the abuse over two or three occasions. The first occasion was in WQ-FHE house. Quigg had told me I should get tested for HIV and AIDS.

The social worker from Old Drumchapel who I had told about the abuse said they didn't have anywhere that

- I could go to. I had to go to Stopover in Glasgow.
- 2 That was a homeless unit in Govan. He arranged for me
- 3 to go to Stopover.
- 4 It was 1987. I was 16 years old. I was in
- 5 a homeless unit with druggies and alcoholics around me.
- 6 The other residents were older than me, teenagers to
- 7 people in their early 20s. I went from Stopover in the
- 8 centre of Glasgow to Clydebank to do fifth and sixth
- 9 year of school. I got my O-levels and my highers.
- 10 I did reasonably well. I knew the one thing Quigg
- 11 couldn't take from me was school, the foundation to do
- 12 something, to move forward. I was bright.
- There was no help from social work or from WQ-FHE
- 14 WQ-FHE . I had no contact with my family. I was lost.
- 15 I was still trying to get any kind of engagement from
- 16 FHE that would be a form of love or support.
- 17 I was still chasing that mother relationship.
- 18 said Bill wanted to see me. I said no. This went on.
- 19 FHE said Bill wanted to settle things with me.
- I thought he wanted to apologise or bribe me. I thought
- 21 if I got away with a couple of hundred quid, fuck it,
- 22 I'm walking out the door with something. I was naive.
- 23 I met Quigg at the back of what had been Goldberg's
- 24 shop in Argyll Street. It had all been knocked down.
- 25 Quigg parked his Ford Granada on the waste ground. He

had no remorse. He was savage. Quigg had me against
the window, shouting and bawling at me, saying I was
dirty and if I told anybody I'd be made out to be
a liar. Quigg said his sister agreed I'd led him on.

That was the last time Quigg sexually assaulted me. When we were fighting in the car, Quigg got me into a position where he made me perform a sex act on him. That is all I want to say about that. At that point I knew, no matter how many times I went back to that situation, what I was hoping for, family and apology, was never going to happen."

And now to paragraph 164:

"I met the same male social worker who had come to Quigg's house in a café in Glassford Street, Glasgow. I told him everything about the abuse. I was very angry. I wanted to sue the council. The social work were bastards. The social worker said I couldn't sue, nobody would believe me and I had no evidence. They knew about time limits for civil actions. Imagine, you are thrown out of care, you are on the streets trying to survive what's happened to you and you are expected to raise an action within three years. You wouldn't even know what that meant at that stage. I wouldn't have known who to raise an action against. The social worker said the best they could do was give me a moving-on

- 1 bursary. It was £300 or £400. To a 16-year-old who is
- on the street, you think okay. You almost saw it as
- 3 a settlement.
- 4 Social work's response was not appropriate. They
- 5 were told about the abuse. They had a corporate
- 6 responsibility to action that and to reflect on their
- 7 practice that had failed. They would have realised
- 8 their mistakes, the fact that they hadn't seen me for
- 9 four or five years when they were made aware of what was
- 10 happening. They didn't do anything about it.
- I met up with FHE whilst I was at the
- 12 Stopover hostel. asked me if Bill had ever
- 13 touched me. I broke down and told her everything from
- start to finish. astounded me. She looked me
- 15 straight in the eye and said if I ever opened my mouth,
- 16 they would crush me.
- I was 16 years old. I had £36 and no options.
- 18 I went to Buchanan Street bus station. I asked where
- 19 I could go for £36 that wasn't London. The guy said
- 20 that there was a bus going to the Midlands. I imagined
- 21 a little village with a Pickett fence.
- 22 When I was down south, FHE got back in touch
- 23 with me. I didn't know what the motive was.
- 24 FHE 's niece said FHE wanted to talk to me
- 25 about what went on, she still couldn't grasp what

- 1 happened. I had gone to Scotland to see my real
- 2 grandmother. We met in Glasgow.
- 3 the same questions. I wasn't telling her anything she
- 4 didn't already know.
- 5 FHE drove me back to my grandmother's house.
- 6 She said to me, 'You do know nobody will ever believe
- 7 you, it's your word against ours'. FHE said I'd
- 8 better not tell anybody. She and Bill were in the
- 9 process of adopting two boys. They'd moved to
- 10 Weymss Bay. I thought okay, there you go again.
- 11 When I was 17 or 18 years old, after I'd moved to
- 12 England, FHE got back in touch with me. Her niece
- 13 had come down south to start work and was a bit lost.
- 14 My friend and I took the niece under our wing. One of
- 15 the first questions she asked me was if Bill Quigg was
- 16 abusing me. I said he had. She said it was openly
- 17 discussed in the family that Quigg was abusing us, they
- 18 all knew about the son and I were being abused. None of
- 19 them did anything about it.
- 20 I asked why FHE hadn't done anything about the
- 21 abuse. The niece tried to argue FHE didn't have
- 22 the self-worth or confidence to do anything. You can't
- 23 be the director of a multinational organisation and have
- 24 no self-confidence.
- 25 Around that time, I went for a job interview in

- 1 Aberdeen where FHE 's brother lived with his
- wife. He was the only sensible one out of all of them.
- 3 I went to stay with them and the first question they
- 4 asked me was whether Bill Quigg had abused me. I always
- 5 thought it was the neighbour I had told or the brother's
- 6 wife who grassed Quigg up.
- 7 Years later, when I was about 30 years old, I got to
- 8 know the son of the people who lived in the
- 9 horseshoe-shaped street in Glasgow. He asked me if
- 10 Quigg was a paedophile and had he abused me. I said he
- 11 had. He said everybody knew."
- 12 From paragraphs 174 to 176, 'Derek' speaks of his
- 13 life after care. He adopted two children, not because
- 14 he wanted to be a dad but because he could give them
- a better life than they would have had in care.
- Moving now to paragraph 177 on page 37, where
- 17 'Derek' speaks about impact:
- 18 "Going through this whole narrative about abuse,
- 19 what has really struck me about my own experience is
- 20 that when I spoke to the Confidential Forum and the
- 21 police everybody concentrates on the physical and sexual
- 22 abuse that happened, particularly William Quigg. The
- 23 abuse is also neglect and a lack of consideration of
- 24 children's needs. It's only recently, when you start to
- 25 look back, you think what we thought was normal was

- child abuse. We thought it was daily life, being
 whacked with slippers, slippers flying up and hitting
 you on the head, being hit with the belt and being
 roughly treated. We all got the belt at school so you
 thought if you got the belt at school and hit at home
 with a slipper, that's the way adults do it.
 - According to my social work records, throughout my
 time in care I was never a management problem. I was
 a little mouse as a kid, very quiet, did what I was
 asked and went to school. I was a pleasant, pleasing
 child. I remember moving with my things in plastic bags
 as a child. When I moved house, there is not one
 plastic bag to be seen. I buy lots of containers and
 everything gets put away."
 - And now to paragraph 180 on page 38 and the last sentence of that paragraph:
 - "During the night I wake up with chest pain, the shakes and dreams about Quigg and the abuse."
- 19 And now to paragraph 184:

"Looking back at my time at WO-FHE." I often
thought about suicide. There were no positives there in
any shape or form. What you need and want as a child in
terms of support, love and compassion, I got from
neither of them. Some people might argue FHE
didn't have self-esteem or Bill was controlling but not

- that I could see. Bill wasn't abusive towards
- 2 FHE . There was no reason FHE didn't have
- 3 the wherewithal to do something about it.
- 4 I visited for respite but was treated as
- 5 the instigator of Bill's behaviour. I was seen as the
- 6 one responsible. That was very disappointing. That has
- 7 been very hard for me to accept throughout my life.
- 8 FHE chose not to do anything about the abuse.
- 9 I sought a mother-son relationship with her but that was
- 10 not forthcoming. I am standoffish with women. I hold
- 11 them at arm's length and see them as untrustworthy.
- 12 The effect of the abuse on my life has been huge.
- 13 It pervades every aspect of my life. William Quigg
- 14 inserting his fingers into my backside had a physical
- 15 effect. I have fissures. There is damage down there
- 16 which makes it uncomfortable. When I poo, it's sore and
- 17 unpleasant. The whole thing of going to the loo is very
- 18 unpleasant for me. I get constipated because I don't
- 19 like going to the loo. I can go for a shower and all of
- 20 a sudden start thinking about those years.
- I feel that if the abuse hadn't happened, my
- 22 operating level would be eight or nine out of ten.
- 23 I operate at five or a six. For most people, their
- 24 operating level is a five or a six. It's only recently
- 25 I've realised I need to operate at a lower level,

