

Part D – Abuse and Response

5. Abuse

5.1 Nature

- i. What was the nature of abuse and/or alleged abuse of children cared for at the establishment, for example, sexual abuse, physical abuse, emotional abuse?

The types of alleged abuse can be categorised broadly as sexual abuse, physical abuse, emotional abuse and cruelty. More detail in relation to the allegations is provided in sections 5.9 to 5.13 below.

5.2 Extent

- i. What is the organisation/establishment's assessment of the scale and extent of abuse of children cared for at the establishment?

Quarriers does not know the true nature and extent of the abuse which occurred at Quarriers Village. However, we acknowledge that such abuse took place.

Quarriers volunteered to be the sole participant in the Government's pilot forum *Time to be Heard* by Tom Shaw, CBE, the report for which was published in 2011.

It is important to note that the forum was not an investigation into Quarriers, but represented the opportunity to explore a model of possible response and support to those who had experienced any hurt relating to their time in residential care as a child.

Through *Time to be Heard*, a number of areas were identified in which reports of abuse or ill-treatment were made. Those included:

- (1) The response to bedwetting with punishment or humiliation
- (2) Forced Feeding
- (3) Excessive physical punishment
- (4) Sexual abuse
- (5) Emotional abuse and neglect

Seven former employees of Quarriers have been convicted of the abuse of children at Quarriers Village from 1955–1981. The offences ranged across sexual, physical and emotional abuse.

One further employee was convicted of abuse against a child. However his conviction was overturned on appeal. A full list of Quarriers' understanding of those convictions is detailed within Section 5.13 below.

Besides the criminal convictions, other allegations of abuse have been made in the context of civil claims or those who chose to make contact anonymously through the *Time to be Heard* process.

It is recognised that many victims of childhood abuse find it very difficult to come forward and talk about their experiences. Some also choose not to talk about their experiences.

Many allegations of abuse were made from 2000 onwards, many years after the events. At the time those allegations were being made, Strathclyde Police was carrying out investigations in relation to a number of former members of Quarriers' staff. Given the Police's involvement, it was not appropriate, nor practical, for Quarriers to carry out an internal inquiry into the allegations. Quarriers' priorities were to assist the police investigation in any way it could and to try to ensure, as far as possible, that there could be no repetition of any such crimes in the future.

Quarriers' priorities since 2000 have been to provide a platform to assist survivors; to assist any police investigations in any way we can; and to try to ensure, as far as possible, that there could be no repetition of any such wrongdoings in the future by adopting a zero tolerance approach to abuse.

- ii. What is the basis of that assessment?

This assessment is based upon the criminal convictions of seven former employees who were house parents at Quarriers Village and the testimony of survivors in the *Time to be Heard* process. The second edition of Anna Magnusson's book on Quarriers, *The Quarriers Story*, (2006) also contains accounts of abuse. A former resident's account of abuse was published in the Sunday Mail in May 1984.

- iii. Against how many staff have complaints been made in relation to alleged abuse of children cared for at the establishment?

Quarriers is aware of complaints (including allegations made in court actions or compensation claims) against approximately 37 former members of staff.

- iv. How many staff have been convicted of, or admitted to, abuse of children cared for at the establishment?

We are aware that seven former members of staff have been convicted of abuse of children cared for at the establishment. To the best of our knowledge none of those former members of staff (with the exception of Mary Arnold/Drummond) admitted to abuse. We understand that Mary Arnold/Drummond originally pleaded guilty to some of the charges she faced but subsequently sought to withdraw her guilty plea. Press reports from the time suggest that she was not permitted to do so.



- v. How many staff have been found by the organisation/establishment to have abused children cared for at the establishment?

None. Quarriers did not conduct an internal investigation into the allegations of non-recent abuse at Quarriers Village. All of the allegations of abuse made after 2000 were in relation to abuse which had happened many years beforehand. Quarriers cooperated fully with Strathclyde police who were carrying out an in depth investigation in relation to allegations of non-recent abuse. Given the ongoing police investigation and the difficulties of investigating abuse from many years before it was not considered practical nor appropriate, to carry out a separate internal investigation.

Quarriers' priorities throughout were to assist the police investigation in any way it could and to ensure, so far as possible, that there could be no such crimes committed in the future.

- vi. In relation to questions iii – v above, what role did/do those members of staff had/have within the organisation/establishment?

We have addressed the answer to this question in relation to each of the alleged abusers in section 5.8. All of the staff who have been convicted were at one time house parents at Quarriers Village, although not necessarily for the duration of their employment.

- vii. To what extent did abuse and/or alleged abuse of children cared for at the establishment take place during off-site activities, trips and holidays?

This is unknown. The majority of allegations relate to alleged abuse at Quarriers Village. However, some of the criminal cases involved allegations of abuse outside the village. We are aware of the following:

Alexander (Sandy) Wilson was convicted of sexually assaulting [REDACTED] in the course of a journey between Helensburgh and Quarriers Village.

QGH [REDACTED] was accused of using lewd, indecent and libidinous practices towards [REDACTED] at Knapp Loch, Kilmarnock.

John Porteous was accused of having 'unnatural carnal connection' with FLD [REDACTED] at a house in Girvan.

Section 2.10.5 of the Time to be Heard report refers to allegations of abuse by former residents and people in the community who gave hospitality to children from Quarriers at weekends or on holiday trips.

- viii. To what extent was abuse and/or alleged abuse of children cared for at the establishment carried out by visitors and/or volunteers to the establishment?

This is unknown. The majority of allegations that Quarriers is aware of relate to former members of staff.

- ix. Have there been allegations of peer abuse?

Yes. One of those convicted of criminal offences, William Stuart Gilmore, was under the age of 16 at the time of some of the offences. William Gilmore was not a Quarriers employee. [REDACTED] The offences did not take place at Quarriers Village.

There have also been other allegations of peer abuse. For example, in Stephen Findleton's compensation claim, he alleged that he had been sexually abused by another boy, QCO [REDACTED] QCO [REDACTED]

The Time to be Heard report contains anonymous allegations of abuse by other child residents and the children of house parents.

[REDACTED]

From reviewing former resident's files, we are aware that complaints of peer abuse were made by [REDACTED], grandmother to former resident [REDACTED] on 11 January 1965 and 31 December 1967. In January 1965 it is documented that Mrs [REDACTED] had complained that girls were "interfering with each other." On 31 December 1967 it was alleged that a boy named [REDACTED], went to the girls' bedroom and "carried on" with a girl named [REDACTED]

██████████ and with ██████████

In the file of QJS ██████████ concerns were expressed in 1973 that she was seeking sexual satisfaction by interfering with younger children in her cottage.

The file of QJQ ██████████ contains allegations that he physically abused younger children.

The file of ██████████ contains reference to “peeping Tom type incidents, two attempts at interference with girls and twice being in bed with another boy.”

██████████ stated that her social work records suggested “sexual involvement with an older boy” when she was approximately five years old.

5.3 Timing of Disclosure/Complaint

- i. When were disclosures and complaints of abuse and/or alleged abuse of children cared for at the establishment made to the organisation or establishment?

Through the *Time to be Heard* forum, a number of participants stated that they reported abuse from the 1930s to the 1980s. They further reported that in some instances action was taken and in others it was not. Interviews took place for this process in 2010 and the report was published in 2011.

From reviewing some former residents’ records, we are aware that there were complaints of peer abuse at or around the time it took place as narrated in section 5.2(ix) above.

Quarriers is aware of allegations of sexual abuse being made against an employee (John Porteous) in the early 1980s. These allegations were made by FLD ██████████ also known as FLD ██████████. The circumstances surround these allegations are set out in answers 3.3(ii) and 5.3(i).

Allegations of abuse were also made by Jan McQueenie in a letter published in the Sunday Mail on 27 May 1984. Quarriers has been unable to trace any record of a complaint being made directly to them by Jan McQueenie. The letter contains Jan McQueenie’s account of her time in Quarriers from 1939 to 1946. The press article contains a statement attributed to Dr James Minto, the then director of Quarriers Homes.

The vast majority of documented allegations we have traced were made by way of compensation claim from 2000 onwards. The dates for complaints, letters of claim and the raising of civil proceedings are contained in the answers to 5.9 and 5.10.

- ii. To what extent were complaints and disclosures made while the abuse or alleged abuse was on-going or recent?

We have only traced one documented complaint against an employee which was made to management while the abuse or alleged abuse was ongoing or recent. That is the case of FLD ██████████ previously mentioned in sections 3.3(ii) and 5.3(i).

There have been allegations that physical abuse was reported either to house parents or

Quarriers' management and that such complaints were ignored or no action taken. However, due to the passage of time, we do not know to what extent this is accurate.

The testimonies provided by survivors to the Time to be Heard pilot forum suggested that sometimes action was taken following on complaints but on other occasions no action was taken.

We are aware that allegations of peer abuse were made whilst the alleged abuse was on-going or recent, as per the answer to question 5.2(ix) above.

It is possible that further documentation in relation to what happened in individual cases will be contained within individual children's files. We would be happy to assist the inquiry by providing copies of those records.

- iii. To what extent were/are complaints made many years after the alleged abuse i.e. about non-recent abuse?

The majority of documented allegations of abuse which Quarriers is aware of were made from 2000 onwards.

- iv. Are there any patterns of note in terms of the timing/disclosure of abuse and/or alleged abuse?

The majority of documented allegations of which Quarriers' management is aware were made many years after the events giving rise to the allegations. From 2000 onwards, press coverage over non-recent abuse of children as well as convictions of former employees may have encouraged survivors to come forward.

Many of the allegations of alleged abuse received from 2000 onwards were received by way of a letter of claim for compensation from firms of solicitors or by way of court actions.

5.4. External Inspections

- i. What external inspections have been conducted relating to children cared for at the establishment which considered issues relating to abuse and/or alleged abuse of children?

(i) HOME OFFICE INSPECTION

An extract from the Minute book dated 14 May 1953 is attached at Appendix D1. The minute reads as follows:

"Home Office Inspection. No further move had been made with regard to the various questions which had arisen and no further communications had been received from the Scottish Home Department, the Scottish Education Department or the County Education Committee. Dr Kelly had reported this in a letter addressed to the Secretary of State for Scotland dated 7th May 1953."

We have not been able to find any further information in relation to this inspection. We do not have any information on what the inspection involved or whether it considered issues relating to the abuse and/or alleged abuse of children.

(ii) CARE COMMISSION/CARE INSPECTORATE

The Care Commission and the Care Inspectorate have carried out inspections of the children's services at Quarriers Village from 2005 onwards. Each service is subject to announced and unannounced inspections. Dates of the reports for the Countryview, Merrybrook and Rivendell services in Quarriers Village are enclosed at Appendix D2. Those inspections involve consideration of child protection issues.

(iii) THE SCOTTISH INSTITUTE FOR RESIDENTIAL CHILD CARE (SIRCC) REPORT

In 2001, following the conviction in the Samuel McBrearty case, Quarriers initiated an independent review of the safety of the care provided for accommodated children and young people. During the period that the review was taking place, a number of other cases of alleged historic abuse came to light. Whilst many changes in policy and practice had taken place at Quarriers since the abuse had occurred, Quarriers wished to reassure themselves, service users, service users' families and local authorities making placements that they had minimised the likelihood that such abuse might be taking place currently or may take place in the future.

The review was commissioned by Quarriers from the Scottish Institute for Residential Child Care (SIRCC). A report entitled "Feeling Safe, Being Safe: A Review of the Safety of the Care Provided By Quarriers for Accommodated Children and Young People" was produced in September 2002.

The review found evidence that child safety had been an area of consistent attention and action by Quarriers in the recent years leading up to the review.

A number of recommendations were made. Those included tightening up safety procedures for medication; young people and parents being better informed about and having better access to complaints and other procedures; supervision; certain training and team-building for staff and care and unit development planning. The recommendations were accepted by Quarriers and were all fully implemented. The report is attached at Appendix D3.

For each such external inspection please answer the following:

ii. Who conducted the inspection?

- (i) Home Office representatives
- (ii) Care Commission/Care Inspectorate.
- (iii) The Scottish Institute for Residential Child Care SIRCC, Moira Hawthorn

iii. Why was the inspection conducted?

- (i) Not known.
- (ii) As part of the regulatory regime for care service providers to ensure good practice.
- (iii) Following the conviction of Samuel McBrearty and other emerging allegations, Quarriers wished to reassure themselves, service users, service users' families and local authorities making placements that they had minimised the likelihood that such abuse might be taking place currently or may take place in the future.

iv. When was the inspection conducted?

- (i) The inspection was conducted in 1953. Quarriers is unaware of any other dates.
(ii) Care Inspectorate inspections continue to the present day on an announced and unannounced basis. Inspections take place as often as the Care Inspectorate wishes. The dates are contained within Appendix D2.
(iii) The SIRCC report involved a number of interviews and observational visits from 13 August 2001 to 16 May 2002.

v. What was the outcome of the inspection in respect of any issues relating to abuse or alleged abuse of children?

- (i) Unknown.
(ii) Generally the reports have been positive. There are no references to any disclosures or concerns in relation to abuse. The reports have found that there are a range of policies in place addressing child protection. Staff have been found to have received the appropriate training to provide a safe environment for young people. This includes courses on child protection, risk assessment and CALM (Crisis and Aggression Limitation and Management).
(iii) The conclusions of the review are at page 37. The review found considerable evidence that child safety had been an area of consistent attention and action by Quarriers in recent years. In light of the findings of the review and Quarriers' intention to implement its recommendations, SIRCC stated that they considered Quarriers could legitimately claim that the risk of current and future abuse had been minimised and that their units are places where children and young people can feel and be safe.

vi. What was the organisation/establishment's response to the inspection and its outcome?

- (i) Unknown.
(ii) Quarriers is legally required to adhere to any recommendations or requirements of a Care Inspectorate report.
(iii) We understand that publication of the SIRCC report may have been delayed or embargoed. Current Quarriers' management does not know precisely what happened when the report was received. However, all of the recommendations have been implemented.

vii. Were recommendations made following the inspection?