- 1 quieter, slower, with less challenges and short-term
- 2 goals. I've never reached my potential. When I was in
- 3 Stopover I was destitute. I thought how do you get out
- 4 of this? It's only now I've started to put myself
- 5 first.
- 6 When I left for England I reflected on what had
- 7 happened. I knew that none of what happened was my
- 8 responsibility, I've always known that. I thought about
- 9 every instance of abuse and neglect. I wrapped it up,
- 10 put it in a box, wrapped it in a bow and threw it off
- 11 the bus. We'd probably call it cognitive behavioural
- 12 therapy now. It was a way for me to say they robbed
- 13 your childhood, your innocence, your adolescence, the
- 14 joy of your life. You can either deal with the
- 15 consequences of that for the rest of your life, which
- 16 you do anyway, and let it instruct and direct your life,
- or you can say it's not mine, I'm not going to own it.
- 18 That's what I did. It's not mine and I don't own it.
- 19 I'm very sad for the loss of my innocence and
- 20 childhood, for the loss of my teenage years and young
- 21 adulthood. I will always grieve for that, no matter how
- 22 wonderful life becomes.
- 23 The abuse has had a massive effect on work. I was
- a senior manager earning £60,000 a year.
- 25 I travelled to India and Australia. I could never

1 sustain a job. After a time, it would become 2 overwhelming because I can go for a shower and the abuse 3 is there. I can go to bed feeling quite nice and then have a whole night of terror. I'll never the long 5 career I hoped for. There's been a huge impact on my relationships. 7 I don't know if I'm gay or straight. If I'm gay, is 8 that because of the abuse? I feel lost regarding sex and intimacy. It brings me out in a cold sweat. My 9 initial reaction is to pull away. I'm not comfortable 10 11 with gay sexual acts, being with a man and being classed 12 as gay. I don't know how to learn to enjoy relationships. Sexual exploration that people do when 13 14 they are teenagers has always felt dirty. It's something I don't want to do. I feel it's something 15

Certain touches and approaches can change my reaction immediately. My initial reaction is to defend and attack. I don't like my partners or my children touching me around the neck, even when the children were toddlers. I have found it difficult to navigate the physicality within the relationships with my children. I often found their need to be on top of me. clinging to me uncomfortable and threatening. Now they are young adults I feel myself withdraw from physical contact with

I have to do for my partner. I'm not keen to do it.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- them. This has had a detrimental effect on them and our
- 2 closeness.
- 3 In relationships I've put up with things I shouldn't
- 4 have put up with. I don't know when to say enough is
- 5 enough. I don't know when it's okay to be angry and to
- 6 show anger. I'm not sure when it's okay to say fuck
- 7 off. I would like some lessons in that. In every
- 8 instance I back off, except when it's litigious. I'm
- 9 a great letter writer.
- 10 I often experience a state of awareness where I'm
- 11 vigilant to what's going on as if I'm getting ready for
- 12 an emergency. When I take a shower I have a dark
- 13 feeling, an unpleasantness. It's linked to the way the
- 14 water hits my body. It triggers memories of sexual
- 15 abuse I experienced whilst showering.
- 16 I don't know if I'm a people person who pulls away
- 17 from society or an introvert who feels forced into
- 18 society. I would never drive on the motorway, I avoid
- 19 the volume of people. I have sought comfort in
- 20 inappropriate ways by overspending and irrational
- 21 spending. I have run up debts seeking to create the
- 22 feeling of stability and niceness.
- I carry anger with me but I've never caused society
- one day's grievance. I've been respectful and
- 25 courteous. I've never been arrested, I've never taken

drugs, I've never overdone alcohol. There is no recognition for that. Nobody has ever said well done.

When we adopted the children and the social workers had completed looking at my background, the social worker said the most offensive thing to me. She said they were amazed by me and my resilience. The social worker said I was doing so well. She was judging me by the big house, the big car and the big job. The social worker didn't judge me by how happy I was. The social worker said she didn't know how I got to where I was because she usually found people like me in the gutter. I said to her that said more about her expectations of survivors of child abuse than it did about the actual survivors.

I am emotionally fatigued by the processes of reporting my abuse. I've had to push for the police reinvestigation. That has taken a massive amount of energy. At some point you have to drop it. You are in victim mode for a certain amount of time, you do a lot of work and become a survivor. We shouldn't spend our lives being survivors. We should get beyond that, we should just become 'Derek'.

I have been diagnosed with complex post-traumatic stress disorder. The best way to describe it is a computer has hardware and software. I've done

cognitive behavioural therapy, neurolinguistic

programming and all these other things. That is

software. If you have a fault in the software, you can

take it off, put new software on and fix the fault. If

you have a fault on the hardware, no matter what

software you put on, the computer will never work

correctly.

Cognitive behavioural therapy doesn't work. It's trying to change software. I am always consciously having to make my machine work. It takes energy and emotional cognisance to do that. I just want to be 'Derek' without having to think about all of that. If I want to challenge if I'm gay because of the abuse or because I would have been gay and be happy with what I find out, I need something more in depth. I've found counselling wishy-washy. I need someone who can challenge me and make me really think about it.

I'm getting help from Future Pathways. The idea is right but they don't have the resources. They've paid for a holiday for us and put me in touch with The Anchor, who gave the first diagnosis of complex post-traumatic stress disorder. The Anchor passed me over to my general practitioner, who handed it to local services. I was told I'd have a wait of three to five years for counselling. I'm paying privately for

1 one-to-one support.

the neighbour I told about the abuse had reported Quigg to the police because WO-FHE were going to adopt again. Out of the blue, in 1989, so in in increase is niece got a call from the police to say they wanted to interview me with social work about Bill Quigg. I met them at the Royal George Hotel in Langham Square, London. The head of social work from Port Glasgow came. She was a woman in her late 50s with auburn hair. There were two police officers. They took a statement from me. The social worker's comment was that you'd never guess because Bill's such a nice man. That was it.

Nothing came of the police investigation. I found out later that the kids who were living with Bill were removed. I wonder if those kids were abused. I felt my job had been done. I had stopped the kids from being in the same position as me. I was in survival mode trying to build a life. My school friend was part of the first criminal investigation too. I got one phone call from FHE saying Bill had sent a letter from Canada.

I said to burn it. Bill had gone to Canada and was still in Glasgow.

In 2002 when my partner and I were going through the adoption process to adopt our children, social work had

- to get my social work file. When the social work

 dealing with the adoption were talking to Glasgow social

 work, everybody knew who Bill Quigg was, knew the case

 and everything about it. Social work said in reading my
- 5 file it was obvious Quigg was a paedophile.
- I feel I've had to push for the subsequent
 investigations. I had to go to the Police
 Investigations and Review Commissioner. If I hadn't
 done that and had been less challenging towards the
 police and their approach, that would have all
 disappeared. There might never have been a conviction,
 Quigg might have died. It worries me that people might

be getting away with abuse because of apathy.

The second police investigation was in 2009. I went back to the police and said I wanted them to look at the case again. I went to a police station near Kelvingrove art gallery. Detective Sergeant Greening took all of my statements. He came to interview me in England a few times. FHE denied everything. At that time the adopted son also denied everything. There was some confirmatory evidence from emails I'd sent to my schoolfriends. However, they would have had to come and give a statement. That was that. It was left.

The third police investigation was in 2011. I asked the Police Investigations and Review Commissioner to

1 review the investigation. The police came back and did 2 all the statements again. The Detective Chief Inspector in charge said they would re-open the investigation. He 3 came back to me and said there were holes in the case, 5 lots of circumstantial evidence but no one who could corroborate my evidence. There was one female police 7 officer in the reinvestigation who was sympathetic and 8 was respectful. The rest were of the opinion that the case was definitely not a priority.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In 2017 or 2018 all of a sudden the adopted son came forward and gave a statement. That gave the police corroboration.

Before I gave my evidence I was taken to be shown the court. The bit I found very difficult was being told the trial was happening the next week. You get yourself all ready for it and then you were told, no, it's next month. So you get all ready for it again. It got to the point that the trial was supposed to happen, I was asked to come through on the Monday because the trial was definitely going ahead. I got the 5 am train. I got to the court and they said I wasn't needed that day, come back tomorrow.

I went the next day and they said come back tomorrow. That went on the whole of that week. Then they said I was definitely needed on Monday. One of the jurors was sick. The juror was sick on Tuesday and

Wednesday too. My son is learning disabled and can't be

3 left alone. He is not a child so you can't get

4 financial support to get someone to care for him.

When I eventually gave evidence, I had someone from
the witness support service in court. Having someone
come into court just to stand with you, how is that in
any way support?

Entering court was a total release. I started crying as soon as I was asked to confirm my name. I cried the whole day I was giving evidence. The Procurator Fiscal was very good on the phone in the lead-up to giving evidence, asking questions and trying to guide where you were. It was onerous on me, giving statements and clarification. On the day, especially when the defence lawyer gets a hold of you, you are fed to the lions. A couple of times I asked the judge for help to control the defence lawyer.

At first when the defence lawyer asked me questions, he didn't look at me, he looked at the jury to get them to look at him. If he looked at me, that would be acknowledging me. It was his tactic. I said I was sorry but could he look at me because when he talked I was finding it a little hard to hear and if I could see his lips it would make it easier. The Advocate

Depute said after no one had found a way of ruining this guy's tactic.

Quigg's defence was one of consent. He said none of the abuse happened but I had consensual sex with him when I was 17 and 18 years old. I found there was no way to get clarification about the defence lawyer's questions. When I asked the defence lawyer a question the lawyer said to me he was asking the questions, not me. I asked the judge and he said I could ask the question. If I started to say something which the defence lawyer knew wasn't going his way, he would try and cut it. I said to the judge: surely if the lawyer asks me a question the jury should hear the answer, even if it doesn't suit him. I had to say that to the judge.

I clarified that the lawyer was saying I consented to sex in 1986 or 1987. I asked him if 1994 meant anything to him. He said it didn't. I told the lawyer that 1994 was when the age of consent was lowered from 21 years to 18 years of age. I said his client was claiming to have had consensual sex with me when I was under age. I shouldn't have had to do that on my own. I would have liked someone to represent me.

At Quigg's trial, the defence lawyer said to me that seemingly I'd gone around telling everybody about Quigg and no one had done anything about it. That said more

about those people and the community than it said about me.

said she didn't know about the abuse, she didn't see anything going on. She said that I had hinted at it and had kind of told her that William Quigg was abusing me five or six times. My expectation after that would be that the police would turn up at her door and say that she knew about this, she could have reported it and stopped it. Why didn't she? FHE was enabling it to happen. She was an employee of the council as a foster carer.

My records have been passed around. The Procurator Fiscal had a redacted copy from the council. I got involved and eventually the council gave him an unredacted copy. I got my lawyers to raise an interim interdict preventing the Fiscal from returning the file to the police, who would have returned it to the council. I thought the council would try to dispose of the evidence. The file was sent from the Fiscal to my solicitors. I had to pay £2,500 in legal fees. It shouldn't have had to happen that way.

Birthlink built up a really good timeline of all the historical information from my records. There is a large social work file about my mother's inability to

cope. There is a piece of paper in my records which

says that prior to my going to stay with WQ-FHE, the

fostering panel raised concerns about Quigg being

a paedophile. I haven't seen my records. I'm not

bothered about seeing them. They're still with my

solicitors.

Government has to implement legislation that states how councils behave and respond to people with historic child abuse complaints. It can only be led from government. The minute you tell the council you want to sue for historic child sex abuse, suddenly your file disappears. It should be that when a council gets a request, they cannot remove it or redact it.

One of the benefits of a children's home in comparison to foster homes is that in foster homes the foster family are always saying at first that the child is not settling in and is not part of the family. The expectation is on the child to fit in, open up and be part of the family. In a few months or years, the foster family will say the child is part of the family, he's trusting the foster family and settling in. Then the foster parents retire or move on. From my own experience I knew the situation in the children's home. I knew these people cared and were paid to look after us but they didn't love us. There was no mistaking. That

was quite easy to deal with. We might have lost
something in terms of the good children's homes that
were once there.

It's okay to give the message 'I'm here, I care about you, I'm here in a professional capacity to look after you and it's not about a falsehood of all being happy families".

That is something we've lost. We don't understand that kids can cope with that message. From my own experience and from being on the Children's Panel for ten years, I've found that kids who go through foster placement after foster placement get very confused about where they fit into it.

There was institutional failure by social work and councils as corporate parents in every placement I was put into. William Quigg has been prosecuted and has gone to jail. That's fine, but what about his wife, who admitted in court that she knew he was abusing children? What about social workers, who knew there were concerns about Quigg being a paedophile and still placed me with him? This is getting missed in the debate.

It's easy for society to say he was the abuser, she was the abuser. It's not enough for the focus to be on Quigg. It's about widening that up and saying as corporate parents, as community and as institutions we

failed these people. I met with Michael Russell and John Swinney and raised with Nicola Sturgeon that government should make it a legal requirement that if you know somebody is abusing and you don't report it, you are culpable. It should be a criminal offence.

abuse.

were covered by aiding and abetting legislation. They are not. I called the police and said that

FHE had just admitted in a criminal case that she was aware Quigg was abusing me, that is I had told her about it. John Duffy was told about it. They chose not to do anything about it. The police were very clear saying it's not aiding and abetting. To aid and abet you actually have to be actively involved in the

The government's argument was that these failures

I think the Scottish Government are progressive, having set up the Inquiry, the Confidential Forum, Future Pathways and removing the time bar for civil actions. Where I feel let down, firstly, is that government are not recognising that society and community let children down, not just the corporate parent. There was awareness of abuse that people chose not to act on. People knew Quigg was a paedophile. Secondly, I feel let down that perpetrators are facilitated by the lack of action of others. Is that

1 not equally complicit?

The Scottish Government have put in place a remedy for people abused as children in care. It would be fair of government to ask the perpetrators like Quigg to fund the remedy scheme. If you take a civil case and it is successful, the amount you were awarded by the government is taken off. I did the consultation about the redress scheme. I see it as two different things. I am suing Glasgow City Council in a civil case because of the abuse by Quigg. The remedy should be about the corporate parent saying, 'We failed these people so we have a responsibility'.

My civil case against the council has been going on for three and a half years. The council should be admitting responsibility in the civil cases where the abuse is proved, not saying that they won't accept liability for this case or that bit. They shouldn't be saying I need to prove this and do that. The council should formally apologise, the apology should be from a person, not a letter that comes out. That should be part of any remedy.

In England, the average amount a survivor of abuse is awarded in the civil courts is a million pounds. There's no way at the moment the Scottish courts would award that. They see a number and think it's a big

number. People are walking out the door with £120,000
for years of systemic abuse. The cases should be dealt
with with respect. Government should say 'These people
are not asking for something they are not due, they are
asking for something we should be offering'. Could the
claims be taken outwith the judiciary and not go through
the courts?

I am told the amount claimed for in my civil case will be groundbreaking only because I had two or three high level jobs. Loss of earnings does that for me. In Scotland the recompense is for loss. There is no punitive element to it. I think there should be a punitive element. If you and I go through the same experience and you're a cleaner, why should I get more? Your life could be altered even more than mine.

People who are ill or elderly can apply now and get £10,000. It's not enough. What government should be doing is making these people's Indian summers the best they can possibly be. The goal should be to move people on. There should be no pound sign.