- (i) Not known.
(ii) Yes.
(iii) Yes.

viii. If so, what were the recommendations and were they implemented?

- (i) Quarriers is unaware if recommendations were made following the Home Office Inspection.
(ii) In January 2009 a recommendation was made that the acting project manager for the Rivendell service (who had been in post for two weeks prior to the inspection) should undertake child protection training at the earliest opportunity. This recommendation was met and not repeated in following inspection reports. In May 2016 (included for the sake of

completeness) a recommendation was made that the existing child protection policies and procedures at Rivendell should be reviewed and consideration given as to how best to incorporate the most current information regarding child sexual exploitation. This reviewed policy should then be shared with staff in order to improve their understanding of risk and vulnerability factors relating to child sexual exploitation. The Child Protection policy has undergone a full review since this recommendation was made. All recommendations and requirements from Care Commission and Care Inspectorate reports have been implemented.

(iii) A number of recommendations were made. Those included tightening up safety procedures for medication; young people and parents being better informed about and having better access to complaints and other procedures; supervision; certain training and team-building for staff and care and unit development planning. The recommendations were accepted by Quarriers and were all fully implemented.

- ix. If recommendations were not implemented, why not?

Not applicable.

5.5 External Investigations

- i. What external investigations have been conducted relating to children cared for at the establishment which have considered issues relating to abuse and/or alleged abuse of children?

The following investigations have been conducted:

- (1) Strathclyde Police investigation – Operation Orbenia.
- (2) Scottish Institute for Residential Child Care Review (2002)

For each such external investigation please answer the following:

- ii. Who conducted the investigation?

- (1) Strathclyde Police
- (2) Scottish Institute for Residential Child Care

- iii. Why was the investigation conducted?

- (1) To investigate allegations of criminal conduct by former employees and residents of Quarriers.
- (2) To review the safety of the care provided for accommodated children and young people.

- iv. When was the investigation conducted?

(1) We understand that operation Orbenia was established in around 2002. However Strathclyde

Police had carried out investigations prior to that time in relation to some former Quarriers employees.

(2) 13 August 2001 to 16 May 2002.

- v. What was the outcome of the investigation in respect of any issues relating to abuse or alleged abuse of children?

(1) A number of criminal cases were brought against former Quarriers' employees and residents. A full list of the criminal charges of which we are aware is included at section 5.13.

(2) As per Answer 5.4 above.

- vi. What was the organisation/establishment's response to the investigation and its outcome?

(1) Following on the first conviction of a former Quarriers' employee Samuel McBrearty in 2001, Phil Robinson, then CEO of Quarriers, held a press conference acknowledging the verdict of the court and indicating that Quarriers' sympathies lay with the survivors. Following Mr McBrearty's sentencing hearing Mr Robinson indicated that sentencing was a matter for the courts and that Quarriers had co-operated fully with the authorities during the process of their investigations and would continue to do so if further cases arose. As narrated in Answer 5.4 Quarriers commissioned a review of the safety of children and young people in their care from the Scottish Institute for Residential Childcare.

Quarriers have made comments through the press in relation to other convictions arising out of Operation Orben. Those are narrated in sections 5.12 and 5.13.

In written evidence to the Scottish Parliament Public Petitions Committee in 2004, Phil Robinson stated: *"Throughout the past four years, I have consistently and publicly stated that our sympathies are with those whose lives have been blighted by the actions of those convicted individuals and that the organisation sincerely regrets these events of the past. I have met many survivors of child sexual abuse and organisations representing them. We have been more than willing to hear from their experience what more they think that we can do for survivors today. In this regard I align myself with the position adopted by the Minister in acknowledging the existence of historic abuse. Unlike the Executive however I am prepared to go further on this issue. Quarriers' position is that if any individual suffered abuse at Quarrier's then we apologise."*

(2) Quarriers implemented the recommended improvements.

- vii. Were recommendations made following the investigation?

(1) No recommendations made.

(2) Yes

viii. If so, what were the recommendations and were they implemented?

- (1) Not applicable.
 (2) The recommendations are contained in the report which is attached at Appendix D3.

ix. If recommendations were not implemented, why not?

Not applicable.

5.6 Response to External Inspections/Investigations

i. What was the organisation's procedure/process for dealing with external inspections and/or investigations relating to abuse, and/or alleged abuse, of children cared for at the establishment?

Quarriers' procedure for dealing with the Strathclyde Police investigation was to provide full cooperation and to assist the police in any way it could. Quarriers provided the police with approximately 280 sets of former residents' records. Quarriers former Chief Executive, Dr Phil Robinson, gave evidence at some of the criminal trials.

The SIRCC review was commissioned by Quarriers. This was an independent review, therefore Quarriers sought not to interfere with the procedure or process to ensure an impartial and thorough exercise.

ii. What was the organisation's procedure/process for responding to the outcomes of such external inspections and/or investigations?

Quarriers does not know what procedures or processes were in place for responding to the outcomes of any external inspections which may have been carried out at Quarriers Village between the 1930's and 1980s, which is the time period to which most allegations relate.

The organisation's more recent involvement in external inspections or investigations from 2000 onwards was dealt with almost exclusively by the Chief Executive at the time, Dr Phil Robinson. Quarriers was not informed about the details of the ongoing police investigations or the status of prosecutions. Quarriers, along with the general public, was made aware of the outcome of criminal proceedings through press coverage. Following the convictions, Dr Phil Robinson consistently indicated that Quarriers accepted the court's decisions and expressed sympathy for survivors.

iii. What was the organisation's procedure/process for implementing recommendations which followed from such external inspections and/or investigations?

The final SIRCC report was produced in September 2002, although not released until some years later. The recommendations were accepted by Quarriers and were fully implemented.

5.7 Impact

- i. What is known about the impact of abuse on those children cared for at the establishment who were abused, or alleged to have been abused?

Quarriers does not have direct knowledge of the precise impact upon those who were abused or allege to have been abused at Quarriers Village. Quarriers defers to the expertise of medical experts to provide this assessment. Quarriers recognises that childhood abuse may have a long-term emotional, developmental, physical and psychological impact upon survivors that affects every aspect of their lives.

- ii. Where does the organisation/establishment's knowledge/assessment of that impact come from?

Quarriers does not have direct knowledge of the precise impact.

- iii. What is known about the impact of abuse on the families of those children cared for at the establishment who were abused, or alleged to have been abused?

Quarriers does not have any direct knowledge of this.

- iv. Where does the organisation/establishment's knowledge/assessment of that impact come from?

Not applicable.

5.8 Known Abusers at Establishment	
i. Does the organisation/establishment know of specific abusers, or alleged abusers, of children cared for at the establishment	Yes
ii. If so, what are the names of the abusers and/or alleged abusers	(1) QFT [REDACTED]
iii. For each of these persons, please provide as much as possible of the following information: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The period (dates) during which they are known or alleged to have abused children cared for at the establishment The role they had in the organisation/establishment during the period of abuse and/or alleged abuse Where they worked prior to, and following their time at the organisation/establishment The knowledge sought or received about them by the organisation at the point of recruitment and while they were at the establishment Any information sought by, or provided to, future employers or third parties after they left the establishment, including regarding abuse or alleged abuse. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1976 to 1980 House father from [REDACTED] 1975 until [REDACTED] 1981. A letter dated 3 December 1981 indicates that Mr QFT was promoted to the position of senior housefather on [REDACTED] 1981. Mr QFT was employed as a residential social worker at Seafield School, Ardrossan. His commencement date for continuity of employment is given in his contract as [REDACTED] 1981. His commencement date is given as [REDACTED] 1995. He retired on [REDACTED] 1998. He also worked at Southannan School, Fairlie. Both of these schools were run by Quarriers. Not known. Not known.
ii. If so, what are the names of the abusers and/or alleged abusers	(2) QJL [REDACTED]
iii. For each of these persons, please provide as much as possible of the following information: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The period (dates) during which they are known or alleged to have abused children cared for at the establishment The role they had in the organisation/establishment during the period of abuse and/or alleged abuse Where they worked prior to, and following their time at the organisation/establishment The knowledge sought or received about them by the organisation at the point of recruitment and while they were at the establishment 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1937 – circa 1953. House mother. Not known. Not known. Not known.

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Any information sought by, or provided to, future employers or third parties after they left the establishment, including regarding abuse or alleged abuse. 	
---	--

<p>ii. If so, what are the names of the abusers and/or alleged abusers</p>	<p>(3) QOE [REDACTED]</p>
<p>iii. For each of these persons, please provide as much as possible of the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The period (dates) during which they are known or alleged to have abused children cared for at the establishment The role they had in the organisation/establishment during the period of abuse and/or alleged abuse Where they worked prior to, and following their time at the organisation/establishment The knowledge sought or received about them by the organisation at the point of recruitment and while they were at the establishment Any information sought by, or provided to, future employers or third parties after they left the establishment, including regarding abuse or alleged abuse. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1965-1977 House mother from [REDACTED] 1965 until [REDACTED] 1973. Not known. Not known. Not known.

<p>ii. If so, what are the names of the abusers and/or alleged abusers</p>	<p>(4) QDY [REDACTED]</p>
<p>iii. For each of these persons, please provide as much as possible of the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The period (dates) during which they are known or alleged to have abused children cared for at the establishment The role they had in the organisation/establishment during the period of abuse and/or alleged abuse Where they worked prior to, and following their time at the organisation/establishment The knowledge sought or received about them by the organisation at the point of recruitment and while they 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1965-1976 Youth Leader. Employed from [REDACTED] 1968 to [REDACTED] 1985. Not known. Not known. Not known.

<p>were at the establishment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Any information sought by, or provided to, future employers or third parties after they left the establishment, including regarding abuse or alleged abuse. 	
<p>ii. If so, what are the names of the abusers and/or alleged abusers</p>	<p>(5) QFX [REDACTED]</p>
<p>iii. For each of these persons, please provide as much as possible of the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The period (dates) during which they are known or alleged to have abused children cared for at the establishment The role they had in the organisation/establishment during the period of abuse and/or alleged abuse Where they worked prior to, and following their time at the organisation/establishment The knowledge sought or received about them by the organisation at the point of recruitment and while they were at the establishment Any information sought by, or provided to, future employers or third parties after they left the establishment, including regarding abuse or alleged abuse. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1971 - 1976 House father from [REDACTED] 1970 until [REDACTED] 1976. Noted on the register of house parents as having transferred to the hostel in December 1972. Noted to have ISTCCA qualification (we understand this relates to in-service childcare training). Not known. Not known. Not known.
<p>ii. If so, what are the names of the abusers and/or alleged abusers</p>	<p>(6) QDN [REDACTED]</p>
<p>iii. For each of these persons, please provide as much as possible of the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The period (dates) during which they are known or alleged to have abused children cared for at the establishment The role they had in the organisation/establishment during the period of abuse and/or alleged abuse Where they worked prior to, and following their time at the organisation/establishment The knowledge sought or received about them by the organisation at the point of recruitment and while they were at the establishment Any information sought by, or 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1970s. Resident. Not applicable as child resident. Not applicable as child resident. Not applicable as child resident.

<p>provided to, future employers or third parties after they left the establishment, including regarding abuse or alleged abuse.</p>	
--	--

	<p>(7) QAK [REDACTED]</p>
<p>iii. For each of these persons, please provide as much as possible of the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The period (dates) during which they are known or alleged to have abused children cared for at the establishment • The role they had in the organisation/establishment during the period of abuse and/or alleged abuse • Where they worked prior to, and following their time at the organisation/establishment • The knowledge sought or received about them by the organisation at the point of recruitment and while they were at the establishment • Any information sought by, or provided to, future employers or third parties after they left the establishment, including regarding abuse or alleged abuse. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1970s. • Resident. • Not applicable as child resident. • Not applicable as child resident. • Not applicable as child resident

	<p>(8) QDM [REDACTED]</p>
<p>iii. For each of these persons, please provide as much as possible of the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The period (dates) during which they are known or alleged to have abused children cared for at the establishment • The role they had in the organisation/establishment during the period of abuse and/or alleged 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1970's. • Resident. • Not applicable as child resident. • Not applicable as child resident. • Not applicable as child resident

<p>abuse</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Where they worked prior to, and following their time at the organisation/establishment • The knowledge sought or received about them by the organisation at the point of recruitment and while they were at the establishment • Any information sought by, or provided to, future employers or third parties after they left the establishment, including regarding abuse or alleged abuse. 	
--	--

<p>ii. If so, what are the names of the abusers and/or alleged abusers</p>	<p>(9) AMB [REDACTED]</p>
<p>iii. For each of these persons, please provide as much as possible of the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The period (dates) during which they are known or alleged to have abused children cared for at the establishment • The role they had in the organisation/establishment during the period of abuse and/or alleged abuse • Where they worked prior to, and following their time at the organisation/establishment • The knowledge sought or received about them by the organisation at the point of recruitment and while they were at the establishment • Any information sought by, or provided to, future employers or third parties after they left the establishment, including regarding abuse or alleged abuse. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Circa 1967. • Resident. • Not applicable as child resident. • Not applicable as child resident. . • Not applicable as child resident..

<p>ii. If so, what are the names of the abusers and/or alleged abusers</p>	<p>(10) QDE [REDACTED]</p>
<p>iii. For each of these persons, please provide as much as possible of the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The period (dates) during which they are known or alleged to have abused children cared for at the establishment • The role they had in the organisation/establishment during the period of abuse and/or alleged abuse • Where they worked prior to, and 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • [REDACTED] 1969-1974. • House father from [REDACTED] 1967 to [REDACTED] 1973. • Not known. • Not known. • Not known.