If survivors use Future Pathways, then in their civil case, when they say how their life has been affected, the lawyers can say they have had three years' help from Future Pathways. There should be no get-out. The only way people can be recompensed is by being given

- 1 enough money.
- I wonder what would have happened at Quigg's trial
- 3 if I hadn't been articulate and able to stand my ground.
- I wasn't able to say can I sit down or I feel unwell.
- 5 Particularly with historic child abuse, where you're
- 6 almost reliving it and the perpetrator's there for the
- 7 first time in a long time, there should be someone there
- 8 giving you proper support, not giving you legal advice,
- 9 but giving you procedural advice. I think the law
- should be changed in that respect. I would have liked
- 11 a lawyer at the trial for my benefit to say what the
- 12 defence lawyer was and wasn't allowed to ask and what
- 13 questions I should and shouldn't answer.
- 14 Quigg is in jail and has lost his liberty. He's
- 15 a wealthy man. His lawyer said he had liquidated all
- 16 his assets. He's probably given them to
- 17 FHE . The council's insurance company will
- 18 be paying out sums of money. When Quigg gets out of
- jail, he'll have money and come back to a nice life.
- 20 The criminal justice system should strip him of every
- 21 asset he has and the money should be paid towards
- 22 repaying the council or the insurance company.
- 23 We don't like that concept in Scotland because we
- 24 don't like punitive justice. We say removal of liberty
- 25 is enough. But what about the removal of my liberty?

The spring of my life was stolen, the summer was sullied by a dark hue sitting above it. It's only now at the age of 48 that I'm able to reclaim my life.

For Quigg to get ten years in custody, knowing he can immediately apply for early release after five years, is disgraceful. He could be out when he's 82 years old. The automatic release system is not appropriate. Quigg has taken 31 years of my life. At the time of sentencing the judge said he was taking into account the seriousness of what Quigg had done but also that, since then, nothing else had happened. Another four people have come forward. The judge had no right saying that, he has no knowledge of whether anything else has happened because it's not yet come to light.

You should give your statement and evidence once.

It should be used for the criminal case, the civil case, the Confidential Forum and the Inquiry. You shouldn't have to go through it again. It traumatises you every time. It means that the evidence you give the final time will be of a lesser quality than the first time because you are emotionally exhausted by it.

There should be an external person who can pop their head in and say to a child in care, is everything all right here? The person should ask, is this child's potential being realised? They shouldn't be a Named

Person because I don't agree with that policy, nor
a social worker because they can be complicit. Social
work still doesn't understand about creating aspiration
in children in care. It's still about meeting basic
needs. You have a resource in volunteers who can come
into the children's lives. The Steiner model could be
used for kids in care.

There should be an external system within local authorities and the care environment, not Childline, where a child can hit the red button. In an organisation that I worked in, we set up a red button on the organisation's home page for harassment and bullying. You clicked on the button and it generated a form. You filled in the form and it went straight to the chief executive's office. There were three people from different teams who dealt with all the complaints. If kids in care knew about something like that, they would know they could press the red button and it would be dealt with at a senior level.

We expect too much of the victims and survivors. We expect too much in terms of the negative behaviours some people have as a consequence of their abuse. Some people rely on alcohol and drugs. Society wants survivors to shut up and get over it. Society has to accept that they caused the problem. We also expect too

- 1 much of the survivors as the survivors have to drive the
- 2 police, criminal and civil processes, whilst dealing
- 3 with the consequences with their experience. Survivors
- 4 should be allocated an independent advocate to push the
- 5 processes through on their behalf. A lot of people walk
- 6 away as they are too fatigued to carry on.
- 7 Government has to lead the way in telling councils
- 8 to behave responsibly, in treating survivors with
- 9 respect, in fully funding Future Pathways. I hope the
- 10 Inquiry report will instruct real change. The Inquiry
- should roar at Scotland, saying you were all complicit.
- 12 The Inquiry should commission a documentary once it has
- 13 made its findings, pinpointing all those at fault.
- 14 I have no objection to my witness statement being
- 15 published as part of the evidence to the Inquiry.
- 16 I believe the facts stated in this witness statement are
- 17 true."
- 18 And 'Derek' signed his witness statement on
- 19 10 December 2019.
- 20 My Lady, before we rise for the break, I will make
- 21 one brief reference to a record.
- 22 LADY SMITH: Thank you.
- 23 MS RATTRAY: Which is at JUS-00000080.
- 24 We can look at it or not as the case may be but that
- 25 simply confirms that on 13 February 2019, William Quigg

was convicted on six charges, being lewd and libidinous

practice and behaviour, indecent assault and indecent

assault with unnatural carnal connection to injury in

respect of both 'Derek' and William Quigg's adopted son

and he was sentenced to ten years' imprisonment.

We don't need to look at them, but details of the charges are at pages 2 and 3 of this document and the offences against 'Derek' range from 16 August 1983 to 1 February 1987.

At pages 4 and 5 we see that leave to appeal against sentence was refused, as was the appeal against refusal of leave, on the basis that the appeal was unarguable. The first judge considering leave to appeal commented that:

"One of the complainers was prepared to undergo abuse rather than risk to be returned to Local Authority care. The conduct involved a particularly serious abuse of power and trust in relation to vulnerable boys."

These were reasons with which the judges who were considering the appeal against refusal of leave entirely agreed.

My Lady, 'Derek' was speaking about his civil action. Since signing his statement, 'Derek' won his civil action for damages against Glasgow City Council in 2021 and was awarded a sum in excess of £1.3 million.

- 1 LADY SMITH: Yes.
- 2 MS RATTRAY: Perhaps we take the break now.
- 3 LADY SMITH: We will have the afternoon break now, thank you
- 4 very much, Ms Rattray.
- 5 (3.14 pm)
- 6 (A short break)
- 7 (3.30 pm)
- 8 LADY SMITH: Ms Rattray.
- 9 MS RATTRAY: Thank you, my Lady. My Lady, the final read-in
- 10 for today is a statement of an applicant who wishes to
- 11 remain anonymous and has chosen the pseudonym 'Thomas'.
- 12 LADY SMITH: Thank you.
- 13 MS RATTRAY: 'Thomas's' statement is at WIT.001.001.1504.
- 'Thomas' was in the care of Tayside Regional
- 15 Council. He was in two foster placements, the first
- 16 with Mr and Mrs near Crieff from 1977
- 17 until 1977 and the second with Mr and
- 18 Mrs LNH/SPO in from 1982 to
- 19 1982.
- 20 In 1983 he also stayed with Mr and Mrs LNH/SPO for
- 21 a respite foster care whilst living with his mother.
- 22 The successor authority is Perth and Kinross
- 23 Council.
- 24 LADY SMITH: Thank you.
- 25 MS RATTRAY: "My name is 'Thomas'. I was born in 1971."

- 1 From paragraphs 2 to 7 'Thomas' provides some 2 background information on his significant health 3 problems.
- 4 Now moving to paragraph 8 on page 2:

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5 "I was previously married but my wife has passed
6 away. I had three sister and one brother. Two of my
7 sisters and my brother have died. My father is still
8 alive. I still see him. My mother has died.

My parents lived in Govan, Glasgow. I was the
second of five children. My sister was 15 months older
than me. My brother died at 8 months old and his twin
sister died at birth. They were born between me and my
other sister, who is three and a half years younger than
me. I vaguely remember my little brother. I was just
coming up for 2 when the twins were born.

I remember being at home with my mum and dad and
I remember the furore when one of the twins died.
I have an image of him but I was only two and a half so
I can't remember everything. After he died that was the
first time that me and my older sister got put into
care.

When I was an adult, probably about 35 years old,
I found out that the reason we had been in care was
because my mother was arrested over the death of one of
the twins who died but I don't know if she was charged

with anything or not or even if she was held in custody
for any period of time. I remember going to court and
they left me with the court police officer while my
mother went to a private hearing and that's the part of
the furore I was talking about."

From paragraphs 13 to 48 'Thomas' speaks of being in care at Nazareth House for about six months before being returned to his parents, where he lived in Perth and then in Glasgow. His parents separated and his mum and aunt took him to live in Perth. From there he says he was admitted to Colonsay House, Perth, which he thinks was in 1976.

Secondary Institutions - to be published later

He recalls spending time back at home before being readmitted to Colonsay House in early 1977.

Moving now to paragraph 49 on page 10:

"I think the social worker came and me and my older sister got taken out of school early and taken back to Colonsay where they told us they'd found us foster parents. The social worker that took us out of school was the big woman who had previously sat on me.

I didn't understand what was happening at the time but I think my sister had a better grasp of what was going on.

I honestly thought it was normal that this happened

1 to every family and you got passed from pillar to post.

I was thinking that I would have to change school again.

3 It was explained that we were going to foster parents

4 whom she described as temporary parents but she used

5 different words. I didn't realise that it wasn't

2

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

another children's home and I didn't know that they

7 would have their own children. My sister and I had been

8 told by the social worker that this was different from

9 going into a home and that it was going to be better and

10 that this was going to be our new mum and dad.

I think my sister had asked how long we would be there for but they didn't know. We didn't know if this was short term or if it was going to be permanent.

We were told this at the room beyond the office at Colonsay House. When the social work came in for the meetings that's where they took place. I was with my sister when we were told. I just remember her, she was upset and she was saying that she wanted her mum. My younger sister was only one and a half at that time. We were taken to a doctor for a medical and then me and my older sister were taken straight to the foster carers.

My younger sister got to stay with my aunt. We were told this at the same meeting at Colonsay when my older sister and me were told we were going into foster care. I think I assumed she was going with aunt because she

wasn't of school age, wasn't as problematic as my sister
and I and aunt was happy to look after my younger sister
but not to take on my older sister and I.

I don't remember visiting or being introduced to the LNG/SPO before me and my sister went there. We were just taken there and we didn't get an affectionate welcome at the house.

They were Mr and Mrs LNG/SPO. I don't know their first names. We just called them Mr and Mrs LNG/SPO

They lived and ran a business halfway between Perth and Crieff. It was a hamlet. There were no shops or anything like that, not even a group of houses. The business has since been sold and houses have been built on it.

They had their own children, a boy and a girl.

Their son was a year older or the same age as my older sister. The girl, whose name I can't remember, was just slightly older than me. They were not there the day we arrived because they were at school.

The business building was separate to the house. It was of two storeys sheet metal construction, and the office was upstairs. I don't like heights and it had a very rickety metal staircase and a pathway you could see through. It was just off the main road. The house had three or four bedrooms which were upstairs, at least

1 I remember that our bedrooms were upstairs. It was

a big house. I shared with bedroom with the son and my

3 older sister shared a room with their daughter.

Mrs LNG was an evil bitch.

On the first morning I was in trouble from the moment I got up. I hadn't done something right, like I hadn't washed properly or something like that. There was something they weren't happy with and it just seemed over the top. I was used to getting up and getting my breakfast before we did anything else, then we would go and have a bath or a shower, in case you spilt your breakfast down you. This was the normal arrangement when I was at home and I think it was the same as Colonsay House. We had our breakfast before we got washed.

In a normal day at the LNG/SPO, we would get taken to school by Mrs LNG, who would drive the four of us there. She would sometimes pick us up at the end of the day but sometimes we would walk back because it was downhill.

When we got home I was nervous the whole time because of everything that happened. You didn't know if you had done something during the day at school or even before school that would set off Mrs LNG It would be the most illogical thing that would set her off.

2 If we were told to give him a message, or in the passing 3 when we were playing outside. To be honest I can't even remember hearing that man speak at any time. After tea 5 we were put up to our rooms so we didn't see him. Crossroads was often on the television when we were 6 7 having our tea, so we were finished about 6 o'clock. 8 After tea we were all put to our rooms, usually by about 7, and there was a rule that we were to be in our beds 9 10 by 7.30. That was in summertime as well. 11 I am not sure if it was her mother or his mother but 12 one of the LNG/SPO mothers lived not too far away in a cottage up on the hill and whenever the LNG/SPO were 13 14 doing something they would leave us with her. She was 15 the first woman I had met with hair on her chin. I hadn't seen that before. She had a wooden poker with 16 17 a branch like a prong at the top. It was called a Schiehallion or something like that. She was very 18 19 quick to hit you over the back of the legs with it. She 20 hit us with that every time we were there. You didn't 21 have to do anything. You couldn't speak or even move. 22 If there was any nonsense she would whack you once over 23 the back of your legs. She kept it within easy reach. 24 I had marks and bruises guite a lot. If we had any 25 bruises when we went to a medical they were explained

We would see Mr at breakfast and teatimes.

1

1 away as being my fault.

They gave us Alpen for breakfast, which I had never had before so I said 'What's this?' and Mrs LNG called me a stupid boy. I can't remember verbatim what she was saying, I just know that she started shouting at me for what seemed like absolutely no reason whatsoever. I could see my sister a wreck anyway. She was as timid as a mouse. I don't know if this was Mrs LNG way of just putting us in our place and that she realised I was the stronger one.

It was made obvious from that first day that we were different to her two children and thinking back that is understandable but it's not what you would expect professional foster parents to say. Basically she was saying 'You're just the scab of the earth and we are getting paid a fortune for looking after you'.

Mrs LNG said this regularly. She always called me a stupid little boy and that I would never see my mum again. All the horrible things that you could think someone in that situation could say, taking advantage of you mentally like they hold the power over you. She said I wouldn't get my pocket money and I wouldn't get my clothes. The LNG/SPO were given a clothing allowance and pocket money so it didn't come out of their funds. When we went to the LNG/SPO, we never ever got any

1 pocket money and I know they were given money.

I asked for Weetabix because I always had Weetabix.

I was told that the Alpen was good for me, that I would

eat it and it would still be here at tea time if

5 I didn't eat it. All four of us children would have

6 breakfast together at the dining table in the kitchen

7 with Mrs LNG Mr was there sometimes but

8 often he would be at work.

There was a cupboard with biscuits and crisps and they used to be handed out by Mrs remains. She gave them to her children but didn't see them taking anything themselves. We must have been given something from the cupboard at some times but I can't remember.

I remember the daffodils when we were out I started going to school so it must have been around March. The school was about a mile and a half away from the house. I vividly remember the daffodils coming out on the verges because this was something I hadn't seen before and we were out in the country, which was all new to me. I would have been just six and still in Primary 1 when we went there. My sister would have been 7 or 8 when he got there and in Primary 3.

I think for the last two months of Primary 1 I was at the school and it was fine there. Me and my sister got on okay at this school.

I finished Primary 1 there and we were there over the summer holidays. We didn't go anywhere on holiday with them. We occasionally got taken to the shops. We played outside most of the times. We got to play within the grounds that they had. There was lots of machinery and stuff like that, things for a young boy to investigate, but not so much for my sister. Sometimes we would go to the grandmother's.

My aunt came after about three weeks and she took us occasionally. She must have come before the social worker came. We told aunt everything about the LNG/SPO that it wasn't a nice place, the same as I have told you in this interview. My sister would start speaking up too.

I think aunt believed us and she told us that she would tell the social work, although she called them the welfare. Aunt later told us that she had told the social worker, I presume the social worker who brought us to the foster carers.

We saw our aunt maybe three or four times after that first visit when we told her everything that had been going on and she told the social worker. She would come and pick us up as she had a car. There is a milk bar on the Kinross to Kincardine road and that's usually where my aunt and uncle would take us.

In the four months that we were at the LNG/SPO, we didn't really see my mum until nearer towards the end of our time there. She didn't visit us at the LNG/SPO.

We were taken to see her at my aunt's. We saw my mum towards the end of our time at the LNG/SPO at a meeting with social work and it was with a view to us going home to live with my mum.

before we had a visit from a social worker. I think this was standard practice for a 28-day visit. I don't know why she was there. We came home from school and she was there speaking to Mrs LNG at the dining table. I can't recall if she ever spoke to us but if she did we didn't mention anything that we had told my aunt. We wouldn't have said anything because

Mrs LNG was there all the time.

When the social worker went away after that first visit Mrs LNG went off on one. She said we were telling lies, that none of these things happened and we were never going to be believed anyway and called us 'the scum of the earth'. Maybe not these exact words but something like it. She said she had taken us in and had nothing but good for you. She went on and on that she was doing this wonderful thing for us and how could we betray her. She was angry and me and my sister got

- sent to our beds without any tea. All books and toys
 were taken away and we stayed in our beds.
- Mrs LNG told us that the social worker had told

 her. She didn't ask if we had told aunt or ask us how

 we had told the social work.

I think another social worker came another time and
I am not sure if it was a different social worker. It
was another woman. I can't remember how many visits we
got in the four months we were there.

After that time when the social work told

Mrs LNG and we were sent to our beds we didn't

mention it again to my aunt as it hadn't worked.