<p>following their time at the organisation/establishment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The knowledge sought or received about them by the organisation at the point of recruitment and while they were at the establishment • Any information sought by, or provided to, future employers or third parties after they left the establishment, including regarding abuse or alleged abuse. 	
---	--

<p>ii. If so, what are the names of the abusers and/or alleged abusers</p>	<p>(11) QDF [REDACTED]</p>
<p>iii. For each of these persons, please provide as much as possible of the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The period (dates) during which they are known or alleged to have abused children cared for at the establishment • The role they had in the organisation/establishment during the period of abuse and/or alleged abuse • Where they worked prior to, and following their time at the organisation/establishment • The knowledge sought or received about them by the organisation at the point of recruitment and while they were at the establishment • Any information sought by, or provided to, future employers or third parties after they left the establishment, including regarding abuse or alleged abuse. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • [REDACTED] 1969-1974. • House mother from [REDACTED] 1967 to [REDACTED] 1973. Noted on register of house parents to have ISTCCA qualification. • Not known. • Not known. • Not known.

<p>ii. If so, what are the names of the abusers and/or alleged abusers</p>	<p>(12) Mary Drummond/Arnold</p>
<p>iii. For each of these persons, please provide as much as possible of the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The period (dates) during which they are known or alleged to have abused children cared for at the establishment • The role they had in the organisation/establishment during the period of abuse and/or alleged abuse • Where they worked prior to, and following their time at the organisation/establishment 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1955-1961/2. • House mother. • Not known. • Not known. • Not known.

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The knowledge sought or received about them by the organisation at the point of recruitment and while they were at the establishment • Any information sought by, or provided to, future employers or third parties after they left the establishment, including regarding abuse or alleged abuse. 	
<p>ii. If so, what are the names of the abusers and/or alleged abusers</p>	(13) ^{QAQ} [REDACTED]
<p>iii. For each of these persons, please provide as much as possible of the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The period (dates) during which they are known or alleged to have abused children cared for at the establishment • The role they had in the organisation/establishment during the period of abuse and/or alleged abuse • Where they worked prior to, and following their time at the organisation/establishment • The knowledge sought or received about them by the organisation at the point of recruitment and while they were at the establishment • Any information sought by, or provided to, future employers or third parties after they left the establishment, including regarding abuse or alleged abuse. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1965 -1973 • House mother from [REDACTED] 1956. Noted on register of house parent to have transferred to housekeeping on [REDACTED] 1971. Qualifications noted as LRRCC & ISTCCA. • Not known. Ms ^{QAQ} [REDACTED] died on [REDACTED] 1980. • Not known. • Not known.
<p>ii. If so, what are the names of the abusers and/or alleged abusers</p>	(14) Former resident ^{QNH} [REDACTED]
<p>iii. For each of these persons, please provide as much as possible of the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The period (dates) during which they are known or alleged to have abused children cared for at the establishment • The role they had in the organisation/establishment during the period of abuse and/or alleged abuse • Where they worked prior to, and following their time at the organisation/establishment • The knowledge sought or received about them by the organisation at the 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not known. It is understood there may be an ongoing police investigation into this. • Understood to be child resident. • Not applicable as child resident. • Not applicable as child resident. • Not applicable as child resident.

<p>point of recruitment and while they were at the establishment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Any information sought by, or provided to, future employers or third parties after they left the establishment, including regarding abuse or alleged abuse. 	
<p>ii. If so, what are the names of the abusers and/or alleged abusers</p>	<p>(15) QJK [REDACTED]</p>
<p>iii. For each of these persons, please provide as much as possible of the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The period (dates) during which they are known or alleged to have abused children cared for at the establishment The role they had in the organisation/establishment during the period of abuse and/or alleged abuse Where they worked prior to, and following their time at the organisation/establishment The knowledge sought or received about them by the organisation at the point of recruitment and while they were at the establishment Any information sought by, or provided to, future employers or third parties after they left the establishment, including regarding abuse or alleged abuse. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1975-1988 Housemother from [REDACTED] 1973 to [REDACTED] 1986. Day Care Assistant from [REDACTED] 1986 to [REDACTED] 1988. Not known. Not known. Not known.
<p>ii. If so, what are the names of the abusers and/or alleged abusers</p>	<p>(16) QFO [REDACTED]</p>
<p>iii. For each of these persons, please provide as much as possible of the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The period (dates) during which they are known or alleged to have abused children cared for at the establishment The role they had in the organisation/establishment during the period of abuse and/or alleged abuse Where they worked prior to, and following their time at the organisation/establishment The knowledge sought or received about them by the organisation at the point of recruitment and while they were at the establishment 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1975-1988 House father from [REDACTED] 1973 to [REDACTED] 1989. Not known. Not known. Not known.

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Any information sought by, or provided to, future employers or third parties after they left the establishment, including regarding abuse or alleged abuse. 	
---	--

<p>ii. If so, what are the names of the abusers and/or alleged abusers</p>	<p>(17) Mr QKG</p>
<p>iii. For each of these persons, please provide as much as possible of the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The period (dates) during which they are known or alleged to have abused children cared for at the establishment The role they had in the organisation/establishment during the period of abuse and/or alleged abuse Where they worked prior to, and following their time at the organisation/establishment The knowledge sought or received about them by the organisation at the point of recruitment and while they were at the establishment Any information sought by, or provided to, future employers or third parties after they left the establishment, including regarding abuse or alleged abuse. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1968-1981 House father 1973 until 1978. Not known. Not known. Not known.

<p>ii. If so, what are the names of the abusers and/or alleged abusers</p>	<p>(18) Mr QDC</p>
<p>iii. For each of these persons, please provide as much as possible of the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The period (dates) during which they are known or alleged to have abused children cared for at the establishment The role they had in the organisation/establishment during the period of abuse and/or alleged abuse Where they worked prior to, and following their time at the organisation/establishment The knowledge sought or received about them by the organisation at the point of recruitment and while they were at the establishment Any information sought by, or provided to, future employers or third 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1961 to 1962 Not known Not known Not known Not known

<p>parties after they left the establishment, including regarding abuse or alleged abuse.</p>	
<p>ii. If so, what are the names of the abusers and/or alleged abusers</p>	<p>(19) Mr QJF [REDACTED]</p>
<p>iii. For each of these persons, please provide as much as possible of the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The period (dates) during which they are known or alleged to have abused children cared for at the establishment • The role they had in the organisation/establishment during the period of abuse and/or alleged abuse • Where they worked prior to, and following their time at the organisation/establishment • The knowledge sought or received about them by the organisation at the point of recruitment and while they were at the establishment • Any information sought by, or provided to, future employers or third parties after they left the establishment, including regarding abuse or alleged abuse. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • [REDACTED] 1961 to [REDACTED] 1962 • Not known. • Not known. • Not known. • Not known
<p>ii. If so, what are the names of the abusers and/or alleged abusers</p>	<p>(20) QFF [REDACTED]</p>
<p>iii. For each of these persons, please provide as much as possible of the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The period (dates) during which they are known or alleged to have abused children cared for at the establishment • The role they had in the organisation/establishment during the period of abuse and/or alleged abuse • Where they worked prior to, and following their time at the organisation/establishment • The knowledge sought or received about them by the organisation at the point of recruitment and while they were at the establishment • Any information sought by, or provided to, future employers or third parties after they left the 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Unknown. • House father from [REDACTED] 1966 until [REDACTED] 1973 (qualification in register of house parents noted as - RCCC) • Not known. • Not known. • Not known.

establishment, including regarding abuse or alleged abuse.	
ii. If so, what are the names of the abusers and/or alleged abusers	(21) Samuel McBrearty
<p>iii. For each of these persons, please provide as much as possible of the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The period (dates) during which they are known or alleged to have abused children cared for at the establishment • The role they had in the organisation/establishment during the period of abuse and/or alleged abuse • Where they worked prior to, and following their time at the organisation/establishment • The knowledge sought or received about them by the organisation at the point of recruitment and while they were at the establishment • Any information sought by, or provided to, future employers or third parties after they left the establishment, including regarding abuse or alleged abuse. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1955-1968 • House father. • Not known where worked before working at Quarriers. Press reports state that went on to work in social work in Aberdeen. • Not known. • Not known.
ii. If so, what are the names of the abusers and/or alleged abusers	(22) APM
<p>iii. For each of these persons, please provide as much as possible of the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The period (dates) during which they are known or alleged to have abused children cared for at the establishment • The role they had in the organisation/establishment during the period of abuse and/or alleged abuse • Where they worked prior to, and following their time at the organisation/establishment • The knowledge sought or received about them by the organisation at the point of recruitment and while they were at the establishment • Any information sought by, or provided to, future employers or third parties after they left the establishment, including regarding 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1955-1965. • Not known. • Not known. • Not known. • Not known.

abuse or alleged abuse.	
ii. If so, what are the names of the abusers and/or alleged abusers	(23) Mr QGY
<p>iii. For each of these persons, please provide as much as possible of the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The period (dates) during which they are known or alleged to have abused children cared for at the establishment • The role they had in the organisation/establishment during the period of abuse and/or alleged abuse • Where they worked prior to, and following their time at the organisation/establishment • The knowledge sought or received about them by the organisation at the point of recruitment and while they were at the establishment • Any information sought by, or provided to, future employers or third parties after they left the establishment, including regarding abuse or alleged abuse. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One occasion between 1939 and 1954. • Not known. • Not known. • Not known. • Not known.
ii. If so, what are the names of the abusers and/or alleged abusers	(24) QMP
<p>iii. For each of these persons, please provide as much as possible of the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The period (dates) during which they are known or alleged to have abused children cared for at the establishment • The role they had in the organisation/establishment during the period of abuse and/or alleged abuse • Where they worked prior to, and following their time at the organisation/establishment • The knowledge sought or received about them by the organisation at the point of recruitment and while they were at the establishment • Any information sought by, or provided to, future employers or third parties after they left the establishment, including regarding 	<p>QMP</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1971-1973 • House mother from 1960 and retired on 1983. Described on payroll cards as Senior Cottage mother. • Not known. • Not known. • Not known.

<p>abuse or alleged abuse.</p>	
<p>ii. If so, what are the names of the abusers and/or alleged abusers</p>	<p>(25) QMO [REDACTED]</p>
<p>iii. For each of these persons, please provide as much as possible of the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The period (dates) during which they are known or alleged to have abused children cared for at the establishment • The role they had in the organisation/establishment during the period of abuse and/or alleged abuse • Where they worked prior to, and following their time at the organisation/establishment • The knowledge sought or received about them by the organisation at the point of recruitment and while they were at the establishment • Any information sought by, or provided to, future employers or third parties after they left the establishment, including regarding abuse or alleged abuse. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1971-1973 • House father from [REDACTED] 1960 and retired on [REDACTED] 1983. Qualifications noted in register of house parents as LRRCC & ISTCCA. Listed on payroll cards as senior cottage father. • Not known. • Not known. • Not known.
<p>ii. If so, what are the names of the abusers and/or alleged abusers</p>	<p>(26) Mrs APO [REDACTED]</p>
<p>iii. For each of these persons, please provide as much as possible of the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The period (dates) during which they are known or alleged to have abused children cared for at the establishment • The role they had in the organisation/establishment during the period of abuse and/or alleged abuse • Where they worked prior to, and following their time at the organisation/establishment • The knowledge sought or received about them by the organisation at the point of recruitment and while they were at the establishment • Any information sought by, or provided to, future employers or third parties after they left the establishment, including regarding 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1975-1982 • House assistant from [REDACTED] 1981. Noted in register "transferred RH". • Not known. • Not known. • Not known.

abuse or alleged abuse.	
ii. If so, what are the names of the abusers and/or alleged abusers	(27) Mrs zQFP
<p>iii. For each of these persons, please provide as much as possible of the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The period (dates) during which they are known or alleged to have abused children cared for at the establishment • The role they had in the organisation/establishment during the period of abuse and/or alleged abuse • Where they worked prior to, and following their time at the organisation/establishment • The knowledge sought or received about them by the organisation at the point of recruitment and while they were at the establishment • Any information sought by, or provided to, future employers or third parties after they left the establishment, including regarding abuse or alleged abuse. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1963 • House parent • Not known • Not known • Not known
ii. If so, what are the names of the abusers and/or alleged abusers	(28) Mr QMM
<p>iii. For each of these persons, please provide as much as possible of the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The period (dates) during which they are known or alleged to have abused children cared for at the establishment • The role they had in the organisation/establishment during the period of abuse and/or alleged abuse • Where they worked prior to, and following their time at the organisation/establishment • The knowledge sought or received about them by the organisation at the point of recruitment and while they were at the establishment • Any information sought by, or provided to, future employers or third parties after they left the establishment, including regarding 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1963 • House parent • Not known • Not known • Not known

abuse or alleged abuse.	
<p>ii. If so, what are the names of the abusers and/or alleged abusers</p> <p>iii. For each of these persons, please provide as much as possible of the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The period (dates) during which they are known or alleged to have abused children cared for at the establishment • The role they had in the organisation/establishment during the period of abuse and/or alleged abuse • Where they worked prior to, and following their time at the organisation/establishment • The knowledge sought or received about them by the organisation at the point of recruitment and while they were at the establishment • Any information sought by, or provided to, future employers or third parties after they left the establishment, including regarding abuse or alleged abuse. 	<p>(29) QGU</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1976-1986 • Not known. • Not known • Not known • Not known
<p>ii. If so, what are the names of the abusers and/or alleged abusers</p> <p>iii. For each of these persons, please provide as much as possible of the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The period (dates) during which they are known or alleged to have abused children cared for at the establishment • The role they had in the organisation/establishment during the period of abuse and/or alleged abuse • Where they worked prior to, and following their time at the organisation/establishment • The knowledge sought or received about them by the organisation at the point of recruitment and while they were at the establishment • Any information sought by, or provided to, future employers or third parties after they left the establishment, including regarding abuse or alleged abuse. 	<p>(30) QAA</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1967-1973. Alleged to have slapped [REDACTED] on one occasion. • [REDACTED] • [REDACTED] • Not known. • Not known but unlikely to be applicable.

ii. If so, what are the names of the abusers and/or alleged abusers	(31) Joseph Nicholson
iii. For each of these persons, please provide as much as possible of the following information: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The period (dates) during which they are known or alleged to have abused children cared for at the establishment • The role they had in the organisation/establishment during the period of abuse and/or alleged abuse • Where they worked prior to, and following their time at the organisation/establishment • The knowledge sought or received about them by the organisation at the point of recruitment and while they were at the establishment • Any information sought by, or provided to, future employers or third parties after they left the establishment, including regarding abuse or alleged abuse. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1965 to 1982 • House father from circa 1 August 1964. Promoted to social work department on 30 September 1974. According to payroll records left December 1979. • Not known. • Not known. • Not known.
ii. If so, what are the names of the abusers and/or alleged abusers	(32) John Porteous
iii. For each of these persons, please provide as much as possible of the following information: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The period (dates) during which they are known or alleged to have abused children cared for at the establishment • The role they had in the organisation/establishment during the period of abuse and/or alleged abuse • Where they worked prior to, and following their time at the organisation/establishment • The knowledge sought or received about them by the organisation at the point of recruitment and while they were at the establishment • Any information sought by, or provided to, future employers or third parties after they left the establishment, including regarding abuse or alleged abuse. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 8 March 1969 -1982 • House father from 24 March 1969. Transferred to become fire prevention officer on 31 March 1975 (qualification in register of house parents noted as ISTCCA). • Payroll records confirm that Mr Porteous was employed from 24 March 1969 until 3 April 1998. • Not known. • Not known.
ii. If so, what are the names of the abusers and/or alleged abusers	(33) QKR

<p>iii. For each of these persons, please provide as much as possible of the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The period (dates) during which they are known or alleged to have abused children cared for at the establishment • The role they had in the organisation/establishment during the period of abuse and/or alleged abuse • Where they worked prior to, and following their time at the organisation/establishment • The knowledge sought or received about them by the organisation at the point of recruitment and while they were at the establishment • Any information sought by, or provided to, future employers or third parties after they left the establishment, including regarding abuse or alleged abuse. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ██████████ 1969 -1982 • House mother from ██████████ 1965 Transferred to housekeeping ██████████ 1975. Left ██████████ 1989. • Our anecdotal understanding is that QKR ██████████ was a daughter of house parents in Quarriers. She obtained a residential childcare certificate (RCCC) from Langside College. She then worked as an assistant house mother and then sole house parent. • Not known. • Not known.
--	---

<p>ii. If so, what are the names of the abusers and/or alleged abusers</p>	<p>(34) QFI ██████████</p>
<p>iii. For each of these persons, please provide as much as possible of the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The period (dates) during which they are known or alleged to have abused children cared for at the establishment • The role they had in the organisation/establishment during the period of abuse and/or alleged abuse • Where they worked prior to, and following their time at the organisation/establishment • The knowledge sought or received about them by the organisation at the point of recruitment and while they were at the establishment • Any information sought by, or provided to, future employers or third parties after they left the establishment, including regarding abuse or alleged abuse. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1961-1963 • House father • Not known • Not known • Not known

<p>ii. If so, what are the names of the abusers and/or alleged abusers</p>	<p>(35) QNJ ██████████</p>
--	----------------------------

<p>iii. For each of these persons, please provide as much as possible of the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The period (dates) during which they are known or alleged to have abused children cared for at the establishment • The role they had in the organisation/establishment during the period of abuse and/or alleged abuse • Where they worked prior to, and following their time at the organisation/establishment • The knowledge sought or received about them by the organisation at the point of recruitment and while they were at the establishment • Any information sought by, or provided to, future employers or third parties after they left the establishment, including regarding abuse or alleged abuse. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1965-1984 • House mother from [REDACTED] 1971 to [REDACTED] 1987. • Not known. • Not known. • Not known.
--	--

<p>ii. If so, what are the names of the abusers and/or alleged abusers</p>	<p>(36) QNI [REDACTED]</p>
<p>iii. For each of these persons, please provide as much as possible of the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The period (dates) during which they are known or alleged to have abused children cared for at the establishment • The role they had in the organisation/establishment during the period of abuse and/or alleged abuse • Where they worked prior to, and following their time at the organisation/establishment • The knowledge sought or received about them by the organisation at the point of recruitment and while they were at the establishment • Any information sought by, or provided to, future employers or third parties after they left the establishment, including regarding abuse or alleged abuse. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1965-1984 • House father from [REDACTED] 1971, end date unknown (qualification in register of house parents - ISTCCA). • Not known • Not known • Not known

<p>ii. If so, what are the names of the abusers and/or alleged abusers</p>	<p>(37) QGP [REDACTED]</p>
--	----------------------------

<p>iii. For each of these persons, please provide as much as possible of the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The period (dates) during which they are known or alleged to have abused children cared for at the establishment • The role they had in the organisation/establishment during the period of abuse and/or alleged abuse • Where they worked prior to, and following their time at the organisation/establishment • The knowledge sought or received about them by the organisation at the point of recruitment and while they were at the establishment • Any information sought by, or provided to, future employers or third parties after they left the establishment, including regarding abuse or alleged abuse. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1981-1985 • House mother from [REDACTED] 1978. Subsequently referred to on payroll records as deputy officer in charge. Left [REDACTED] 1989. • Not known. • Not known. • Not known.
--	--

<p>ii. If so, what are the names of the abusers and/or alleged abusers</p>	<p>(38) QGH [REDACTED]</p>
<p>iii. For each of these persons, please provide as much as possible of the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The period (dates) during which they are known or alleged to have abused children cared for at the establishment • The role they had in the organisation/establishment during the period of abuse and/or alleged abuse • Where they worked prior to, and following their time at the organisation/establishment • The knowledge sought or received about them by the organisation at the point of recruitment and while they were at the establishment • Any information sought by, or provided to, future employers or third parties after they left the establishment, including regarding abuse or alleged abuse. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1978 to 1985 • House father • Not known • Not known • Not known

<p>ii. If so, what are the names of the abusers and/or alleged abusers</p>	<p>(39) Ruth Wallace</p>
--	--------------------------

<p>iii. For each of these persons, please provide as much as possible of the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The period (dates) during which they are known or alleged to have abused children cared for at the establishment • The role they had in the organisation/establishment during the period of abuse and/or alleged abuse • Where they worked prior to, and following their time at the organisation/establishment • The knowledge sought or received about them by the organisation at the point of recruitment and while they were at the establishment • Any information sought by, or provided to, future employers or third parties after they left the establishment, including regarding abuse or alleged abuse. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1971 - 1982 • House mother. Payroll records confirm employment between January 1975 and March 1984. • Not known. • Not known. • Not known.
<p>ii. If so, what are the names of the abusers and/or alleged abusers</p>	<p>(40) QCO</p>
<p>iii. For each of these persons, please provide as much as possible of the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The period (dates) during which they are known or alleged to have abused children cared for at the establishment • The role they had in the organisation/establishment during the period of abuse and/or alleged abuse • Where they worked prior to, and following their time at the organisation/establishment • The knowledge sought or received about them by the organisation at the point of recruitment and while they were at the establishment • Any information sought by, or provided to, future employers or third parties after they left the establishment, including regarding abuse or alleged abuse. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Circa 1970/1971 • Resident • Not applicable, child resident. • Not applicable as child resident. • Not applicable as child resident.
<p>ii. If so, what are the names of the abusers and/or alleged abusers</p>	<p>(41) QBS</p>

<p>iii. For each of these persons, please provide as much as possible of the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The period (dates) during which they are known or alleged to have abused children cared for at the establishment • The role they had in the organisation/establishment during the period of abuse and/or alleged abuse • Where they worked prior to, and following their time at the organisation/establishment • The knowledge sought or received about them by the organisation at the point of recruitment and while they were at the establishment • Any information sought by, or provided to, future employers or third parties after they left the establishment, including regarding abuse or alleged abuse. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1972-1982 • House mother from [REDACTED] 1974. End date [REDACTED] 1987. We understand that Mrs QBS [REDACTED] was made redundant. • Payroll records given Mrs QBS [REDACTED] period of employment as [REDACTED] 1974 to [REDACTED] 1987. We understand that Mrs QBS [REDACTED] was made redundant. • Not known. • Not known.
--	---

<p>ii. If so, what are the names of the abusers and/or alleged abusers</p>	<p>(42) QBT [REDACTED]</p>
<p>iii. For each of these persons, please provide as much as possible of the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The period (dates) during which they are known or alleged to have abused children cared for at the establishment • The role they had in the organisation/establishment during the period of abuse and/or alleged abuse • Where they worked prior to, and following their time at the organisation/establishment • The knowledge sought or received about them by the organisation at the point of recruitment and while they were at the establishment • Any information sought by, or provided to, future employers or third parties after they left the establishment, including regarding abuse or alleged abuse. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1972-1982 • House father from [REDACTED] 1978. • Payroll records confirm that Mr QBT [REDACTED] was employed from [REDACTED] 1975 until [REDACTED] 1987. We understand that Mr QBT [REDACTED] worked at Rolls Royce as an engineer prior to living at Quarriers. He continued working there for a year and then worked full time at Quarriers. He worked at Quarriers until 1987 when he was made redundant. • Not known. • Not known.

<p>ii. If so, what are the names of the abusers and/or alleged abusers</p>	<p>(43) Alexander Wilson</p>
--	------------------------------

<p>iii. For each of these persons, please provide as much as possible of the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The period (dates) during which they are known or alleged to have abused children cared for at the establishment • The role they had in the organisation/establishment during the period of abuse and/or alleged abuse • Where they worked prior to, and following their time at the organisation/establishment • The knowledge sought or received about them by the organisation at the point of recruitment and while they were at the establishment • Any information sought by, or provided to, future employers or third parties after they left the establishment, including regarding abuse or alleged abuse. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1965-1974 • House father from 15 October 1967 until 5 January 1973. • According to a report compiled by Dr Phil Robinson on 4 June 2003, Mr Wilson was employed as a house father until 1973. He and his wife left Quarriers' employment in 1973 and moved to the Birmingham area. However the following year they returned to Quarriers. Mr Wilson worked in the village laundry. On 1 December 1980 Mr Wilson became a cottage assistant with Quarriers SP Unit, which seemed to involve him providing support to a number of former Quarriers boys living in supported flats in Foxbar, Paisley. He remained in this position until 30 June 1987 when he was made redundant. • Not known. • Not known.
<p>ii. If so, what are the names of the abusers and/or alleged abusers</p>	<p>(44) QFK (we are not aware of the full name of this person).</p>
<p>iii. For each of these persons, please provide as much as possible of the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The period (dates) during which they are known or alleged to have abused children cared for at the establishment • The role they had in the organisation/establishment during the period of abuse and/or alleged abuse • Where they worked prior to, and following their time at the organisation/establishment • The knowledge sought or received about them by the organisation at the point of recruitment and while they were at the establishment • Any information sought by, or provided to, future employers or third parties after they left the establishment, including regarding abuse or alleged abuse. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1968-1977. • Care worker. • Not known. • Not known. • Not known.

<p>iv. Were known abusers, or alleged abusers, of children cared for at the establishment moved from one establishment run by the organisation to another establishment run by the organisation?</p>	<p>This is not known. We have not traced any records to suggest that Quarriers moved employees from one establishment to another after allegations of abuse had been made against them. However, we are aware that some employees, against whom allegations were made after 2000, moved from Quarriers Village to work at other establishments. In particular, QFT was a house parent at Quarriers Village. He subsequently became a residential social worker at Southannan school and then at Seafield School.</p>
<p>v. If so, why was this considered to be appropriate?</p>	<p>Not known.</p>
<p>vi. If so, what process of monitoring/supervision followed at the new establishment</p>	<p>We have not been able to trace the process for monitoring/supervision in place at Southannan or Seafield in the 1990s.</p> <p>Quarriers commenced SCRO police checks in 1995. SCRO checks were superseded by Disclosure Scotland in April 2002 and then by PVG checks in February 2011.</p> <p>Seafield School was registered with the Care Inspectorate on 1 April 2002.</p>

5.9 Specific Complaints	
i. How many specific complaints of abuse of children cared for at the establishment have been made to the establishment/organisation.	In this section we have only included details of what we consider to be specific complaints of abuse at Quarriers Village. It does not include those whose initial complaint was made by way of a claim for compensation or who have brought civil actions (those instances are included in section 5.10 below). It also does not include those who may have been complainers in criminal investigations or proceedings (Police Scotland will hold more comprehensive information on this). Further when former residents make enquiries about obtaining their records, they sometimes will disclose that they were abused. These disclosures are not considered to be specific complaints. It has not been feasible for us to review all former residents' files. There may therefore be historic documentation in relation to complaints of abuse (as defined in the Inquiry's Terms of Reference) in our records which we have not yet traced.

5.9 Specific Complaints	
ii. Who made the complaint	(1) QKU
iii. When was the complaint made?	Phil Robinson (ex CEO of Quarriers) received a telephone call in November 2009, Quarriers also received email communication from QKU enquiring why the boys sent to Australia were not contacted as part of the police investigations.
iv. Against whom was the complaint made?	No details were provided.
v. What was the nature of the complaint?	Abuse at Quarriers.
vi. When/over what period was the abuse alleged to have taken place?	No details were provided.
vii. What was the organisation/establishment's process and approach in dealing with the complaint	Quarriers advised Mr QKU to contact the police in Australia where he lived.
viii. What was the organisation/establishment's process and approach for the investigating the complaint	Insufficient information was provided to allow anything further to be done.
ix. What was the outcome of the complaint following the investigation?	Not applicable.
x. Did the organisation/establishment provide a specific response	No.

to the complaint?	
xi. If so, what was the form of response eg apology redress, pastoral responses or any other type of response?	Not applicable.
xii. If there was no response, why not?	Insufficient information was provided.
Xiii Was the information/content of the complaint passed to the police?	No.
xiv. If not, why not?	Insufficient information was provided to allow this to be done. Mr QKU was advised to contact the police directly.