I don't recall aunt ever asking later on or during any of her visits if things had improved. She did say something, maybe the year after, when we saw Mrs LNG in Marks & Spencer's. Aunt pointed her out and mentioned some of the stuff about that we had told her about, so she obviously hadn't forgotten.

We didn't get on with the LNG/SPO children.

Everything that happened in that first week set the whole thing off with the family. The tortoises died,

I think it was something to do with them getting too much lettuce. Mrs went ballistic when they died and blamed me, saying I was told not to give them lettuce. But she had given me the lettuce. I just

1 remember they died and I got the blame. I couldn't

2 understand because I had just given them what

3 Mrs LNG gave me to give them. I was sent to my bed

4 again as a punishment.

to a concert.

5 We didn't really play with her children.

Mrs LNG didn't want us associating with her children because we were beneath them and it was made painfully obvious that we were different. I can accept that the children were their blood and they would get treated differently but not as blatantly as it was done then.

They got sweets and treats. Sometimes there was a bit of interaction between us if we were playing in the house. The only treat I can remember was getting to go

We didn't get any pocket money but there were no shops or anything nearby where we could have spent it anyway. There were books in the house but I wasn't allowed to touch them because of what I had done to a toy camera thing which I was accused of breaking.

Her son did have a kaleidoscope in his room and sometimes I played with it but I got caught and got into trouble. There were no books in his room that I would read. I had been reading since I was three. My dad had always taken me on his knee to read the newspaper when he came in from work so even by that time I was reading

- 1 Enid Blyton's Famous Five or Secret Seven or
- Secret Island or something along those lines. The
- 3 LNG/SPO didn't have any books like that, they were more
- 4 like baby books.
- 5 Sometimes their son would let me play with his toys
- 6 but other times he would grab them off me. He didn't
- 7 seem to mind on most occasions when we were in the room.
- 8 I don't know if I was being naive or if he set me up
- 9 sometimes if he knew his mum was coming up the stairs.
- 10 When I was playing with the kaleidoscope Mrs LNG
- 11 came in and she caught me with it. She was angry and
- 12 she told me that I was not allowed to play with the toys
- as I break things and I would never get any pocket money
- 14 at this rate.
- I felt indifferent to what she was saying. I knew
- 16 by then that this place was way, way wrong. I did have
- 17 similar thoughts as I had when I was in Colonsay when
- I set fire to it, but thankfully I didn't do that this
- 19 time. One of the reasons I didn't was because Colonsay
- 20 was set up for people to escape from fires, whereas the
- 21 LNG/SPO house obviously didn't have fire doors and fire
- 22 escapes and even at that age I understood there could be
- 23 serious consequences. I did have thoughts about setting
- 24 it on fire though.
- 25 I think it was either the first or second day when

we were at school at lunchtime we were given fish in bread crumbs which I had never had and I really liked it. The school dinner lady said there was some left and she gave me another half. I never thought anything of it but Mrs LNG kids must have gone home and told her that I'd asked for seconds. Mrs LNG took this that I was telling the school that she wasn't feeding me.

We sat down for tea and we got through to pudding and she brought out two trays of bread and butter pudding. She asked me to stand up and go to the counter. Basically she then pushed my face into one of the trays of bread and butter pudding. She said words to the effect that 'If we are not feeding you, you are not telling anybody' or something along those lines. She then forced me to start eating the tray of bread and butter pudding. It was a normal size for a baking tray and probably had about 24 slices of bread. She put one on the table and one on the worktop. She went off on one about me asking for seconds. She was really angry that no one from her house would be apparently hungry and this was a real insult to her.

I tried to explain that I hadn't asked for seconds and they had offered it. I know I was crying the whole time and couldn't understand what she was going on

about. She held my face in the tray for a few seconds,
then she handed me a wooden spoon and told me I was
going to eat it until it was gone. I didn't even get
a quarter of the way through when I was sick into the
tray. She kept saying that if I didn't eat it, it would
still be there at breakfast and lunch until it was gone.

My sister was sitting there petrified and she was shaking and crying. When I had eaten it all, including what I had brought up, I was sent to my bed. I have never eaten bread and butter pudding since that day.

There was another incident that happened soon after that where Mrs turned even more vociferous. Her son had a toy that was like a camera that had circular disks that you put in and you viewed through them. If you put the camera up to the light you could see the picture and you could press the clicker at the side to move it to the next picture. He broke it and blamed me.

Mrs LNG said we weren't getting my pocket money because that had to be paid for and also because apparently I killed the tortoises as well, which I didn't. I will admit to things I did do, but I didn't do that.

This was the first week that we were there. Even being that young, you could see that there was something not right with things. You were constantly worried that

you were going to do something wrong and you didn't know
where the next row was coming from. How is a child
supposed to understand all of this?

Mrs LNG had a very short fuse and had a bad temper. When you got up in the morning you just did not know how she was going to be. In fact she was very similar to my mother.

If nothing really happened to make Mrs NG angry she acted very indifferent to us. She either called me by my name or 'stupid little boy'. She never gave me a cuddle, a hug, a kiss, or sat me on her knee and rubbed my hair, nothing. She never showed any affection at all to me and she always spoke to us in a short, sharp manner.

In the first week my sister started wetting the bed and all hell broke loose. One time Mrs LNG made me and my sister stand outside in the rain, and it was dark, so I assume it was nighttime, probably not long after my sister had gone to bed.

All I remember was that we were all in our beds when Mrs LNG came into the room and dragged me out of my bed. Her son was awake when I left and he would have seen me getting taken out. I don't really know why she pulled me out the bed but I think it was just her way that if one child did something we were both

- responsible. All I remember was seeing my sister

 standing outside in the rain when I went outside and

 then me standing beside her. I was in a pair of pants

 and my sister was wearing a pair of pants and a vest.

 Mrs LNG was going on about us being filthy dirty

 gits or beggars or whatever, didn't know where we had
- been brought up, and that we had no manners, and she was saying that she didn't know why she bothered.

I would imagine that my sister must have got up and told Mrs LNG that she had wet the bed as she wouldn't have lain in it. If she had woken up and felt it was wet, she would have got up and said.

I think it was when we got outside that she must have said something to make me know that my sister had wet the bed. Mrs LNG was going on about 'you're not sleeping in those beds if you're going to wet them, I'm not forking out and doing washings every day' and things along those lines.

I am not sure how I was wearing pants as normally I would wear pyjama tops and bottoms. I can only think that she got me to change. I remember her checking to see if I had wet the bed but I hadn't. My sister was wearing white pants and a vest. All the time my sister was shaking and crying.

Mrs LNG shut the back door with me and my sister

outside and it was raining and it was dark. We were out for a couple of hours. Eventually my sister calmed down and managed to give me more details. She told Mrs LNG that she had wet the bed and she had gone bonkers, hit her with the hairbrush. I know the brush as it is wooden and was big and had a big broad back and had spiky things on the front. This brush was normally on one of the cabinets, because she used it in the

morning to brush all of our hairs.

Mrs LNG, I'm fairly sure, had hit me with this brush before. She used to hit me and my sister higher on the legs than the grandmother used to hit us.

Mrs LNG would hit you on the back of the thighs or the bottom, probably hit you two or three times.

I don't know how many times she hit me with the brush.

Me and my sister were standing all the time on the gravel to the side of the house. We never moved after we were put out and she put us there. We were too scared to move and too scared to talk to each other. There was no cover where we were standing and it was raining. It was honestly a couple of hours and by the time she brought us in, my legs were shaking and I was cold.