5.9 Specific Complaints	
ii. Who made the complaint	(2) [REDACTED]
iii. When was the complaint made?	October 2004
iv. Against whom was the complaint made?	Quarriers.
v. What was the nature of the complaint?	Sexual involvement with an older boy when five years old.
vi. When/over what period was the abuse alleged to have taken place?	Circa 1975.
vii. What was the organisation/establishment's process and approach in dealing with the complaint	<p>The complainer requested a copy of her Quarriers' records around April 2004. She first met the Aftercare worker in July 2004. This meeting was precipitated by her receiving a copy of her social work records from Aberdeen which she found disturbing. A second visit with the Aftercare worker took place on 21 September 2004 with a further visit arranged for October 2004. During October 2004, the complainer made a large number of phone calls, many of which were abusive, to the Aftercare worker and to Quarriers switchboard. During one of those calls on 18 October 2004 she indicated that she believed that some forms had been removed from her records and that the real reason she had been moved from Quarriers Village to Overbridge was due to "sexual involvement with an older boy".</p> <p>She declined to complete a complaint form. The abusive phone calls continued and eventually an interdict was obtained on behalf of Quarriers and the Aftercare Worker ([REDACTED]).</p>
viii. What was the organisation/establishment's process and approach for the investigating the complaint	No complaint form was ever completed so the complaint was not investigated.
ix. What was the outcome of the complaint following the	Not applicable.

investigation?	
x. Did the organisation/establishment provide a specific response to the complaint?	No.
xi. If so, what was the form of response eg apology redress, pastoral responses or any other type of response?	Not applicable.
xii. If there was no response, why not?	The matter was not treated as a complaint.
Xiii Was the information/content of the complaint passed to the police?	No.
xiv. If not, why not?	Insufficient information provided.


5.9 Specific Complaints	
ii. Who made the complaint	(3) ██████████ (grandmother)
iii. When was the complaint made?	11 January 1965 and 31 December 1967
iv. Against whom was the complaint made?	1965 – ██████████ (resident), ██████████ and ██████████ ██████████ 1967 – ██████████
v. What was the nature of the complaint?	1965 – peer abuse 1967 – peer abuse
vi. When/over what period was the abuse alleged to have taken place?	Contemporaneously.
vii. What was the organisation/establishment's process and approach in dealing with the complaint	1965 – Mrs ██████████ concerns were discussed with her and the children. Not clear what procedure was followed. 1967 – the superintendent requested a report from the houseparents. The houseparents spoke to the children and provided a report.
viii. What was the organisation/establishment's process and approach for the investigating the complaint	1965 – not clear from records. 1967 - the superintendent requested a report from the houseparents. The houseparents spoke to the children and provided a report.
ix. What was the outcome of the complaint following the investigation?	1965 – unclear. 1967 – the houseparents preferred ██████████ account of events. ██████████ denied behaving inappropriately towards ██████████ but he "admitted a little misconduct with ██████████ ██████████ was noted to have


	admitted that she told a lie about what had happened between her and [REDACTED]
x. Did the organisation/establishment provide a specific response to the complaint?	1965 – unclear from records. 1967 – yes. The superintendent wrote to Mrs [REDACTED] on 10 January 1968 assuring her there was no cause for concern.
xi. If so, what was the form of response eg apology redress, pastoral responses or any other type of response?	1965 – unknown. 1967 – letter to Mrs [REDACTED]
xii. If there was no response, why not?	1965 - unknown. 1967 – not applicable.
Xiii Was the information/content of the complaint passed to the police?	The available records do not suggest so.
xiv. If not, why not?	1965 – unknown. 1967 – unknown but presumably because those involved were children and the superintendent did not consider there was evidence of criminal activity.

5.9 Specific Complaints	
ii. Who made the complaint	(4) FLD [REDACTED]
iii. When was the complaint made?	January 1982
iv. Against whom was the complaint made?	John Porteous
v. What was the nature of the complaint?	Sexual abuse
vi. When/over what period was the abuse alleged to have taken place?	Over the previous year
vii. What was the organisation/establishment's process and approach in dealing with the complaint	We are not aware of a written procedure for dealing with such complaints at the time. However, the police were contacted and asked to investigate the complaint which involved allegations of a criminal nature.
viii. What was the organisation/establishment's process and approach for the investigating the complaint	According to the available records Mr Porteous accused the complainer of having taken money from his house. The complainer denied this and almost immediately reported to his house mother (who we understand to have been Mrs QGP [REDACTED]) that John Porteous had sexually abused him. He claimed that this had been going on for over the past year. The police were contacted and asked to investigate the complaint. There does not appear to have been any form of internal investigation. However Quarriers were informed of the outcome of the police investigation.

ix. What was the outcome of the complaint following the investigation?	According to Quarriers' records the police investigated the incident but did not consider there was sufficient evidence to bring charges. The records suggest that the police investigation included medical and forensic tests. The records state that the complainant told the police officer about various identifiable marks on John Porteous' body. On examination those marks were not found to be there. At this point the police dropped their investigation.
x. Did the organisation/establishment provide a specific response to the complaint?	No. However the complainant was seen on a number of occasions by Quarriers' psychiatrist Jean Morris. She referred him to Mrs Evelyn Sheffer, psychologist, for further assessment at the Douglas Inch Centre, Glasgow.
xi. If so, what was the form of response eg apology redress, pastoral responses or any other type of response?	As above, FLD was seen by the Quarriers psychologist and referred for psychiatric assessment and counselling.
xii. If there was no response, why not?	Not applicable.
Xiii Was the information/content of the complaint passed to the police?	Yes, the police were contacted at an early stage when the allegation came to light.
xiv. If not, why not?	Not applicable as the police were involved.

5.10 Civil Actions	
i. How many civil actions have been brought against the organisation and/or establishment relating to abuse, or alleged abuse, of children cared for at the establishment?.	In this section, as well as court actions, we have also included claims for compensation which did not progress to become court actions. We have traced a total of 27 claims or court action (19 court actions raised in the Court of Session and 8 claims with no further court action).

5.10 Civil actions	
ii. Who brought the action	
iii. When was the action brought?	
iv. Against whom was the action brought?	
v. What was the nature of the abuse or alleged abuse to which the action related?	
vi. What were the names of the persons said to have or alleged to have committed abuse?	
vii. When/over what period was the abuse said or alleged to have taken place?	
viii. How did the action progress?	
ix. What was the outcome?	
x. Was the action settled on a conditional basis of confidentiality	
xi. Who was/were the organisation/establishment's legal representative(s) in relation to the civil action?	
xii. Did the organisation/establishment carry insurance for meeting civil claims at the time the action was live?	
xiii How/where can copies of the court papers relating to the civil action be made available to the Inquiry?	

5.10 Civil actions	
ii. Who brought the action	(2)  (Matheson Ritchie) – claim only
iii. When was the action	Letter of claim dated 23 April 2001.

brought?	
iv. Against whom was the action brought?	
v. What was the nature of the abuse or alleged abuse to which the action related?	
vi. What were the names of the persons said to have or alleged to have committed abuse?	
vii. When/over what period was the abuse said or alleged to have taken place?	
viii. How did the action progress?	
ix. What was the outcome?	
x. Was the action settled on a conditional basis of confidentiality?	
xi. Who was/were the organisation/establishment's legal representative(s) in relation to the civil action?	
xii. Did the organisation/establishment carry insurance for meeting civil claims at the time the action was live?	
Xiii How/where can copies of the court papers relating to the civil action be made available to the Inquiry?	

5.10 Civil actions	
ii. Who brought the action	(3) [REDACTED] (Stirling & Mair solicitors) – claim only
iii. When was the action brought?	Letter of claim dated 10 November 2001.
iv. Against whom was the action brought?	Quarriers
v. What was the nature of the abuse or alleged abuse to which the action related?	Sexual and physical abuse and deprivation of contact with family.
vi. What were the names of the persons said to have or alleged to have committed abuse?	Joseph Nicholson
vii. When/over what period was the abuse said or alleged to have taken place?	May 1972 to November 1974.
viii. How did the action progress?	The matter was passed to insurers for ongoing handling. The insurers instructed solicitors. The claimant's file was provided to his solicitors. Details of the allegations were sought but none were received. The last correspondence from the claimant's solicitors was on 8 August 2003.

ix. What was the outcome?	No action was raised.
x. Was the action settled on a conditional basis of confidentiality	No.
xi. Who was/were the organisation/establishment's legal representative(s) in relation to the civil action?	Hennessy Bowie.
xii. Did the organisation/establishment carry insurance for meeting civil claims at the time the action was live?	Yes, there was historic public liability cover although the limit of indemnity is not known.
Xiii How/where can copies of the court papers relating to the civil action be made available to the Inquiry?	No court papers.

5.10 Civil actions	
ii. Who brought the action	(4) ██████████ (Boyd Crate Anderson) – claim only
iii. When was the action brought?	Letter of claim approximately February 2002.
iv. Against whom was the action brought?	Quarriers
v. What was the nature of the abuse or alleged abuse to which the action related?	Emotional, physical and sexual abuse.
vi. What were the names of the persons said to have or alleged to have committed abuse?	Mr and Mrs QFP-QFT ██████████
vii. When/over what period was the abuse said or alleged to have taken place?	1963.
viii. How did the action progress?	The matter was passed to insurers for on-going handling.
ix. What was the outcome?	The claim did not proceed. Only limited information was provided and the house parents complained about were both understood to be dead.
x. Was the action settled on a conditional basis of confidentiality	No.
xi. Who was/were the organisation/establishment's legal representative(s) in relation to the civil action?	Hennessy Bowie.
xii. Did the organisation/establishment carry insurance for meeting civil claims at the time the action was live?	Yes, there was historic public liability cover although the limit of indemnity is not known.
Xiii How/where can copies of the court papers relating to the civil action be made available to	No court papers.

the Inquiry?	
--------------	--

5.10 Civil actions	
ii. Who brought the action	
iii. When was the action brought?	
iv. Against whom was the action brought?	
v. What was the nature of the abuse or alleged abuse to which the action related?	
vi. What were the names of the persons said to have or alleged to have committed abuse?	
vii. When/over what period was the abuse said or alleged to have taken place?	
viii. How did the action progress?	
ix. What was the outcome?	
x. Was the action settled on a conditional basis of confidentiality	
xi. Who was/were the organisation/establishment's legal representative(s) in relation to the civil action?	
xii. Did the organisation/establishment carry insurance for meeting civil claims at the time the action was live?	
Xiii How/where can copies of the court papers relating to the civil action be made available to the Inquiry?	

5.10 Civil actions	
ii. Who brought the action	
iii. When was the action brought?	
iv. Against whom was the action brought?	
v. What was the nature of the	


abuse or alleged abuse to which the action related?	
vi. What were the names of the persons said to have or alleged to have committed abuse?	
vii. When/over what period was the abuse said or alleged to have taken place?	
viii. How did the action progress?	
ix. What was the outcome?	
x. Was the action settled on a conditional basis of confidentiality	
xi. Who was/were the organisation/establishment's legal representative(s) in relation to the civil action?	
xii. Did the organisation/establishment carry insurance for meeting civil claims at the time the action was live?	
Xiii How/where can copies of the court papers relating to the civil action be made available to the Inquiry?	

5.10 Civil actions	
ii. Who brought the action	
iii. When was the action brought?	
iv. Against whom was the action brought?	
v. What was the nature of the abuse or alleged abuse to which the action related?	
vi. What were the names of the persons said to have or alleged to have committed abuse?	

vii. When/over what period was the abuse said or alleged to have taken place?	
viii. How did the action progress?	
ix. What was the outcome?	
x. Was the action settled on a conditional basis of confidentiality	
xi. Who was/were the organisation/establishment's legal representative(s) in relation to the civil action?	
xii. Did the organisation/establishment carry insurance for meeting civil claims at the time the action was live?	
Xiii How/where can copies of the court papers relating to the civil action be made available to the Inquiry?	

5.10 Civil actions	
ii. Who brought the action	(8) [REDACTED] (Campbell Smith)
iii. When was the action brought?	Summons served October 2001
iv. Against whom was the action brought?	Samuel McBrearty and Quarriers
v. What was the nature of the abuse or alleged abuse to which the action related?	Physical and sexual abuse.
vi. What were the names of the persons said to have or alleged to have committed abuse?	Samuel McBrearty
vii. When/over what period	1963-1968

was the abuse said or alleged to have taken place?	
viii. How did the action progress?	Summons called 15 October 2001, defences lodged 20 November 2001, Open record lodged on 23 November 2001, note of adjustment 22 February 2002, case sisted from 25 January 2002 until 2010.
ix. What was the outcome?	Case abandoned. Decree of absolvitor granted in favour of both defenders with no expenses due to or by any party in January 2011.
x. Was the action settled on a conditional basis of confidentiality	No.
xi. Who was/were the organisation/establishment's legal representative(s) in relation to the civil action?	Quarriers – Hennessy Bowie & Co and Simpson & Marwick
xii. Did the organisation/establishment carry insurance for meeting civil claims at the time the action was live?	Yes, there was historic public liability cover although the limit of indemnity is not known.
Xiii How/where can copies of the court papers relating to the civil action be made available to the Inquiry?	Clyde & Co retain copies of the court papers. The Court of Session may possibly also retain court papers.

5.10 Civil actions	
ii. Who brought the action	
iii. When was the action brought?	
iv. Against whom was the action brought?	
v. What was the nature of the abuse or alleged abuse to which the action related?	
vi. What were the names of the persons said to have or alleged to have committed abuse?	
vii. When/over what period was the abuse said or alleged to have taken place?	
viii. How did the action progress?	
ix. What was the outcome?	
x. Was the action settled on a conditional basis of confidentiality	
xi. Who was/were the organisation/establishment's legal representative(s) in relation to the civil action?	

xii. Did the organisation/establishment carry insurance for meeting civil claims at the time the action was live?	
Xiii How/where can copies of the court papers relating to the civil action be made available to the Inquiry?	

5.10 Civil actions	
ii. Who brought the action	(10) [REDACTED] – claim only
iii. When was the action brought?	Letter of claim approximately dated 9 October 2003.
iv. Against whom was the action brought?	Quarriers
v. What was the nature of the abuse or alleged abuse to which the action related?	Sexual abuse
vi. What were the names of the persons said to have or alleged to have committed abuse?	QGU John Porteous
vii. When/over what period was the abuse said or alleged to have taken place?	1976 to 1986.
viii. How did the action progress?	The matter was passed to insurers for on-going handling. The insurers instructed solicitors.
ix. What was the outcome?	The claim did not proceed.
x. Was the action settled on a conditional basis of confidentiality	No.
xi. Who was/were the organisation/establishment's legal representative(s) in relation to the civil action?	Hennessy Bowie.
xii. Did the organisation/establishment carry insurance for meeting civil claims at the time the action was live?	Yes, there was historic public liability cover although the limit of indemnity is not known.
Xiii How/where can copies of the court papers relating to the civil action be made available to the Inquiry?	No court papers.

5.10 Civil actions	
ii. Who brought the action	(11) [REDACTED] – claim only
iii. When was the action brought?	Letter of claim approximately dated 1 July 2004.
iv. Against whom was the action brought?	Quarriers

v. What was the nature of the abuse or alleged abuse to which the action related?	Emotional and physical abuse
vi. What were the names of the persons said to have or alleged to have committed abuse?	Mary Drummond/Arnold
vii. When/over what period was the abuse said or alleged to have taken place?	1955 to 1961/2.
viii. How did the action progress?	The matter was passed to insurers for on-going handling. The insurers instructed solicitors.
ix. What was the outcome?	The claim did not proceed. No formal response appears to have been sent.
x. Was the action settled on a conditional basis of confidentiality	No.
xi. Who was/were the organisation/establishment's legal representative(s) in relation to the civil action?	Hennessy Bowie.
xii. Did the organisation/establishment carry insurance for meeting civil claims at the time the action was live?	Yes, there was historic public liability cover although the limit of indemnity is not known.
Xiii How/where can copies of the court papers relating to the civil action be made available to the Inquiry?	No court papers.

5.10 Civil actions	
ii. Who brought the action	
iii. When was the action brought?	
iv. Against whom was the action brought?	
v. What was the nature of the abuse or alleged abuse to which the action related?	
vi. What were the names of the persons said to have or alleged to have committed abuse?	
vii. When/over what period was the abuse said or alleged to have taken place?	
viii. How did the action progress?	

ix. What was the outcome?	
x. Was the action settled on a conditional basis of confidentiality	
xi. Who was/were the organisation/establishment's legal representative(s) in relation to the civil action?	
xii. Did the organisation/establishment carry insurance for meeting civil claims at the time the action was live?	
Xiii How/where can copies of the court papers relating to the civil action be made available to the Inquiry?	

5.10 Civil actions	
ii. Who brought the action	
iii. When was the action brought?	
iv. Against whom was the action brought?	
v. What was the nature of the abuse or alleged abuse to which the action related?	
vi. What were the names of the persons said to have or alleged to have committed abuse?	
vii. When/over what period was the abuse said or alleged to have taken place?	
viii. How did the action progress?	
ix. What was the outcome?	
x. Was the action settled on a conditional basis of confidentiality	
xi. Who was/were the organisation/establishment's legal representative(s) in relation to the civil action?	
xii. Did the organisation/establishment carry insurance for meeting	

civil claims at the time the action was live?	
Xiii How/where can copies of the court papers relating to the civil action be made available to the Inquiry?	

5.10 Civil actions	
ii. Who brought the action	(14) [REDACTED] – claim only
iii. When was the action brought?	Letter of claim approximately dated 3 February 2004.
iv. Against whom was the action brought?	Quarriers
v. What was the nature of the abuse or alleged abuse to which the action related?	Physical abuse
vi. What were the names of the persons said to have or alleged to have committed abuse?	QBS [REDACTED] QBT [REDACTED]
vii. When/over what period was the abuse said or alleged to have taken place?	Unclear – 1970s and 1980s..
viii. How did the action progress?	The matter was passed to insurers for on-going handling. The insurers instructed solicitors.
ix. What was the outcome?	Liability was denied. The claim did not proceed.
x. Was the action settled on a conditional basis of confidentiality	No.
xi. Who was/were the organisation/establishment's legal representative(s) in relation to the civil action?	Hennessy Bowie.
xii. Did the organisation/establishment carry insurance for meeting civil claims at the time the action was live?	Yes, there was historic public liability cover although the limit of indemnity is not known.
Xiii How/where can copies of the court papers relating to the civil action be made available to the Inquiry?	No court papers.

5.10 Civil actions	
ii. Who brought the action	[REDACTED]
iii. When was the action brought?	[REDACTED]
iv. Against whom was the action brought?	[REDACTED]
v. What was the nature of the abuse or alleged abuse	[REDACTED]


to which the action related?	
vi. What were the names of the persons said to have or alleged to have committed abuse?	
vii. When/over what period was the abuse said or alleged to have taken place?	
viii. How did the action progress?	
ix. What was the outcome?	
x. Was the action settled on a conditional basis of confidentiality	
xi. Who was/were the organisation/establishment's legal representative(s) in relation to the civil action?	
xii. Did the organisation/establishment carry insurance for meeting civil claims at the time the action was live?	
Xiii How/where can copies of the court papers relating to the civil action be made available to the Inquiry?	

5.10 Civil actions	
ii. Who brought the action	(16) [redacted] or [redacted] (Campbell Smith)
iii. When was the action brought?	Summons served 1 October 2001
iv. Against whom was the action brought?	Samuel McBrearty and Quarriers
v. What was the nature of the abuse or alleged abuse to which the action related?	Physical and sexual abuse and rape,
vi. What were the names of the persons said to have or alleged to have committed abuse?	Samuel McBrearty
vii. When/over what period was the abuse said or alleged to have taken place?	1961-1968
viii. How did the action progress?	A fuller chronology can be provided if required. However these are the main procedural events. Defences lodged approximately 22 November 2001. Open

	record lodged 7 December 2001. Adjustment commenced 12 December 2001. Sisted pending Mr McBrearty's criminal appeal 25 January 2002 - December 2004. Record closed May 2005, appointed to Procedure Roll for time bar argument to be heard on 23 and 24 February 2006. Discharged in early February 2006. Placed on procedure roll again for hearing in March 2007. Discharged by pursuer shortly before due to counsel's unavailability. Fixed again for Procedure Roll hearing for 5 February 2008 but cause then sisted pending House of Lords decision in Poor Sisters cases.
ix. What was the outcome?	The Pursuer abandoned the action. Decree of absolvitor with no expenses due to or by any party was granted on 16 November 2010.
x. Was the action settled on a conditional basis of confidentiality	No.
xi. Who was/were the organisation/establishment's legal representative(s) in relation to the civil action?	Quarriers – Hennessy Bowie & Co and Simpson & Marwick Samuel McBrearty – Balfour & Manson
xii. Did the organisation/establishment carry insurance for meeting civil claims at the time the action was live?	Yes, there was historic public liability cover.
Xiii How/where can copies of the court papers relating to the civil action be made available to the Inquiry?	Clyde & Co retain copies of the court papers. The Court of Session may possibly also retain court papers.


5.10 Civil actions	
ii. Who brought the action	(17) [REDACTED] (Drummond Miller)
iii. When was the action brought?	Summons served 24 March 2003
iv. Against whom was the action brought?	Joseph Nicholson Quarriers
v. What was the nature of the abuse or alleged abuse to which the action related?	Physical and sexual abuse.
vi. What were the names of the persons said to have or alleged to have committed abuse?	Joseph Nicholson
vii. When/over what period was the abuse said or alleged to have taken place?	1965-1968
viii. How did the action progress?	Sisted 25 April 2003 for pursuer's legal aid application; sisted again July 2003 for second defender's application for legal aid; recalled March 2004; defences lodged April 2004; adjustment period continued until June 2005; record closed approximately 6 July 2005. Procedure Roll hearing 4 to 7 July 2006. Action dismissed by Lady Smith, decision appealed, sisted in October 2007 pending outcome of Poor Sisters

	cases before the House of Lords.
ix. What was the outcome?	Case abandoned decree of absolutor granted with no expenses due to or by any party October 2008.
x. Was the action settled on a conditional basis of confidentiality	No.
xi. Who was/were the organisation/establishment's legal representative(s) in relation to the civil action?	Quarriers – Hennessy Bowie & Co and Simpson & Marwick
xii. Did the organisation/establishment carry insurance for meeting civil claims at the time the action was live?	Yes, there was historic public liability cover.
Xiii How/where can copies of the court papers relating to the civil action be made available to the Inquiry?	Clyde & Co retain copies of the court papers. The Court of Session may possibly also retain court papers.

5.10 Civil actions	
ii. Who brought the action	
iii. When was the action brought?	
iv. Against whom was the action brought?	
v. What was the nature of the abuse or alleged abuse to which the action related?	
vi. What were the names of the persons said to have or alleged to have committed abuse?	
vii. When/over what period was the abuse said or alleged to have taken place?	
viii. How did the action progress?	
ix. What was the outcome?	
x. Was the action settled on a conditional basis of confidentiality	
xi. Who was/were the organisation/establishment's legal representative(s) in relation to the civil action?	
xii. Did the organisation/establishment carry insurance for meeting	

civil claims at the time the action was live?	
Xiii How/where can copies of the court papers relating to the civil action be made available to the Inquiry?	

5.10 Civil actions	
ii. Who brought the action	
iii. When was the action brought?	
iv. Against whom was the action brought?	
v. What was the nature of the abuse or alleged abuse to which the action related?	
vi. What were the names of the persons said to have or alleged to have committed abuse?	
vii. When/over what period was the abuse said or alleged to have taken place?	
viii. How did the action progress?	
ix. What was the outcome?	
x. Was the action settled on a conditional basis of confidentiality	
xi. Who was/were the organisation/establishment's legal representative(s) in relation to the civil action?	
xii. Did the organisation/establishment carry insurance for meeting civil claims at the time the action was live?	
Xiii How/where can copies of the court papers relating to the civil action be made available to the Inquiry?	

5.10 Civil actions	
ii. Who brought the action	
iii. When was the action brought?	
iv. Against whom was the action brought?	
v. What was the nature of the abuse or alleged abuse to which the action related?	
vi. What were the names of the persons said to have or alleged to have committed abuse?	
vii. When/over what period was the abuse said or alleged to have taken place?	
viii. How did the action progress?	
ix. What was the outcome?	
x. Was the action settled on a conditional basis of confidentiality	
xi. Who was/were the organisation/establishment's legal representative(s) in relation to the civil action?	
xii. Did the organisation/establishment carry insurance for meeting civil claims at the time the action was live?	
Xiii How/where can copies of the court papers relating to the civil action be made available to the Inquiry?	

5.10 Civil actions	
ii. Who brought the action	
iii. When was the action brought?	
iv. Against whom was the action brought?	
v. What was the nature of the abuse or alleged abuse	

to which the action related?	
vi. What were the names of the persons said to have or alleged to have committed abuse?	
vii. When/over what period was the abuse said or alleged to have taken place?	
viii. How did the action progress?	
ix. What was the outcome?	
x. Was the action settled on a conditional basis of confidentiality	
xi. Who was/were the organisation/establishment's legal representative(s) in relation to the civil action?	
xii. Did the organisation/establishment carry insurance for meeting civil claims at the time the action was live?	
Xiii How/where can copies of the court papers relating to the civil action be made available to the Inquiry?	

5.10 Civil actions	
ii. Who brought the action	
iii. When was the action brought?	
iv. Against whom was the action brought?	
v. What was the nature of the abuse or alleged abuse to which the action related?	
vi. What were the names of the persons said to have or alleged to have committed abuse?	
vii. When/over what period was the abuse said or alleged to have taken place?	
viii. How did the action progress?	

ix. What was the outcome?	
x. Was the action settled on a conditional basis of confidentiality	
xi. Who was/were the organisation/establishment's legal representative(s) in relation to the civil action?	
xii. Did the organisation/establishment carry insurance for meeting civil claims at the time the action was live?	
Xiii How/where can copies of the court papers relating to the civil action be made available to the Inquiry?	

5.10 Civil actions	
ii. Who brought the action	(23) [REDACTED] (Macfarlane & Co) – claim only.
iii. When was the action brought?	Letter of claim dated 24 January 2003
iv. Against whom was the action brought?	Quarriers
v. What was the nature of the abuse or alleged abuse to which the action related?	Psychological, physical and sexual abuse.
vi. What were the names of the persons said to have or alleged to have committed abuse?	Not named in letter of claim but reported to be QJL [REDACTED] and Mr QGY [REDACTED] in press coverage.
vii. When/over what period was the abuse said or alleged to have taken place?	1939 to 1954
viii. How did the action progress?	Letter of claim intimated 24 January 2003. Passed to insurers. Understood that liability was denied.
ix. What was the outcome?	No court action was raised.
x. Was the action settled on a conditional basis of confidentiality	No.
xi. Who was/were the organisation/establishment's legal representative(s) in relation to the civil action?	None.
xii. Did the organisation/establishment carry insurance for meeting civil claims at the time the	Yes, there was historic public liability cover – although only from 1953 onwards.

action was live?	
Xiii How/where can copies of the court papers relating to the civil action be made available to the Inquiry?	Not applicable.
5.10 Civil actions	
ii. Who brought the action	(24) ██████████ (Campbell Smith)
iii. When was the action brought?	Summons lodged for calling June 2001
iv. Against whom was the action brought?	Samuel McBrearty Quarriers
v. What was the nature of the abuse or alleged abuse to which the action related?	Physical and sexual abuse and cruelty.
vi. What were the names of the persons said to have or alleged to have committed abuse?	Samuel McBrearty
vii. When/over what period was the abuse said or alleged to have taken place?	1955-1965
viii. How did the action progress?	Called 25 September 2001, Defences lodged 3 October 2001, Open record lodged October 2001, Adjustment commences 17 October 2001, Adjustment period extended until February 2002, sisted for expert evidence in January 2002 until 17 December 2004 (recalled twice for specification of documents), adjustments until April 2005 record closed 25 April 2005, Adjustments May to July 2006 (procedure roll debate on 27 April 2006 discharged), appointed to Procedure Roll 25 August 2006, did not proceed as further adjustments made to pleadings. Indication in January 2007 that the matter could be resolved on the basis of a meaningful apology.
ix. What was the outcome?	Case discontinued by pursuer in June 2008 subsequent to a meeting with representatives from Quarriers (Chief Executive and After Care Support Worker in July 2007). Meeting in private when concerns were aired and apology given orally. Decree of absolvitor with no expenses due to or by granted 29 July 2008.
x. Was the action settled on a conditional basis of confidentiality	No.
xi. Who was/were the organisation/establishment's legal representative(s) in relation to the civil action?	Quarriers – Hennessy Bowie & Co and Simpson & Marwick
xii. Did the organisation/establishment carry insurance for meeting civil claims at the time the action was live?	Yes, there was historic public liability cover.
Xiii How/where can copies	Clyde & Co retain copies of the court papers. The Court of

of the court papers relating to the civil action be made available to the Inquiry?	Session may possibly also retain court papers.
--	--

5.10 Civil actions	
ii. Who brought the action	(25) [REDACTED] – claim only
iii. When was the action brought?	Letter of claim approximately dated 28 March 2007.
iv. Against whom was the action brought?	Quarriers
v. What was the nature of the abuse or alleged abuse to which the action related?	Physical and emotional abuse
vi. What were the names of the persons said to have or alleged to have committed abuse?	Mrs APO [REDACTED]
vii. When/over what period was the abuse said or alleged to have taken place?	1971 to 1973
viii. How did the action progress?	The matter was passed to insurers for on-going handling. The insurers instructed solicitors. The claimant's file was provided. No further correspondence was received from solicitors.
ix. What was the outcome?	The claim did not proceed.
x. Was the action settled on a conditional basis of confidentiality	No.
xi. Who was/were the organisation/establishment's legal representative(s) in relation to the civil action?	Hennessy Bowie.
xii. Did the organisation/establishment carry insurance for meeting civil claims at the time the action was live?	Yes, there was historic public liability cover although the limit of indemnity is not known.
Xiii How/where can copies of the court papers relating to the civil action be made available to the Inquiry?	No court papers.