I can't remember how me and my sister got back into the house and I can't even remember if it was

- 1 Mrs LNG that brought us in. I remember when me and
- 2 my sister were in the house in the bathroom and
- 3 Mrs LNG was with us when we were towelling off and
- I got changed back into my pyjamas. We were bedraggled
- 5 because the rain was heavy. My sister said that
- 6 Mrs LNG told her that she was a baby for wetting the
- 7 bed. I remember getting back into my bed and pulling
- 8 the covers over my head because I was cold. I can't
- 9 remember if the son was awake when I went back to my
- 10 bed.
- I knew by the next morning after me and my sister
- had been put outside that we couldn't do anything right,
- 13 even if we did anything perfectly. I think I fell
- 14 asleep at school the next day.
- 15 I think my sister wet the bed almost every night for
- 16 a while. They put horrible rubbery sheets on both of
- 17 our beds. They were horrible to lie on. They put them
- 18 on my bed even though I had never wet the bed. There
- 19 was another sheet on top of them but they were still not
- 20 nice to lie on.
- 21 Mrs LNG got quite vindictive towards my sister
- 22 over the bed-wetting and she seemed to regularly get hit
- 23 with the hairbrush. If I said anything about it not
- 24 being fair then I would get it as well. Sometimes she
- 25 would make my sister strip the bed herself and take the

- 1 sheets downstairs. She would hit her on the back of the
- 2 legs, sometimes two or three times. Mrs LNG
- call her a dirty little girl and vitriol like that. 3
- I don't think she realised that the more she was making
- 5 my sister nervous, the more it was affecting her. My
- sisters had been off nappies before me. 6
- 7 The bed-wetting did stop eventually but only a few

weeks before we left. There was a period before that

- when my sister did stop but it started again. I'm sure
- 10 she stopped a few weeks though before we left. They
- 11 always changed the sheets if they were wet, they didn't
- 12 leave them on the bed.

8

9

- Another time Mrs LNG asked me to take a message 13
- 14 to Mr who was in the office. I left the house
- 15 and climbed up the rickety steps which were outside and
- go up to the office. I slipped on some oil on the 16
- 17 platform leading to the office and I fell onto my
- backside but carried on into the office. I had oil on 18
- the shorts I was wearing and I had also wiped my hands 19
- 20 on the front of my top.
- 21 phoned Mrs LNG and she came upstairs
- 22 into the office and went off on one again. She went on
- about how I was a stupid little boy, 'you got yourself 23
- 24 filthy, I have to do another washing' and 'do you think
- I'm made of money'. I had to get stripped off at the 25

- 1 washing machine and Mrs Me did something else to
- 2 embarrass me but I can't remember what it was.
- 3 Mr didn't react but he was cheesed off because
- 4 he knew Mrs LNG was going to be angry again.
- 5 Another incident was when they took me and my sister
- 6 to a Lena Martell concert and on the way back they
- 7 bought us a bag of chips to share. Their children
- 8 weren't there that night and this is the only time that
- 9 I remember they took me and my sister to anything
- 10 without their children. I don't know where their
- 11 children were. I can't remember any time when all six
- 12 of us were in the car.
- 13 My sister doesn't travel well and the chips were not
- a good idea and she was sick in the footwell behind the
- front passenger seat where Mrs LNG was sitting.
- 16 Mrs LNG made her lie on the floor and she had to
- 17 curl up in a ball in the footwell and lie in the sick.
- 18 I made some comment about it not being right or probably
- 19 not being fair and I was made to lie in the other
- 20 footwell.
- 21 Me and my sister stayed in the footwells of the car
- 22 all the way back to the LNG/SPO house. I can't
- 23 remember getting out of the car. I remember enjoying
- 24 the concert at the time when we were there probably
- 25 because I had never been at anything like that before.

1 That was the only time we went out in the car apart 2 from to school or the grandmother's or sometimes Mrs LNG used to take us to the shops to Perth or 3 Crieff. She sometimes took us to do her food shopping 4 5 in Marks & Spencer, which I thought was funny because it was so expensive. I'm not sure if she went into other 6 7 shops. Sometimes we would go with her into the shops 8 but sometimes we would have to wait in the car. She wouldn't leave us at home even if Mr was working 9 10 nearby. There was one time I remember all four of us going with Mrs LNG to Crieff and she got us an ice 11 12 cream then. She went off and maybe got a hair cut or something and we played in the park for a while. It was 13 14 quite a pleasant trip but not if she left you in the 15 car. 16 I don't even remember hearing her shouting at her 17 own children and never saw her hitting them. Everything was just a hassle to her. My opinion is that she just 18 wanted the money but didn't want any hassle. 19 I think we were at the LNG/SPO for about four 20

I think we were at the LNG/SPO for about four months.

21

22

23

24

25

At the end we had a meeting with my mum,

Mrs LNG, a head social worker, our aunt and my
sister and myself, and it must have been decided that we
were going to go home. When we were told we were going

back to live with my mum I was perversely glad to be
going home. My sister was definitely glad to be going
home.

I don't really remember saying goodbye to the LNG/SPO or leaving the house but the social work drove us to Perth.

We went back to live with my mum and I was glad to be leaving the LNG/SPO and glad to be going home to my mum's. There was me, my mum and a man who I think is probably her mum's partner and my two sisters.

The bullying at school started again but that was just normal. It was still the same class that I had before and they knew my background.

At home my mum was still angry and she was obviously still not well. It bothered me more than the way I was treated by Mrs LNG as she was my mum who should have been affectionate and caring rather than Mrs LNG who was getting paid to do the basics.

19 We ended up in Colonsay again as my mum either

20 ."

From paragraphs 125 to 136, 'Thomas' says that after leaving foster care, he spent periods in children's homes and periods back at home with his mum. 'Thomas' describes this as a chaotic time in his life. He says that things are a bit hazy for him over an 18-month

- 1 period because he was in three or four homes and with
- 2 unofficial foster parents.
- 3 Moving now to paragraph 137 on page 27 where
- 4 'Thomas' tells of life with his unofficial foster
- 5 parents.
- 6 My Lady, in relation to the unofficial foster
- 7 parents, our understanding from the records is that they
- 8 weren't official foster parents but it was noted in the
- 9 social work records that the children were staying with
- 10 them.
- 11 LADY SMITH: Right. Had they been put there by his mother?
- 12 MS RATTRAY: By his mother, yes.
- 13 LADY SMITH: Thank you.
- 14 MS RATTRAY: "When me and my sister were in Perth my mum had
- 15 started us attending at a church and the people who ran
- 16 the church were lovely people. When they found out that
- my sister and I were in the home at Nimmo Place, the
- 18 minister and his wife took my sister to stay with them
- 19 and the assistant minister took me to live with them.
- 20 The minister and assistant minister were from the
- 21 church in Perth and they travelled
- 22 around in a minibus advertising Sunday School and they
- 23 picked us up to go to church. Sometimes my sister and
- I would have lunch with them. None of them were
- 25 registered foster parents but this was arranged by my

social worker, Amy Galloway.

We were with them three to four months on this occasion and when we were there we went to primary school. I stayed there until or of 1981.

The minister and his wife didn't live near to us but we saw them often. I kept in touch with my sisters through the church and saw them three or four times in the week.

The assistant minister and his wife were the loveliest people and I wish I could have stayed with them, although they had no television and there was lots of Bible bashing. At their home, things were great. The assistant minister would teach me things and would take time to show me what he was growing in the garden, and made sure I had plenty of books to read. They just involved me in their family life. The ministers and their wives were all just lovely people and there were never any issues with them.

I don't recall seeing my mum when we were with them.

At primary there was bullying because I wasn't there from the start. I didn't fit into the school and was treated as an outsider.

Me and my sisters had to leave the ministers because my mum demanded that we go back to her, and because it wasn't official, the minister and the assistant minister

1 had no option but to hand us back.

I was with them on three occasions before secondary school between Primary 4 and Primary 6, and I moved between primary schools every time I moved. I never spent a Christmas with them. Every time I went to the assistant minister's, my sisters went to the minister's.

When I was back at my mum's in Perth it was just the same chaos. One of the times when we came back from the minister's we went to live with my mum at one of my mum's friends just outside Kinross. We got given to my mum on the Friday and we started at this little school, but I can't remember the name of it.

By 11 o'clock on the day we started at the school, my social worker Amy Galloway was there to pick me and my sister up because my mother had started her nonsense again and had done something.

After this I went to another set of registered foster parents, Mr and Mrs LNH/SPO in , but I don't know the address. I don't know their first names. I think I was with them when I was in Primary 6 in 1982. I remember I was definitely in care and I am sure it was with the LNH/SPO in 1982 because we went to a caravan park in Pitlochry with the LNH/SPO and I remember watching a football game on television between Brazil and Italy in the second round of the

- World Cup. It was only me that went to the LNH/SPO

 and I think I was with them twice. My younger sister

 went to registered foster carers at a farm just outside

 I can't remember where my older sister was

 the this time.
 - I seem to think I was there before I went to the assistant minister for the third and last time.