5.10 Civil actions	
ii. Who brought the action	[REDACTED]
iii. When was the action brought?	[REDACTED]
iv. Against whom was the action brought?	[REDACTED]
v. What was the nature of the abuse or alleged abuse to which the action related?	[REDACTED]

vi. What were the names of the persons said to have or alleged to have committed abuse?	
vii. When/over what period was the abuse said or alleged to have taken place?	
viii. How did the action progress?	
ix. What was the outcome?	
x. Was the action settled on a conditional basis of confidentiality	
xi. Who was/were the organisation/establishment's legal representative(s) in relation to the civil action?	
xii. Did the organisation/establishment carry insurance for meeting civil claims at the time the action was live?	
Xiii How/where can copies of the court papers relating to the civil action be made available to the Inquiry?	

5.10 Civil actions	
ii. Who brought the action	
iii. When was the action brought?	
iv. Against whom was the action brought?	
v. What was the nature of the abuse or alleged abuse to which the action related?	
vi. What were the names of the persons said to have or alleged to have committed abuse?	
vii. When/over what period was the abuse said or alleged to have taken place?	
viii. How did the action progress?	

ix. What was the outcome?	
x. Was the action settled on a conditional basis of confidentiality	
xi. Who was/were the organisation/establishment's legal representative(s) in relation to the civil action?	
xii. Did the organisation/establishment carry insurance for meeting civil claims at the time the action was live?	
Xiii How/where can copies of the court papers relating to the civil action be made available to the Inquiry?	

5.11 Criminal Injuries Compensation Awards	
i. Has any criminal injuries compensation been awarded in respect of abuse, or alleged abuse of children cared for at the establishment?	Not known.
ii. If so, please provide details if known	Not known.

5.12 Police	
i. How many complaints of abuse of children cared for at the establishment have been made to the police	Quarriers are not aware of the total number of complaints which have been made to the police. However a special investigation team was established by Strathclyde Police to investigate non-recent abuse at Quarriers Village. It is presumed that Police Scotland will hold accurate information on this. We have listed below the cases where we understand complaints were made to the police, including those where we are aware there were prosecutions. We understand that other investigations have been conducted but we have not been able to ascertain the names of the alleged abusers.
In relation to each known complaint to the police, please answer the following questions	
ii. who was the alleged abuser?	(1) QFT [REDACTED]
iii. Did the police conduct an investigation in relation to the complaint?	Yes.
iv. If so, who conducted the investigation and when?	Strathclyde Police.
v. what was the outcome of the police investigation?	We understand that the police considered there to be insufficient evidence to allow them to obtain conviction.
vi. What was the organisation/establishment's response?	Quarriers were contacted by QGT [REDACTED] QFT [REDACTED] wife on 4 June 2001. She advised that QFT [REDACTED] had been interviewed the previous day regarding allegations of sexual abuse made by a former girl at Cottage 13 in Quarriers Village. The employee who spoke to Mrs QGT [REDACTED] advised that her husband should take advice from a criminal lawyer. He advised that Quarriers could not advise her or support Mr QFT [REDACTED] given that Mr QFT [REDACTED] was under police investigation.
ii. who was the alleged abuser?	(2) QOE [REDACTED]
iii. Did the police conduct an investigation in relation to the complaint?	Yes
iv. If so, who conducted the investigation and when?	Strathclyde police. Between approximately 2004 and 2008.
v. what was the outcome of the police investigation?	Charges were brought – see below.
vi. What was the organisation/establishment's response?	We have not been able to trace a specific response in relation to this investigation. Quarriers have consistently acknowledged and accepted the verdicts of the courts and expressed sympathy for the victims of abuse.
ii. who was the alleged abuser?	(3) QFX [REDACTED]
iii. Did the police conduct an investigation in relation to the complaint?	Yes
iv. If so, who conducted the	Strathclyde Police between approximately 2002 and 2008.

investigation and when?	
v. what was the outcome of the police investigation?	Charges were brought – see section 5.13 below. Mr QFX was convicted of one count
vi. What was the organisation/establishment's response?	<p>Following QFX initial trial in 2005, Dr Phil Robinson stated that Quarriers fully accepted the judgment of the courts in every case and that Quarriers' sympathy lay with the victims. He also offered counselling and support to victims of abuse if they wished it and to help victims of abuse find independent social work assistance and counselling. He acknowledged that victims of abuse may not wish to receive support from within Quarriers.</p> <p>Following the subsequent conviction of QFX in 2008, Quarriers Chief Executive, Dr Phil Robinson, stated "Our sympathy is with the victim of this person."</p>

ii. who was the alleged abuser?	(4) QDN
iii. Did the police conduct an investigation in relation to the complaint?	Yes.
iv. If so, who conducted the investigation and when?	Strathclyde Police between approximately 2003 and 2006.
v. what was the outcome of the police investigation?	Criminal proceedings were brought. We understand that the charges were dropped.
vi. What was the organisation/establishment's response?	We have not been able to trace any response.

ii. who was the alleged abuser?	(5) QAK
iii. Did the police conduct an investigation in relation to the complaint?	Yes.
iv. If so, who conducted the investigation and when?	Strathclyde Police between approximately 2003 and 2006.
v. what was the outcome of the police investigation?	Criminal proceedings were brought. We understand that the charges were dropped.
vi. What was the organisation/establishment's response?	We have not been able to trace any response.

ii. who was the alleged abuser?	(6) QDM
iii. Did the police conduct an investigation in relation to the complaint?	Yes.
iv. If so, who conducted the investigation and when?	Strathclyde Police between approximately 2003 and 2006.
v. what was the outcome of the police investigation?	Criminal proceedings were brought. We understand that the charges were dropped.
vi. What was the organisation/establishment's response?	We have not been able to trace any response.

response?	
ii. who was the alleged abuser?	(7) Mary Drummond (Miss Arnold)
iii. Did the police conduct an investigation in relation to the complaint?	Yes.
iv. If so, who conducted the investigation and when?	Strathclyde Police between approximately 2000 and 2002.
v. what was the outcome of the police investigation?	Criminal charges were brought. Mrs Drummond was convicted in February 2002.
vi. What was the organisation/establishment's response?	We have not been able to trace a specific response in relation to this investigation. Quarriers have consistently acknowledged and accepted the verdicts of the courts and expressed sympathy for the victims of abuse.
ii. who was the alleged abuser?	(8) ^{QAQ} [REDACTED]
iii. Did the police conduct an investigation in relation to the complaint?	Complainants – [REDACTED] Unknown as ^{QAQ} [REDACTED] died in 1980.
iv. If so, who conducted the investigation and when?	Not known.
v. what was the outcome of the police investigation?	Not known but presumed that it did not proceed.
vi. What was the organisation/establishment's response?	There was no response.
ii. who was the alleged abuser?	(9) Former resident ^{QNH} [REDACTED]
iii. Did the police conduct an investigation in relation to the complaint?	Yes
iv. If so, who conducted the investigation and when?	Strathclyde Police in 2016.
v. what was the outcome of the police investigation?	Unknown.
vi. What was the organisation/establishment's response?	No response.
ii. who was the alleged abuser?	(10) Mr ^{QDC} [REDACTED]
iii. Did the police conduct an investigation in relation to the complaint?	We believe so.
iv. If so, who conducted the investigation and when?	Strathclyde Police. Timeframe unknown.
v. what was the outcome of the police investigation?	Unknown
vi. What was the organisation/establishment's response?	We have not been able to trace any response.

ii. who was the alleged abuser?	(11) QFF [REDACTED]
iii. Did the police conduct an investigation in relation to the complaint?	Unknown.
iv. If so, who conducted the investigation and when?	Strathclyde Police.
v. what was the outcome of the police investigation?	Unknown
vi. What was the organisation/establishment's response?	We have not been able to trace any response on this case.

ii. who was the alleged abuser?	(12) QMP [REDACTED]
iii. Did the police conduct an investigation in relation to the complaint?	We believe so. Quarriers provided files to Strathclyde police.
iv. If so, who conducted the investigation and when?	Strathclyde Police. Timeframe unknown.
v. what was the outcome of the police investigation?	Unknown. We are not aware of any proceedings having been commenced.
vi. What was the organisation/establishment's response?	We have not been able to trace any response.

ii. who was the alleged abuser?	(13) Samuel McBrearty
iii. Did the police conduct an investigation in relation to the complaint?	Yes.
iv. If so, who conducted the investigation and when?	Strathclyde Police between approximately 2000 and 2001.
v. what was the outcome of the police investigation?	Criminal charges brought. Mr McBrearty was convicted on 7 September 2001.
vi. What was the organisation/establishment's response?	<p>Quarriers held a press conference at which it acknowledged the conviction and expressed sympathy for the victims.</p> <p>A statement issued by Quarriers on 7 September 2001 stated as follows:</p> <p>"Whatever the outcome of this process," said Mr Phil Robinson, "my heartfelt sympathy goes towards the women involved in this distressing case. They have had to go through a terrible ordeal as they relived their experiences in court."</p> <p>"It is right that all allegations of abuse against children be properly investigated and taken to trial if necessary."</p>

ii. who was the alleged abuser?	(14) APM [REDACTED]
---------------------------------	---------------------

iii. Did the police conduct an investigation in relation to the complaint?	Unknown but we believe so.
iv. If so, who conducted the investigation and when?	Strathclyde Police.
v. what was the outcome of the police investigation?	Unknown
vi. What was the organisation/establishment's response?	We have not been able to trace any response.

ii. who was the alleged abuser?	(15) Mr ^{QMM}
iii. Did the police conduct an investigation in relation to the complaint?	Unknown but we believe so.
iv. If so, who conducted the investigation and when?	Strathclyde Police. Timeframe unknown.
v. what was the outcome of the police investigation?	Unknown
vi. What was the organisation/establishment's response?	We have not been able to trace any response.

ii. who was the alleged abuser?	(16) Mrs ^{QFP?}
iii. Did the police conduct an investigation in relation to the complaint?	Unknown but we believe so.
iv. If so, who conducted the investigation and when?	Strathclyde Police. Timeframe unknown.
v. what was the outcome of the police investigation?	Unknown
vi. What was the organisation/establishment's response?	We have not been able to trace any response.

ii. who was the alleged abuser?	(17) Mr ^{QGU}
iii. Did the police conduct an investigation in relation to the complaint?	Yes
iv. If so, who conducted the investigation and when?	Strathclyde police between approximately 2002 and 2003.
v. what was the outcome of the police investigation?	Police obtained Mr ^{QNH} file on 28 March 2002 and returned it on 14 October 2003. To the best of Quarriers' knowledge no action was taken.
vi. What was the organisation/establishment's response?	We have not been able to trace any response.

ii. who was the alleged abuser?	(18) Joseph Nicholson
iii. Did the police conduct an	Yes

investigation in relation to the complaint?	
iv. If so, who conducted the investigation and when?	Strathclyde police between approximately 2000 and 2001.
v. what was the outcome of the police investigation?	Criminal charges were brought and a conviction followed in October 2001.
vi. What was the organisation/establishment's response?	We have not been able to trace a specific response in relation to this investigation. Quarriers has consistently acknowledged and accepted the verdicts of the courts and expressed sympathy for the victims of abuse.

ii. who was the alleged abuser?	(19) Mr QJF
iii. Did the police conduct an investigation in relation to the complaint?	Unknown but we believe so.
iv. If so, who conducted the investigation and when?	Strathclyde Police. Timeframe unknown.
v. what was the outcome of the police investigation?	Unknown.
vi. What was the organisation/establishment's response?	We have not been able to trace any response.

ii. who was the alleged abuser?	(20) John Porteous
iii. Did the police conduct an investigation in relation to the complaint?	Yes.
iv. If so, who conducted the investigation and when?	Strathclyde Police from approximately 1999 to 2002.
v. what was the outcome of the police investigation?	Charges were brought – see section 5.13 below. Mr Porteous was convicted in November 2002.
vi. What was the organisation/establishment's response?	<p>Quarriers cooperated fully with the police inquiry. In May 2002, whilst the investigation was ongoing, the Sunday Herald stated the following:</p> <p>“Phil Robinson, the charity’s chief executive, now wants any former care home resident who suffered any kind of abuse while at Quarriers to contact him so he can pass their allegations to the police.”</p> <p>“The escalation in the number of people alleging abuse means we have to face this head on,” said Robinson. “We can’t right the wrongs of the past, but we can acknowledge that this happened and say we are sorry.</p> <p>However, it must be remembered that hardly anyone who now works here was staff at the time these offences happened, and that the system of care has changed dramatically since the late 1970s. A helpline would at least allow people to talk about what they suffered. If they told us</p>