- Mrs LNH was very defensive of her two boys and again it just seemed to me that I was there to get the blame for everything. Mr had very little to do with us and there were no problems with him.
 - Mrs LNH wasn't very nice to me, and on a couple of occasions she is hit me with a wooden spoon. She hit me on the shoulder. We had been playing football and her son said that I had put in a nasty tackle but it was a game of football. She took umbrage with the fact that I had defended myself and she expected me to just stand there when her son hit me. When I answered her back, she blew her top and hit me with the wooden spoon. She hit me twice in the time that I was there. There was a lack of affection but
 - We were with them in total for about five to six months over the two visits.
- 25 The last time I left the assistant minister, I went

compared to other places they were fine.

- back to live with my mum in Perth. Everything at home
 was all the same at this time. Violence for the same of
 violence.
- There was an incident when I was 14 when my mother

 came home from work at 5 o'clock in the morning and she

 hit me with a belt all over my legs. My legs swelled up

 to the size of my waist, and she sent me out to school

 at 6 o'clock in the morning.

I sat and waited for the nurse to come in at 8.30 and the first thing she turned round and said to me that I must have done something really bad to deserve this.

I was angry at her saying this. Because of her reaction I never told anyone else about it. I had marks on my legs and my back but never went to the doctor's Although I told the nurse, nothing happened.

The next day I stole £1 from a friend's purse because I didn't want to go back home. I was honestly fearful for my life. I went straight from school to Roslin House where I told them what had happened and Amy agreed that I should be put back in care. I told her that I needed protection. I stole the money to get attention so somebody would actually do something."

From paragraphs 157 to 196, 'Thomas' speaks of experiences in other care settings Secondary Institutions - to be Secondar before again being returned to his mum when he was

- in first year at high school. He says that he would 2 rather have stayed in a children's home than being returned to his mother. He said that he and his sister 3 were still on the "at risk" register and attended
- 5 Children's Hearings. Notwithstanding this, at one point
- his aunt's twin babies were placed in his mum's care.
- 7 He says that this baffled him and asked how it can be
- 8 that he and his sister were at risk, but small babies
- were placed with his mum. 9

- 10 He ran away on multiple occasions from abuse at his 11 mother's home but was always returned.
- 12 Moving now to paragraph 197 on page 39:
- "I usually ran away because I was getting battered 13
- 14 by my mum or because I knew I was going to get hammered.
- 15 There was never any point in telling anyone why I was 16 running away.
- 17 My mum admitted to my social worker Amy, probably
- not to the full extent, that she was taking her 18
- frustrations out on me. I don't really know what she 19
- 20 admitted to, but she was able to convince Amy that
- I must have deserved it and Amy covered up for my mum. 21
- 22 In terms of all the to-ing and fro-ing from
- establishment to establishment, I didn't have a suitcase 23
- 24 or anything that I could take with me. Sometimes we
- 25 would go straight from school for a medical then on to

the next place. Someone, possibly Amy Galloway, would go to my house and get clothes and other things for me.

When I went to the hearings that were set up
I basically never said anything because there was no
point and I was close to crying and couldn't talk.
I wasn't going to cry in front of them. I never got to
hear what was said at those hearings, I was only allowed
in for the last five minutes. They had their two-hour
discussion and I was there for the last five minutes.

Amy was supposed to be looking after my interests and was supposed to be my social worker but she wasn't, she was my mum's social worker and she defended my mum to the hilt. There was even one point I actually stayed over at Amy Galloway's house for a weekend maybe when I was in first year at secondary school, because there was nowhere else for me to go.

In _______ 1986, that's when I went back to live with my mum and I left Pitlochry and I never went back to care after that. I moved in with my cousin in Wishaw and went to another school, but can't remember the name of it. I could have left school at the end of fourth year but I only had five O grades and standard grades.

In my fifth year I took my English, maths, history and accounts at highers because I wanted to do accountancy. I got a professional place in Glasgow University and

1 needed two highers in sixth year.

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I was still on course to go to university and everything was going fine until my cousin decided she didn't want me to stay there any more so I had to go back and live with my mum in 1988. It was fine at home until March or April 1989 when my mum threw me out. I came home and my mum had put all my stuff in black bags. This was only six weeks before my school exams.

I thought that even though I was 18 and I was still on the 'at risk' register so thought the social work would pick me up and help me finish those last six weeks at school. I went to Roslin House to see Amy Galloway but I saw someone else. They told me I would have to go to the council to sort out accommodation. They didn't deny that I was still on the register and that I was still under the social work and I thought for the sake of six weeks and how it would affect someone's life they would see sense and help me. They didn't.

I went to the council housing office and they told me to go back to the social work as they had a list of all the bed and breakfast places. I went back to the social work and again tried to plead my case to help me get past the exams but they didn't want to engage and just gave me a list of bed and breakfast places.

25 I didn't know who would pay for it and no one gave me 1 any advice.

I sat down at the social work office one time and
told them I wasn't moving until I got help but they just
threatened to phone the police.

I went to look at one place but it was stinking and there were too many people in one room so I just slept on the street in North Inch. I went to the school and explained to them what was happening but they said they couldn't help me. All my school books were still at my mum's and I went back multiple times to try and get them but she just called the police. I never got back to the school and I didn't sit my exams.

A priest saw me one night and he found me a homeless hostel place in Perth. I stayed there but not for very long and I decided to join the army, it was my only option."

From paragraphs 209 to 214, 'Thomas' speaks of his life after care. Moving now to paragraph 215 on page 42:

"I'm sure that my sister and I reported the LNG/SPO to the social work. We definitely told my aunt and I'm sure the social worker at the time was also told by me and my sister. Their response at that time was that they had used the LNG/SPO for years and had never had any problems and that they wouldn't do that. I'm not

sure if Amy Galloway was involved in this but she was
there from 1980 but I am not sure before then. We just
reported this once to the social work."

From paragraph 216, 'Thomas' speaks of reporting abuse in other care settings and impact. He says that there was little or no protection from any abuse and there was a definite lack of support all through his childhood. As the details of the impact on 'Thomas' have to be understood in the context of his overall care experiences, including multiple moves of home and school and the abuse he suffered at home, I'll move to paragraph 230 on page 45:

"The person most to blame in everything is Amy Galloway, my social worker. Obviously my mum is the biggest one to blame, but Amy Galloway was there for a reason and she never once acted in a way that was beneficial to me apart from that one time I demanded to be put into care because I was frightened to go home."

And now to paragraph 235 on page 46:

"I tried to get my records and a social worker called Claire at Cupar social work office tried to help me and all they said at that time was that I would have to go through to the Perth office and someone would have to sit with me to look at the records. She said they couldn't give me a copy of my records and that any names

- other than my own would be redacted. I did try to
- 2 follow it up by phone to Perth social work and that's
- 3 when they said they couldn't find them anyway because
- 4 they had been flooded.
- 5 I have no objection to my witness statement being
- 6 published as part of the evidence to the Inquiry.
- 7 I believe the facts stated in this witness statement are
- 8 true."
- 9 And 'Thomas' signed his statement on 25 October
- 10 2016.
- 11 LADY SMITH: Thank you very much.
- 12 MS RATTRAY: And that --
- 13 LADY SMITH: I take it that's it for today, Ms Rattray?
- 14 MS RATTRAY: -- concludes the evidence for today, my Lady.
- 15 LADY SMITH: Thank you.
- Before I rise I should mention some foster parents'
- names, the , LNG/SPO and LNH/SPO
- 18 that are covered by my general restriction order, not
- 19 for repetition outside this room.
- 20 Otherwise, I rise until 10 o'clock tomorrow morning
- 21 and we will start with ...?
- 22 MS RATTRAY: With further oral witnesses tomorrow, my Lady.
- 23 LADY SMITH: Thank you very much indeed.
- 24 (4.13 pm)
- 25 (The Inquiry adjourned until 10.00 am

1	on Wednesday, 24 August 2022)
2	
3	'Alice' (sworn)
4	Questions from Ms Innes
5	
6	'Bob' (affirmed)6
7	Questions from Ms Innes69
8	'Derek' (read)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	