	<p>they had been physically or sexually assaulted I would tell them to immediately go to the police, or if they didn't want to do that I'd report the incident to the police myself if they gave their consent.</p> <p>I also want people to know that they can return here to confront their past if they feel that would help them. We would be here, if they wanted us, to listen to them and console them.</p> <p>What happened took place in a time of misplaced trust. We thought then that anyone in a position of trust with children would never do these dreadful things. It was a different era."</p>
--	--

ii. who was the alleged abuser?	(21) QKR [REDACTED]
iii. Did the police conduct an investigation in relation to the complaint?	Yes
iv. If so, who conducted the investigation and when?	Strathclyde Police between approximately 1999 and 2002.
v. what was the outcome of the police investigation?	Charges were brought – see section 5.13 below. QKR [REDACTED] was charged along with John Porteous. She was acquitted.
vi. What was the organisation/establishment's response?	We have not been able to trace any response.

ii. who was the alleged abuser?	(22) QFI [REDACTED]
iii. Did the police conduct an investigation in relation to the complaint?	Yes
iv. If so, who conducted the investigation and when?	Strathclyde Police. We are not sure of the timeframe of this investigation but one of the complainant's files was returned in 2007.
v. what was the outcome of the police investigation?	Unknown but Quarriers are not aware of any action being taken.
vi. What was the organisation/establishment's response?	No response.

ii. who was the alleged abuser?	(23) Mr QNI [REDACTED] Complainant - [REDACTED]
iii. Did the police conduct an investigation in relation to the complaint?	Yes, we understand that the police conducted an investigation.
iv. If so, who conducted the investigation and when?	Strathclyde Police.
v. what was the outcome of	Unknown. Quarriers are not aware of any action being taken.

the police investigation?	
vi. What was the organisation/establishment's response?	No response.

ii. who was the alleged abuser?	(24) Mrs QNJ
iii. Did the police conduct an investigation in relation to the complaint?	Yes
iv. If so, who conducted the investigation and when?	Strathclyde Police. Timescale not known.
v. what was the outcome of the police investigation?	Unknown. Quarriers are not aware of any action being taken.
vi. What was the organisation/establishment's response?	No response.

ii. who was the alleged abuser?	(25) QGP
iii. Did the police conduct an investigation in relation to the complaint?	Yes.
iv. If so, who conducted the investigation and when?	Strathclyde Police. We are not aware of the timescale of this investigation.
v. what was the outcome of the police investigation?	Unknown. Quarriers are not aware of any action being taken.
vi. What was the organisation/establishment's response?	No response.

ii. who was the alleged abuser?	(26) QGH
iii. Did the police conduct an investigation in relation to the complaint?	Yes
iv. If so, who conducted the investigation and when?	Strathclyde Police between approximately 2004 and 2006.
v. what was the outcome of the police investigation?	Charges were brought – see section 5.13 below. Mr QGH was acquitted.
vi. What was the organisation/establishment's response?	We have not traced any response made by the organisation.

ii. who was the alleged abuser?	(27) Ruth Wallace
iii. Did the police conduct an investigation in relation to the complaint?	Yes
iv. If so, who conducted the investigation and when?	Strathclyde Police between approximately 2003 and 2006.
v. what was the outcome of the police investigation?	Charges were brought against Ruth Wallace. She was convicted in January 2006.
vi. What was the	We have not been able to trace a specific response in relation

organisation/establishment's response?	to this investigation. Quarriers has consistently acknowledged and accepted the verdicts of the courts and expressed sympathy for the victims of abuse.
ii. who was the alleged abuser?	(28) QBS
iii. Did the police conduct an investigation in relation to the complaint?	Yes.
iv. If so, who conducted the investigation and when?	Strathclyde Police. We are unsure of the timescale but we understand that there was an investigation in the 2000s and then a further investigation around 2016.
v. what was the outcome of the police investigation?	We understand that no action was taken.
vi. What was the organisation/establishment's response?	We have not traced any response made by the organisation.
ii. who was the alleged abuser?	(29) QBT
iii. Did the police conduct an investigation in relation to the complaint?	Yes.
iv. If so, who conducted the investigation and when?	Strathclyde Police. We are unsure of the timescale but we understand that there was an investigation in the 2000s and then a further investigation around 2016.
v. what was the outcome of the police investigation?	We understand that no action was taken.
vi. What was the organisation/establishment's response?	We have not traced any response made by the organisation.
ii. who was the alleged abuser?	(30) Alexander Inman Wilson
iii. Did the police conduct an investigation in relation to the complaint?	Yes
iv. If so, who conducted the investigation and when?	Strathclyde Police between approximately 2001 and 2004
v. what was the outcome of the police investigation?	Charges were brought – see section 5.13 below. Mr Wilson was convicted in March 2004.
vi. What was the organisation/establishment's response?	<p>Quarriers publically expressed sympathy for the victims. The Scotsman on 28 April 2004 stated: "A spokeswoman for Quarriers said the charity's "sympathies had always been with the victims", who had been offered the services of an after-care worker, recruited after the abuse came to light."</p> <p>Dr Phil Robinson also spoke publically to reassure parents and the public about the child protection measures which Quarriers had in place and that no unauthorised person was</p>

	<p>permitted to have access to the children in Quarriers' care.</p> <p>The Evening Times quoted Dr Phil Robinson as saying "Our sympathy in this case, as in others lies with the victims. We at Quarriers are ready to offer counselling and support to them, if it is requested. We co-operated fully with the police inquiry which led to the conviction of Alexander Wilson but conviction and sentence are matters for the courts."</p>
--	--

ii. who was the alleged abuser?	(31) QFK [REDACTED]
iii. Did the police conduct an investigation in relation to the complaint?	Yes, we believe so.
iv. If so, who conducted the investigation and when?	Strathclyde Police. QKI [REDACTED] file was given to police on 29 August 2005 and returned on 5 March 2007
v. what was the outcome of the police investigation?	Unknown.
vi. What was the organisation/establishment's response?	We have not been able to trace a response.

ii. who was the alleged abuser?	(32) a former resident who returned to visit after he had left the village
iii. Did the police conduct an investigation in relation to the complaint?	Yes. Complainer QFU [REDACTED]
iv. If so, who conducted the investigation and when?	November 2016
v. what was the outcome of the police investigation?	Unknown.
vi. What was the organisation/establishment's response?	No response.

5.13 Crown	
i. To what extent has the Crown raised proceedings in respect of allegations of abuse of children cared for at the establishment?	The crown has raised proceedings against a number of former Quarriers' employees and former residents in relation to abuse of children at Quarriers Village. The charges relate to abuse in the 1950's to the 1980's. Further details, so far as they are within Quarriers' knowledge, are provided below.
In relation to each time the Crown has raised proceedings, please answer the following questions:	

ii. What is the name of the person(s) against whom the proceedings were raised?	
iii. What was the nature of the charges?	
iv. What was the outcome of the proceedings, including disposal/sentence if there was a conviction?	
v. what was the organisation/establishment's response to the proceedings and outcome?	

ii. What is the name of the person(s) against whom the proceedings were raised?	
iii. What was the nature of the charges?	
iv. What was the outcome of the proceedings, including disposal/sentence if there was a conviction?	
v. what was the organisation/establishment's response to the proceedings and outcome?	

--	--

ii. What is the name of the person(s) against whom the proceedings were raised?	(3) QDN
iii. What was the nature of the charges?	Lewd and libidinous practices and attempted rape.
iv. What was the outcome of the proceedings, including disposal/sentence if there was a conviction?	The charges were dropped.
v. what was the organisation/establishment's response to the proceedings and outcome?	We have not been able to trace any response.

ii. What is the name of the person(s) against whom the proceedings were raised?	(4) QAK
iii. What was the nature of the charges?	Lewd and libidinous practices and attempted rape.
iv. What was the outcome of the proceedings, including disposal/sentence if there was a conviction?	The charges were dropped.
v. what was the organisation/establishment's response to the proceedings and outcome?	We have not been able to trace any response.

ii. What is the name of the person(s) against whom the proceedings were raised?	(5) QDM
iii. What was the nature of the charges?	Lewd and libidinous practices.
iv. What was the outcome of the proceedings, including disposal/sentence if there was a conviction?	The charges were dropped.
v. what was the organisation/establishment's response to the proceedings and outcome?	We have not been able to trace any response.

ii. What is the name of the person(s) against whom the proceedings were raised?	(6) Mary Drummond (Miss Arnold)
iii. What was the nature of the charges?	Charges of cruelty.

iv. What was the outcome of the proceedings, including disposal/sentence if there was a conviction?	We understand that the accused initially pleaded guilty in 2002. However, she then sought to withdraw her guilty plea. However, she was not allowed to do so and was convicted in 2003 of five charges of child cruelty. She was sentenced to 3 years' probation.
v. what was the organisation/establishment's response to the proceedings and outcome?	We have not been able to trace a specific response to the proceedings and outcome.
ii. What is the name of the person(s) against whom the proceedings were raised?	(7) Samuel McBrearty
iii. What was the nature of the charges?	Rape, lewd and libidinous practices, assault and shameless indecency.
iv. What was the outcome of the proceedings, including disposal/sentence if there was a conviction?	The accused was convicted and sentenced to 12 years imprisonment. This was reduced to 10 years on appeal.
v. what was the organisation/establishment's response to the proceedings and outcome?	<p>Quarriers held a press conference at which it acknowledged the crimes and expressed sympathy for the victims.</p> <p>A statement issued by Quarriers on 7 September 2001 stated as follows:</p> <p>“Whatever the outcome of this process,” said Mr Phil Robinson, “my heartfelt sympathy goes towards the women involved in this distressing case. They have had to go through a terrible ordeal as they relived their experiences in court.</p> <p>It is right that all allegations of abuse against children be properly investigated and taken to trial if necessary.”</p> <p>A further statement was issued around 28 September 2001 following on Mr McBrearty's sentence. Phil Robinson said:</p> <p>“It would not be right for us to comment on the sentence itself. That is a matter for the courts. But we have co-operated fully with the authorities during the process of their investigations and will continue to do so if further cases arise.”</p> <p>He also drew attention to Quarriers' procedures aimed at the prevention and identification of child abuse.</p>
ii. What is the name of the person(s) against whom the proceedings were raised?	(8) Joseph Nicholson
iii. What was the nature of the charges?	Sexual assault.
iv. What was the outcome of	We understand that the accused was found guilty and

the proceedings, including disposal/sentence if there was a conviction?	sentenced to 2 years imprisonment.
v. what was the organisation/establishment's response to the proceedings and outcome?	We have not been able to trace a specific response to this conviction.

ii. What is the name of the person(s) against whom the proceedings were raised?	(9) John Porteous
iii. What was the nature of the charges?	Assault, lewd and libidinous practices, sexual assault, shameless indecency and having unnatural carnal connection with children. A copy of the indictment is enclosed at appendix D6.
iv. What was the outcome of the proceedings, including disposal/sentence if there was a conviction?	John Porteous was found guilty of six charges and acquitted of the remainder. John Porteous was sentenced to 8 years' imprisonment (reduced to 5 on appeal).
v. what was the organisation/establishment's response to the proceedings and outcome?	In November 2002 Phil Robinson, in response to a home affairs committee report on child abuse, was quoted in the Greenock Telegraph saying: "Our sympathy is with the victims in these cases. They have lived their entire lives with the memories of the dreadful things that happened to them, often up to 50 years ago, and, if they are to see justice done, they have to relive their experiences publicly while giving evidence in court."

ii. What is the name of the person(s) against whom the proceedings were raised?	
iii. What was the nature of the charges?	
iv. What was the outcome of the proceedings, including disposal/sentence if there was a conviction?	
v. what was the organisation/establishment's response to the proceedings and outcome?	

ii. What is the name of the person(s) against whom the proceedings were raised?	
iii. What was the nature of the charges?	
iv. What was the outcome of the proceedings, including	

disposal/sentence if there was a conviction?	
V. what was the organisation/establishment's response to the proceedings and outcome?	
ii. What is the name of the person(s) against whom the proceedings were raised?	(12) Ruth Wallace
iii. What was the nature of the charges?	Assault and cruelty.
iv. What was the outcome of the proceedings, including disposal/sentence if there was a conviction?	Convicted of assault and wilful mistreatment between 1971 and 1981. 3 years' probation
V. what was the organisation/establishment's response to the proceedings and outcome?	We have not been able to trace any comment specifically being made on these proceedings and outcome.
ii. What is the name of the person(s) against whom the proceedings were raised?	(13) Alexander (Sandy) Inman Wilson
iii. What was the nature of the charges?	19 charges of lewd, indecent and libidinous practices, assault and rape.
iv. What was the outcome of the proceedings, including disposal/sentence if there was a conviction?	We understand that the accused was found guilty of all charges.
V. what was the organisation/establishment's response to the proceedings and outcome?	<p>Quarriers publically expressed sympathy for the victims. The Scotsman on 28 April 2004 stated: "A spokeswoman for Quarriers said the charity's "sympathies had always been with the victims", who had been offered the services of an after-care worker, recruited after the abuse came to light."</p> <p>Dr Phil Robinson also spoke publically to reassure parents and the public about the child protection measures which Quarriers had in place and that no unauthorised person was permitted to have access to the children in Quarriers' care.</p> <p>The Evening Times quoted Dr Phil Robinson as saying "Our sympathy in this case, as in others lies with the victims. We at Quarriers are ready to offer counselling and support to them, if it is requested. We co-operated fully with the police inquiry which led to the conviction of Alexander Wilson but conviction and sentence are matters for the courts."</p>